DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY OF THE BELIN/AMBROSIO ENHANCED ECTASIA TOTAL DEVIATION DISPLAY (BAD-D) IN SCREENING KERATOCONUS TAKING TOMOGRAPHIC AND BIOMECHANICAL INDEX (TBI) AS THE GOLD STANDARD

Fazle Hanan¹, Mahfooz Hussain², Zaman Shah³, Amir Asrar⁴, Sundas Qureshi⁴

¹Department of Ophthalmolgy, Frontier Medical and Dental College, Abbottabad - Pakistan

²Department of Ophthalmolgy, Lady reading hospital Peshawar - Pakistan

³Department of Ophthalmolgy, Khyber teaching hospital, Peshawar - Pakistan

⁴Department of Ophthalmolgy, Amanat Eye Hospital Peshawar - Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To find out the diagnostic accuracy of the Belin/ Ambrosio enhanced ectasia index test in keratoconus diagnosis

Material and methods: In this cross sectional study, both eyes of five hundred patients were included. Patients were included in a systematic random manner from amongst those coming to Amanat eye hospital Peshawar from July 2018 to June 2019 to get rid of their glasses or aiming remedy for corneal bulging. Amanat eye hospital is located at university road in Peshawar providing ocular diagnostic and treatment services. The tools used for the study were the Oculus Pentacam HR and the Corvis ST. The collected data was analyzed statistically using SPSS version 23.

Results: The mean BAD-D value was 3.08+/- 4.45 SD, the SEM was 0.14, 95% confidence interval (Cl) of 2.80 to 3.35. The range was 0 to 40.21. The mean TBI value was 0.46+/_ 0.39 SD, SEM was 0.12 with a 95% Cl of 0.22 to 0.70. The range of TBI was 0 to 1.00. For BAD-D, sensitivity was 75.6 per cent, specificity was 100 %, positive predictive value was 100% and the negative predictive value was 72.3%.

Conclusion: In terms of specificity and sensitivity, the current study showed acceptable diagnostic accuracy of BAD-D. It is recommended that its result should be interpreted along with other topographic, and tomographic parameters.

Key words: Corvis biomechanical index, Belin/Ambrosio enhanced ectasia total deviation display; Tomographic and biomechanical index.

This article may be cited as: Hanan F, Hussain M, Shah Z, Asrar A, Qureshi S. Diagnostic accuracy of the Belin/Ambrosio enhanced ectasia total deviation display (BAD-D) in screening keratoconus taking tomographic and biomechanical index (TBI) as the gold standard. J Med Sci 2020 July;28(3):288-291

INTRODUCTION

In this era, diagnosing subclinical corneal ectasia has become increasingly important because of the introduction of new treatment options for refractive disorders such as laser in situ keratomileusis, photo refractive keratectomy, femto LASIK, and small incision lenticule extraction^{1,2,3,4}. The importance of excluding the possibility of coexisting corneal ectatic states along with refractive error can Correspondence

Dr. Fazle Hanan

Associate Professor

Department of ophthalmolgy, Frontier Medical and Dental College, Abbottabad - Pakistan Email: drfazlhanan@gmail.com Cell: +92-316-9407720 Date received: 23-05-2020 Date revised: 29-06-2020 Date accepted: 10-07-2020 be understood by the fact that there is a deterioration of vision due to increase in corneal bulging after corneal laser procedures in such cases⁵. The recent observation that in corneal ectasia, riboflavin dropping of the cornea followed by ultraviolet light therapy can halt the advancement of this ailment to more developed stages has further increased the significance of early ectasia detection^{6,7}. Keratoconus usually involves both eyes of a patient in an asymmetric way, in which there is thinning and protrusion of the central and para central cornea showing up around pubescence⁸. Keratoconus patients generally present with variable amount of inadequate vision which cannot be corrected precisely with refraction. In fully developed cases, on clinical examination, there is irregular scissor reflex on retinoscopy because of oblique astigmatism and the distant direct ophthalmoscopy can elicit central oil droplet reflex. Yet, in

the beginning stage, which is likewise called subclinical keratoconus, or forme fruste keratoconus, because of the unclear clinical picture, the diagnosis can be made with the assistance of screening tests which have high degree of diagnostic accuracy⁹.

