Punjab University Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1016-2526) Vol. 50(1)(2018) pp. 139-151

# An Asymptotic Method with Applications to Nonlinear Coupled Partial Differential Equations

M. Fiza<sup>1</sup>, S. Islam<sup>1</sup>, H. Ullah<sup>1</sup>, Z. Shah<sup>1</sup>, F. Chohan<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics,<sup>1</sup> Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, 23200 Pakistan. Department of IT, <sup>2</sup> Burraimi University, Oman. Corresponding Author : Hakeem Ullah Email: (hakeemullah1@gmail.com)

Received: 18 November, 2016 / Accepted: 25 January, 2017 / Published online: 25 November, 2017

**Abstract.** In this paper the approximate solution of coupled burgers equations is obtained by asymptotic MOHAM. The obtained results are compared through tables and graphs. The convergence and residual is plotted.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification Codes: 35S29; 40S70; 25U09 Key Words: MOHAM, HPM, Exact, coupled burgers and coupled mKdV equations..

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear problems (NP) arising in science and engineering are treated by various methods. Some software packages like HOMPACK90 and POLSYSPLP [1-3] are used. The exact solution of NP cannot be found easily as every NPDEs have infinitely many solutions. The analytical and exact solution of such problems are either not available in the literature or may be found by using transformation based on, invariance group analysis method [4], Lie infinitesimal criterion [5], the symbolic computation [6] and Backlund transformation [7]. All these methods reduced the complex equations into simple equations by using the transformation. In the literate most of the methods like Variational iterative method (VIM) [8], Adomian decomposition method (ADM) [9], Differential transform method (DTM) [10] and Homotopy perturbation method (HPM) [11] have been used for the solution of weakly NPDEs and few for strongly NPDEs. To tackle the strongly NPDEs the perturbation method were introduced [12-14]. These methods contain a small parameter which cannot be found easily. New analytic methods such as artificial parameters method [15], Homotopy analysis method (HAM) [16] and Homotopy perturbation method (HPM) [11] were introduced. These methods combined the homotopy with the perturbation techniques. Some applications of this method are given [17-27].T.Nabil et al. [28] has

investigated MHD flow and heat transfer for a peristaltic motion of Carreau fluid embedded a Porous Medium.Baloch and Solangi [29] has worked on the simulation of rotational flows in cylindrical. Mahmood et all.[30] investigated the magnetohydrodynamic flow due to a stretching surface in rotating fluid.The other relevant work can be seen in [31-36]

# 2. FUNDAMENTAL MATHEMATICAL THEORY OF MULTISTAGE OHAM

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) + \delta_1 = 0$$
  
$$\mathcal{A}_2(\zeta) + \delta_2 = 0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$
  
(2. 1)

$$\beta_1(\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial x}) = 0,$$

$$\beta_2(\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x}) = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma.$$
(2. 2)

Where  $A_1, A_2$  are differential operators,  $\zeta, \xi$  are unknown functions,  $\Gamma$  is the boundary of  $\Omega$  and  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  are known analytic functions can be divided into two parts

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{N}_2.$$
(2.3)

The homotopy  $\mu(x,t;l): \Omega \times [0, 1] \to \Re, \ \nu(x,t;l): \Psi \times [0, 1] \to \Re$  Satisfying

$$H(\mu, l) = (1 - l)\mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1 - U_1(l)\mathcal{A}_1 + \delta_1 = 0,$$
  

$$H(\nu, l) = (1 - l)\mathcal{L}_2 + \delta_2 - U_2(l)\mathcal{A}_2 + \delta_2 = 0.$$
(2.4)

When l varies from 0 to 1 , the solution varies from  $\xi_0(x,t),\zeta_0(x,t)$  to  $\xi(x,t),\zeta(x,t).$  For l=0

$$\mathcal{L}_{1}(\xi_{0},\zeta_{0}) + s_{1}(x,t) = 0, \quad \beta_{1}(\xi_{0},\frac{\partial\xi_{0}}{\partial x}) = 0,$$
  
$$\mathcal{L}_{2}(\xi_{0},\zeta_{0}) + s_{2}(x,t) = 0, \quad \beta_{2}(\xi_{0},\frac{\partial\xi_{0}}{\partial x}) = 0.$$
 (2.5)

We choose auxiliary functions in the form

$$U_{1}(l) = lD_{11} + l^{2}D_{12} + l^{3}D_{13} + \dots + l^{m}D_{1m},$$
  

$$U_{2}(l) = lD_{21} + l^{2}D_{22} + l^{3}D_{23} + \dots + l^{m}D_{2m}.$$
(2. 6)

To get the approximate solutions, we expand  $\mu(D_{1i}), \nu(D_{2i})$  by Taylor's series

$$\mu(D_{1i}) = \xi_0 + \sum_{k \ge 1} \xi_k(D_{1i}) l_k,$$
  

$$\nu(D_{1i}) = \zeta_0 + \sum_{k \ge 1} \zeta_k(D_{2i}) l_k,$$
(2.7)

where k = l = n = i = 1, 2, 3, ... Now substituting Eq. (2.6) - (2.7) into Eq. (2.4) and equating the coefficient of like powers of l, we obtain Zeroth order system (2.5), the first

and second order systems  $\left(2.7\right)-\left(2.9\right)$  respectively and the general form  $\left(2.10\right)$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{1}(\xi_{1}) - \mathcal{L}_{1}\xi_{0}, \zeta_{0}) = D_{11}(\mathcal{L}_{1}(\xi_{0}, \zeta_{0}) + \mathcal{N}_{1}(\xi_{0}, \zeta_{0}))\beta_{1}(\xi_{1}, \frac{\partial\xi_{1}}{\partial x}) = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2}(\zeta_{1}) - \mathcal{L}_{2}\xi_{0}, \zeta_{0}) = D_{21}(\mathcal{L}_{2}(\xi_{0}, \zeta_{0}) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\xi_{0}, \zeta_{0})), \beta_{1}(\zeta_{1}, \frac{\partial\zeta_{1}}{\partial x}) = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1}(\xi_{2}) - \mathcal{L}_{1}(\xi_{1} = D_{11}(\mathcal{L}_{1}(\zeta_{1}, \xi_{1}) + \mathcal{N}_{1}(\zeta_{1}, \xi_{1})) + D_{12}(\mathcal{L}_{2}(\zeta_{0}, \xi_{0}) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\zeta_{0}, \xi_{0})),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2}(\zeta_{2}) - \mathcal{L}_{1}\zeta_{2} = D_{21}(\mathcal{L}_{1}(\zeta_{1}, \xi_{1}) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\zeta_{1}, \xi_{1})) + D_{22}(\mathcal{L}_{2}(\zeta_{0}, \xi_{0}) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\zeta_{0}, \xi_{0})),$$

$$\beta_{1}(\xi_{1}, \frac{\partial\xi_{1}}{\partial x}) = 0, \quad \beta_{2}(\zeta_{2}, \frac{\partial\zeta_{2}}{\partial x}) = 0,$$
(2.8)

$$\mathcal{L}_{1}(\xi_{k}) - \mathcal{L}_{1}(\xi_{k-1}, \zeta_{k-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} D_{1i}(\mathcal{L}_{1}(\xi_{k-1}, \zeta_{k-1}) + \mathcal{N}_{1}(\xi_{k-1}, \zeta_{k-1}), \\ \beta_{1}(\xi_{k}, \frac{\partial \xi_{k}}{\partial x}) = 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_{2}(\zeta_{k}) - \mathcal{L}_{2}(\xi_{k-1}, \zeta_{k-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} D_{2i}(\mathcal{L}_{2}(\xi_{k-1}, \zeta_{k-1}) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\xi_{k-1}, \zeta_{k-1}), \\ \beta_{2}(\zeta_{k}, \frac{\partial \zeta_{k}}{\partial x}) = 0.$$

$$(2.10)$$

The residual is given as

$$R_1(D_{1i}) = \mathcal{L}_1(\mu^r D_{1i}) + \delta_1 + \mathcal{N}_1(\mu^r D_{1i}),$$
  

$$R_2(D_{2i}) = \mathcal{L}_2(\nu^r D_{2i}) + \delta_2 + \mathcal{N}_2(\mu^r D_{2i}).$$
(2. 11)