Nowadays, various devices are utilized for diagnosing keratoconus, incorporating corneal surface, shape and curvature measurements. They include the Orbscan II, the Oculus Pentacam, Galilei G4, and Sirius. The aforementioned instruments measure corneal shape, thickness, and rise of the front and back surfaces of the cornea. In the near past, it was observed that in keratoconus, the corneal response to biomechanical influences is altered before variations taking place in corneal thickness and shape¹⁰. Currently, the response of the corneal to biomechanical factors is studied with two devices, one is the Ocular Response Analyzer and the other is the Corvis. Pentacam HR¹¹ depends on Scheimpflug slit picture photography. It measures the corneal thickness in a harmless manner and uses a 475-nm monochromatic cut of light to enlighten the cornea and a 1.45-megapixel camera for photography. The camera rotates about the line of fixation of the eye during the scanning process. There are different scanning programs in Pentacam which include a 25-picture one second scan, a 50-picture two seconds scan, and a cornea fine 50 pictures in one second scan. Based on the information derived from these photos, the system computes a 3D model of the anterior segment of eye from up to 138,000 real elevation points. Another camera detects eye movements and necessary corrections are made thereafter.

The BAD-D¹² is a composite presentation of the height and thickness data of the cornea recorded by Pentacam ST. Deviation from the mean normal values are recorded in standard deviation as; deviation of the front and back corneal height (df and db respectively), thickness distribution (dp), thinnest value (dt) and superio-inferior relocation of the thinnest area with respect to the corneal apex (dy). The d values are calculated in such a way that a zero figure represents the average of the normal individuals and 1 shows one standard deviation towards the ailment. At the end a D value is determined dependent on a regression analysis that measures each parameter differently. If a value is underneath 1.6 from the population mean, it is colored white, yellow (dubious) when it is \geq 1.6 SD from the mean and red (unhealthy) when more than 2.6 SD from the mean.

The blend of thickness and biomechanical response is another test which is named as tomographic and biomechanical index or TBI. The aim of the present study was to look at the diagnostic precision of BAD-D in keratoconus screening taking TBI as the gold standard¹³.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This cross sectional study included patients who visited Amanat eye clinic Peshawar during latter half of 2018 to first half of 2019. Amanat eye hospital Peshawar is a private eye care center having outpatient treatment service, diagnostic and surgical treatment facilities. There were two kinds of patients in this study; those who were keen to get rid of their glasses by opting a laser procedure or those who were instructed to have pentacam test because of the frequent changes in glasses and therefore thought of as candidate for riboflavin dropping therapy if found positive for corneal bulging. Those individuals with a history of some corneal laser therapy, or having evident clinical signs of keratoconus were not included. Both genders were included randomly within the age range of 5 to 50 years. Both eyes of 500 patients were included in the study making a total of one thousand cases. The devices used for screening were the Oculus Pentacam to investigate BAD-D and the Oculus Carvis ST for CBI and TBI. The study was carried out under the recommendations of the tenets of declaration of Helsinki.

RESULTS

In the current study, both eyes of 500 patients were recruited. The number of female and male patients was 182 and 318 with a percentage of 36.4 and 63.6 respectively. The age range of the patients was 5 to 49 years, with a mean and SD of 21.89+/-8.434 years, 95% CI for age was 21.15 to 22.63 with SE of 0.377years. The cut off range for BAD-D was 0.00 to 1.6 as normal, 1.7 to 3 as suspicious and more than 3 as diseased. For TBI, the cutoff normal range was 0.00 up to 0.25, suspicious range was 0.26 to 0.5 and diseases reference range was 0.51 to 1. The result of BAD-D is given in table 1. The mean BAD-D value was 3.080+/- 4.450 sd. The SE was 0.140, with a 95% CI of 2.805 to 3.356. The minimum BAD-D value was 0.00 and the maximum value was 40.21. The result of TBI is given in table 2. The mean TBI value was 0.465+/ 0.392 SD. The SE was 0.124 with a 95% CI of $0.22\overline{2}$ to 0.708. The minimum TBI value was 0.00 and the maximum value was 1.00.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we assessed the diagnostic value of BAD-D in corneal ectasia diagnosis by finding out their sensitivity, specificity and predictive values against TBI from the collected data. In the present study, the sensitivity and the specificity of BAD –D was 75.6% and 100% respectively. The positive and negative predictive value of BAD-D was 100% and 72.3% respectively.