One can apply the Method of Least Squares as under

$$\kappa_1(D_{1i}) = \mathcal{L}_2(\nu^r D_{1i}) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega R_1^1(D_{1i}) dx dt$$

$$\kappa_2(D_{2i}) = \mathcal{L}_2(\nu^r D_{2i}) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega R_1^2(D_{2i}) dx dt$$
(2. 12)

and

$$\frac{\partial \kappa_1}{\partial D_{1i}} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \kappa_1}{\partial D_{12}} = 0, \\ \dots \\ \frac{\partial \kappa_1}{\partial D_{1m}} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \kappa_2}{\partial D_{2i}} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \kappa_2}{\partial D_{22}} = 0, \\ \dots \\ \frac{\partial \kappa_2}{\partial D_{2m}} = 0.$$
(2.13)

Therefore, the approximate analytic solution will be

$$\xi_{k} = \{\xi_{1}, t_{0} \leq x \leq t_{l} \\ \dots \\ \xi_{N}, t_{N-1} \leq t \leq T\} \\ \zeta_{k} = \{\zeta_{1}, t_{0} \leq x \leq t_{l} \\ \dots \\ \zeta_{N}, t_{N-1} \leq t \leq T\}$$

$$(2. 14)$$

## 3. APPLICATION OF *MOHAM* TO NONLINEAR COUPLED BURGER'S EQUATIONS

Consider the nonlinear coupled Burger's equations with initial conditions [26]

$$\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^3\xi}{\partial x^3} - 3\xi^2\frac{\partial^2\xi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial x}(\xi\zeta) - 3\lambda\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial x},$$

$$\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial^3\zeta}{\partial x^3} - 3\zeta\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x} - 3\frac{\partial^2\zeta}{\partial x^2} + 3\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial x} + 3\xi^2\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x} + 3\lambda\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x},$$
(3. 15)

with initial conditions

$$\zeta(x,0) = \frac{b}{2k} + ktanh(kx),$$
  

$$\varsigma(x,0) = \frac{\lambda}{2}(1+\frac{k}{b}) + ktanh(kx).$$
(3. 16)

The exact solutions of Eq. (3.1) are [26]

$$\zeta(x,t) = \frac{b}{2k} + ktanh(k\tau),$$
  

$$\varsigma(x,t) = \frac{\lambda}{2}(1+\frac{k}{b}) + ktanh(k\tau).$$
(3. 17)

Where  $\tau = x + \frac{1}{4}(-4k^2 - 6\lambda + \frac{6k\lambda}{b} + \frac{3b^2}{k^2})$ . Applying the technique discussed in 2

$$(1-l)\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t} - U_1(l)\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^3\xi}{\partial x^3} - 3\xi^2\frac{\partial^2\xi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial x}(\xi\zeta) - 3\lambda\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial x} = 0,$$

$$(1-l)\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t} - U_1(l)\frac{\partial^3\zeta}{\partial x^3} - 3\zeta\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x} - 3\frac{\partial^2\zeta}{\partial x^2} + 3\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial x} + 3\xi^2\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x} + 3\lambda\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x} = 0.$$
(3. 18)

We consider

$$\xi = \xi_0 + l\xi_1 + l^2\xi_2,$$

$$\zeta = \zeta_0 + l\zeta_1 + l^2\zeta_2,$$

$$U_1(l) = lC_{11} + l^2C_{12},$$

$$U_2(l) = lC_{21} + l^2C_{22}.$$

$$\frac{\partial\xi_0}{\partial t} = 0,$$
(3. 20)
$$\frac{\partial\zeta_0}{\partial t} = 0,$$

(3. 20)

$$\xi_0(x,0) = \frac{b}{2k} + ktanh(kx),$$

$$\zeta_0(x,0) = \frac{\lambda}{2}(1+\frac{k}{b}) + ktanh(kx).$$
(3. 21)

Its solution

$$\frac{\partial\xi_1}{\partial t} = -C_{11}\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^3\xi_0}{\partial x^3} - 3C_{11}\xi_0^2\frac{\partial^2\xi_0}{\partial x^2} + \frac{3}{2}C_{11}\frac{\partial\xi_0}{\partial x}(\xi_0\zeta_0) - 3C_{11}\lambda\frac{\partial\xi_0}{\partial x}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\frac{\partial\zeta_1}{\partial t} = -C_{21}\frac{\partial^3\zeta_0}{\partial x^3} - 3C_{21}\zeta_0\frac{\partial\zeta_0}{\partial x} - 3C_{21}\frac{\partial^2\zeta_0}{\partial x^2} + 3C_{21}\frac{\partial\zeta_0}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\xi_0}{\partial x} + 3C_{21}\xi_0^2\frac{\partial\zeta_0}{\partial x} + 3C_{21}\lambda\frac{\partial\zeta_0}{\partial x}, \quad (3.24)$$

$$\xi_1(x,0), \xi_1(x,0) = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

# Its solution

$$\begin{split} \xi_1(x,t,C_{11}) &= tC_{11}[(8.033333sech^2(0.3333333) + 0.013456790123sech^4(0.3333333x)) \\ &+ (1.08888sech^2(0.3333333x)tanh(0.3333333x) + 0.01234567901 \\ sech^2(0.3333333x)tanh^2(0.3333333x)]), \\ \xi_1(x,t,C_{11}) &= tC_{11}[[(1.6733333sech^2(0.333333x) - 0.012345678901sech^4(0.3333333x)) \\ &(0.217777sech^4(0.3333333x)tanh(0.3333333x) + 0.01728395061 \\ sech^2(0.3333333x)tanh^2(0.333333x)]] \end{split}$$

Adding Eqs. (3.9) and (3.11), we obtain

$$\xi_1(x,0), \zeta_1(x,0) = 0. \tag{3.27}$$

# Its solution

$$\begin{split} \xi_1(x,t,C_{11}) &= 5 + 0.33333333333333tanh(0.3333333333333) + tC_{11}[(8.033333333333333) + tC_{11}[(8.03333333333) + 0.013456790123sech^4(0.33333333)) + (1.0888883sech^2(0.3333333)) + (0.01234567901sech^2(0.3333333)) + (0.01234567901sech^2(0.3333333)) + (1.0888833333)) \\ &+ 0.01234567901sech^2(0.3333333) tanh^2(0.33333333)) \\ &+ 0.01234567901sech^2(0.3333333) - 0.012345678901sech^4(0.3333333)) \\ &- tC_{11}[[(1.6733333sech^2(0.3333333)) - 0.012345678901sech^4(0.3333333)) \\ &(0.217777sech^4(0.3333333) tanh(0.3333333)) + 0.01728395061sech^2(0.3333333) tanh^2(0.3333333)]. \end{split}$$

(3. 28)

For the computation of the constants  $C_{11}, C_{12}$ , using (3.12) in (3.1) and applying the method of least square, we get

$$\begin{split} \xi(x,t) &= [3+1.00043 \times 10^{-10} sech^4(0.3333333x) + 0.3333333tanh(0.333333x), \\ 0 &\leq x \leq 0.5 \\ &+ 1.13412 \times 10^{-10} sech^2(0.333333x) + (0.12626 + tanh(0.333333x)) \\ &(80 + tanh(0.333333x)))2 + 0.13400 \times 10^{-10} + 10 sech^4(0.333333x) \\ &+ 0.333333tanh(0.33333x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 0.5 \\ &+ 0.13431 \times 10^{-10} sech^4(0.333333x) + (0.12626 + tanh(0.333333x)) \\ &(0.0737 + tanh(0.333333x)))], \\ \zeta(x,t) &= 0.0666667 - 1.20113 \times 10^{-9} sech^4(0.333333x) \\ &+ tanh(0.3333333x) + sech^2(0.333333x) 2.0134 \times 10^{-10} + 2 \times 10^{-8} \\ &+ 2 \times 10^{-9} tanh(0.33333x)] tanh(0.333333x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 0.5 \\ &0.0000667 - 0.30513 \times 10^{-9} sech^4(0.333333x) + tanh(0.333333x) + sech^2 \\ &(0.3333333x)[0.0310 \times 10^{-7} + 0.10863 \times 10^{-9} tanh(0.333333x)] \\ tanh(0.333333x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 0.5]. \end{split}$$

#### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION

In Table 1, the MOHAM results for the coupled burgers equations are compared with exact and HPM solutions for different values of and at . In Table 2-3 the absolute errors of the coupled burgers equations corresponding to exact solutions are given. Figs. 4-6 show the 2D comparison of the approximate solutions with exact solutions. The convergence of MOHAM is presented in Figs. 7-8 and the residuals are given in Figs.9-10. MOHAM is simple in applicability, contain less computational work and fastly convergent through auxiliary constants.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

The convergence of MOHAM is presented in Figs. 7-8 and the residuals are given in Figs.9-10. MOHAM is simple in applicability, contain less computational work and fastly convergent through auxiliary constants.