Wang YM study results for the sensitivity of BAD-D in forme fruste keratoconus was 52.6% with a specificity of 80.3%¹⁶. Both the markers have lower

Table 1: BAD-D					
No	Category	Frequency OD	Frequency OS	Total	Percentage
1	Normal	274	264	538	53.8
2	Suspicious	125	139	264	26.4
3	Diseased	101	97	198	19.8
Total		500	500	1000	100

Table 2: TBI

S. No	Category	Frequency OD	Frequency OS	Total	Percentage
1	Normal	192	197	389	38.9
2	Suspicious	114	113	227	22.7
3	Diseased	194	190	384	38.4
Total	Total	500	500	1000	100

Table 3: Evaluation of BAD-D by comparing it with TBI

s.no	BAD-D result	Disease present	Disease absent	Total	Sensitivity=%75.6
1	Positive test (suspicious plus diseased)	a (true positive) 462	B (false positive) 0	a+b 462	Specificity=%100 Positive predictive value=%100
2	Negative test	c (false negative) 149	d (true negative) 389	c+d 538	value=%72.3
3	Total	611	389	a+b+c+d 1000	

Table 4: Comparison of BAD-D with TBI for p value calculation

S no		BAD-D observed(expected)	TBI Observed(expected)	Total	P value (chi square
1	Normal	a 538 (463.5)	B 389 (463.5)	927	test)=0.06
2	Suspicious plus diseased	C 462 (536.5)	D 611 (536.5)	1073	
3	Total (observed)	1000	1000	2000	

values in their study than our study, the explanation being their comparison of just the forme fruste keratoconus with normal individuals whereas our study compares both subclinical and clinical ectasia cases with normal individuals. Subclinical cases have parameters nearer to the normal population than established ectasia cases; therefore, subclinical cases sensitivity and specificity results are less than those of established keratoconus cases.

Muftuoglu noted 60% sensitivity and 90% specificity of BAD-D for subclinical KC versus normal eyes¹⁷. Steinberg reported 69% sensitivity and 79% specificity for BAD-D when comparing subclinical keratoconus with normal subjects¹⁸. Ambrosio Jr R documented sensitivity and specificity of 87% and 92.1% respectively for BAD-D in his study before performing LASIK procedure¹⁹. Sedaqatl found a sensitivity and specificity of 100% for BAD-D, but he compared patients having frank keratoconus with normal population, in which the difference is more clear and pronounced²⁰. This data show reasonable specificity of BAD-D, which indicate that its positive

result is trustworthy. However, with a sensitivity of 75.6%, this study may highlight the chances of false negative results in early stage of subclinical ectasia. So, if a patient is labeled negative with BAD-D test and there is clinical suspicion of forme fruste keratoconus, the test should be interpreted in combination with other parameters measuring corneal thickness and curvature; and should also be correlated with clinical findings.

CONCLUSION

The current study showed acceptable diagnostic accuracy of BAD-D in terms of specificity (100%), and sensitivity (75.6%), which imply that if this test is used alone for keratoconus screening, some cases of subclinical keratoconus may be missed. So, the author recommends that its result should be interpreted in combination with clinical history, topographic and biomechanical parameters.