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor, for the patient guidance, encouragement and advice he has provided throughout my time as his student. I have been extremely lucky to have a supervisor who cared so much about my work, and who responded to my questions and queries so promptly.

The authors are thankful of the anonymous reviewers for their precious suggestions.

TABLE 1. Absolute error of MOHAM solution  $\zeta(x,t)$  corresponding to the Exact Solution

$\mid x \mid$	t = 0.2	t = 0.1	t = 0.01	t = 0.001	t = 0.0001
-15	1.18888 $\times 10^{-5}$	$  1.18888 \times 10^{-5}$	$  6.68012 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.02594 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.02857 \times 10^{-6},$
-12	$1.39349 \times 10^{-4}$	$  1.39349 \times 10^{-4}$	$  4.93661 \times 10^{-4}$	$ 7.57713 \times 10^{-4}$	$\left  7.59653 \times 10^{-5} \right $
-9	$1.01076 \times 10^{-3}$	$  1.01076 \times 10^{-3}$	$  3.65102 \times 10^{-4}$	$  5.57916 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.59337 \times 10^{-4}$
-6	$6.89963 \times 10^{-3}$	$  6.89963 \times 10^{-3}$	$  2.71230 \times 10^{-3}$	$  4.01754 \times 10^{-2}$	$  4.02739 \times 10^{-3}  $
-3	$2.78361 \times 10^{-2}$	$  2.78361 \times 10^{-2}$	$  1.97907 \times 10^{-2}$	$  5.56286 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.47923 \times 10^{-3}$
0	$1.11107 \times 10^{-2}$	$  1.11107 \times 10^{-2}$	$ 7.63359 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.47463 \times 10^{-1}$	$  6.66665 \times 10^{-2}  $
3	$2.47383 \times 10^{-2}$	$  2.47383 \times 10^{-2}$	$  4.26791 \times 10^{-2}$	$  6.66663 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.12030 \times 10^{-3}$
6	$4.88596 \times 10^{-2}$	$  4.88596 \times 10^{-2}$	$ 7.62229 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.12482 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.39250 \times 10^{-3}$
9	$6.97165 \times 10^{-3}$	$  6.97165 \times 10^{-3}$	$  1.07375 \times 10^{-3}$	$  5.40207 \times 10^{-3}$	$ 7.56104 \times 10^{-4} $
12	$9.50825 \times 10^{-4}$	$  9.50825 \times 10^{-4}$	$  1.46114 \times 10^{-4}$	$ 7.57481 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.02824 \times 10^{-5}$
15	$1.28738 \times 10^{-5}$	$  1.28738 \times 10^{-5}$	$  1.97890 \times 10^{-5}$	$  1.39503 \times 10^{-5}$	$  1.39248 \times 10^{-6}  $



FIGURE 1. 3D Approximate solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.

x	t = 0.2	t = 0.1	t = 0.01	t = 0.001	t = 0.0001
-15	$6.10573 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.10573 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.56495 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.85825 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.86617 \times 10^{-6},$
-12	$4.50488 \times 10^{-4}$	$  4.50488 \times 10^{-4}  $	$1.15656 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.11093 \times 10^{-4}$	$ 2.11675 \times 10^{-5} $
-9	$3.29250 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.29250 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.55714 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.55395 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.55822 \times 10^{-4}$
-6	$2.24608 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.24608 \times 10^{-3}$	$6.37501 \times 10^{-3}$	$  1.11717 \times 10^{-2}$	$  1.11201 \times 10^{-3}  $
-3	$9.56286 \times 10^{-2}$	$9.56286 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.72517 \times 10^{-2}$	$6.81789 \times 10^{-2}$	$  6.83167 \times 10^{-3}  $
0	$4.98356 \times 10^{-2}$	$  4.98356 \times 10^{-2}  $	$1.90703 \times 10^{-1}$	$  1.80846 \times 10^{-1}$	$  1.80843 \times 10^{-2}  $
3	$4.98356 \times 10^{-2}$	$  5.76831 \times 10^{-2}  $	$1.11505 \times 10^{-2}$	$8.54788 \times 10^{-2}$	$8.53431 \times 10^{-3}$
6	$1.19566 \times 10^{-2}$	$  1.19566 \times 10^{-2}  $	$2.01656 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.48555 \times 10^{-2}$	$  1.48268 \times 10^{-3}  $
9	$1.71650 \times 10^{-3}$	$  1.71650 \times 10^{-3}  $	$2.84625 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.08494 \times 10^{-3}$	$  2.08081 \times 10^{-4}  $
12	$2.34172 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.34172 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.87416 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.83573 \times 10^{-4}$	$ 2.83009 \times 10^{-5} $
15	$3.17261 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.17261 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.24719 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.84033 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.83269 \times 10^{-6}$