REFERENCES

- Solomon KD, De Castro LE, Sandoval HP, Biber JM, Groat B, Neff KD, Ying MS, French JW, Donnenfeld ED, Lindstrom RL, Force JL. LASIK world literature review: quality of life and patient satisfaction. Ophthalmology. 2009 Apr 1;116(4):691-701.
- Kaluzny BJ, Cieslinska I, Mosquera SA, Verma S. Single-step trans epithelial prk vs alcohol-assisted prk in myopia and compound myopic astigmatism correction. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016;95(6):e1993. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000001993
- Wozniak KT, Elkins N, Brooks DR, Savage DE, MacRae S, Ellis JD, Knox WH, Huxlin KR. Contrasting cellular damage after Blue-IRIS and Femto-LASIK in cat cornea. Exp Eye Res. 2017 Dec 1;165:20-8.
- Li M, Zhao J, Shen Y, Li T, He L, Xu H, Yu Y, Zhou X. Comparison of dry eye and corneal sensitivity between small incision lenticule extraction and femtosecond LASIK for myopia. PloS one. 2013;8(10).
- Malecaze F, Coullet J, Calvas P, Fournié P, Arné JL, Brodaty C. Corneal ectasia after photorefractive keratectomy for low myopia. Ophthalmology. 2006 May 1;113(5):742-6.
- Alhayek A, Lu PR. Corneal collagen crosslinking in keratoconus and other eye disease. Int J Ophthalmo. 2015;8(2):407.
- Meiri Z, Keren S, Rosenblatt A, Sarig T, Shenhav L, Varssano D. Efficacy of corneal collagen cross-linking for the treatment of keratoconus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cornea. 2016 Mar 1;35(3):417-28.
- 8. Gokhale NS. Epidemiology of keratoconus. Indian journal of ophthalmology. 2013 Aug;61(8):382.
- Ortiz-Toquero S, Martin R. Keratoconus screening in primary eye care: a general overview. Eu Ophthalo Rev. 2016; 10(2):80–5.
- Riccarso Vinciguerra, Renato Ambrosio Jr, Ahmed Elsheikh, Cynthia J et al. Detection of keratoconus with anew biomechanical index: J Refract Surg. 2016;32(12): 803-810.
- McAlinden C, Khadka J, Pesudovs K. A comprehensive evaluation of the precision (repeatability and reproducibility) of the Oculus Pentacam HR. Invest Ophthalmo Vis Sci. 2011 Sep 1;52(10):7731-7.
- Ambrósio R, Faria-Correia F, Ramos I, Valbon BF, Lopes B, Jardim D, Luz A. Enhanced screening for ectasia susceptibility among refractive candidates: the role of corneal tomography and biomechanics. Curr Ophthalmol Rep. 2013 Mar 1;1(1):28-38.
- Ambrósio R, Lopes BT, Faria-Correia F, Salomão MQ, Bühren J, Roberts CJ, Elsheikh A, Vinciguerra R, Vinciguerra P. Integration of Scheimpflug-based corneal tomography and biomechanical assessments for enhancing ectasia detection. J Refract Surg. 2017 Jul 7;33(7):434-43.
- Koh S, Ambrosio R Jr, Inoue R, Maeda N, Nishida K. detection of subclinical corneal ectasia using corneal tomographic and biomechanical assessment in Japanese

population, J Refract Surg. 2019 jun 1; 35(6) : 383-390.

- Hashemi H, Beiranvand A, Yekta A, Maleki A, Yazdani N, Khabazkhoob M. Pentacam top indices for diagnosing subclinical and definite keratoconus. J Curr Ophthal. 2016 Mar 1;28(1):21-26.
- Wang ym, Chan TCY,Yu M,Jhanji V. Comparison of corneal dynamic and tomographic analysis in normal, forme fruste keratoconus, and keratoconic eyes: J Refract Surg.2017; 33(9):632-638.
- Muftuoglu O., Ayar O., Hurmeric V., Orucoglu F., Kılıc I. Comparison of multimetric D index with keratometric, pachymetric, and posterior elevation parameters in diagnosing subclinical keratoconus in fellow eyes of asymmetric keratoconus patients. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2015;41(3):557–565. doi: 10.1016/j. jcrs.2014.05.052.
- Steinberg J., Siebert M., Katz T., et al. Tomographic and biomechanical scheimpflug imaging for keratoconus characterization: a validation of current indices. J Refract Surg. 2018;34(12):840–847. doi: 10.3928/1081597x-20181012-01
- Ambrósio Jr R, Ramos I, Lopes B, Canedo AL, Correa R, Guerra F, Luz A, Price Jr FW, Price MO, Schallhor S, Belin MW. Assessing ectasia susceptibility prior to LASIK: the role of age and residual stromal bed (RSB) in conjunction to Belin-Ambrósio deviation index (BAD-D). Rev Bras Oftalmo. 2014 Apr;73(2):75-80.
- Sedaghat MR, Momeni-Moghaddam H, Ambrósio Jr R, Heidari HR, Maddah N, Danesh Z, Sabzi F. Diagnostic ability of corneal shape and biomechanical parameters for detecting frank keratoconus. Cornea. 2018 Aug 1;37(8):1025-34.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: Authors declare no conflict of interest

.....

GRANT SUPPORT AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: NIL

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

Following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under

Hanan F:	Data Collection, Analysis, revision, desining and writing
Hussain M:	Analysis and revision
Shah Z:	revision
Asrar A:	revision
Qureshi S:	Data collection and revision

Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.