TABLE 2. Absolute error of MOHAM solution  $\xi(x,t)$  corresponding to the Exact Solution



FIGURE 2. 3D Exact solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.



FIGURE 3. 3D Approximate solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.



FIGURE 4. 3D Exact solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Abdel-Hamid, *Exact solutions of some nonlinear evolution equations using symbolic computations*, Comp. Math. Appl. **40**, (2000) 291-302.
- [2] A. Baloch and M. A. Solangi, Simulation of Rotational Flows in Cylindrical Vessel with Rotating Single Stirrer, Punjab Univ. J. Math. Vol. 40, (2008) 83-96.
- [3] R. Bellman, *Perturbation techniques in Mathematics*, Phys. and Engg. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1964.
- [4] G. Bluman and S. Kumei, *On the remarkable nonlinear diffusion equations*, J. Math. Phys. **21**, (2005) 1019-1023.
- [5] Y. Chen and H. An, Homotopy Perturbation method for a type of nonlinear coupled equation with parameters derivatives, Appl. Math. Comp. 204, (2008) 7764-7772.
- [6] L. C. Chun, Fourier series based variational iteration method for a reliable treatment of heat equations with variable coefficients, Int. J. Non-linr. Sci. Num. Simu. **10**, (2007) 1383-1388.
- [7] J. D. Cole, Perturbation Methods in Applied Mathematics, Blaisedell, Waltham, MA. 1968.



FIGURE 5. 3D Approximate solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.



FIGURE 6. 3D Exact solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.

- [8] S. Deniz and N. Bildik, Comparison of Adomian decomposition method and Taylor matrix method in solving different kinds of partial differential equations, Int. J. Mod. Opt. 4, No. 4 (2014) 292-298.
- [9] P. G. Drzain and R. S. Johnson, *An introduction discussion the theory of solution and its diverse applications*, Camb. Uni. Pres. 1989.
- [10] D. D. Ganji, *The application of He's homotopy perturbation method to nonlinear equation arising in heat transfer*, Phy. Lett. A **355**, (2006) 337-341.
- [11] N. Herisanu and V. Marinca, Explicit analytical approximation to large amplitude nonlinear osciallation of a uniform contilaver beam carrying an intermediate lumped mass and rotary inertia, Mecc, 45, (2010) 847-855.
- [12] M. Idrees, S. Islam, A. M. Tirmizi and T. Haroon, Application of the optimal homotopy asymptotic method of the Kortewag-de-Varies equation, Comp. Math. Appl. 63, (2012) 695-707.
- [13] M. Idrees, S. Islam, S. Haq and G. Zaman, *Application of the optimal homotopy asymptotic method to squeezing flow*, Comp. Math. Appl. **59**, (2010) 3858-3866.
- [14] M. Idrees, S. Islam, A. M. Tirmizi and T. Haroon, *Application of the optimal homotopy asymptotic method of the Kortewag-de-Varies equation*, Comp. Math. Appl. **63**, (2012) 695-707.



FIGURE 7. 3D Approximate solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.



FIGURE 8. 3D Exact solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.

- [15] S. Islam, R. A. Shah and I. Ali, Optimal homotopy asymptotic method solutions of Coutte and Poiseulle flows of a third grade fluid with heat transfer analysis, Int. J. Nonlinr. Sci. Numr. Simu. 11, (2010) 389-400.
- [16] H. Khalil, R. A. Khan, M. H. Al-Smadi, A. A. Freihat and N. Shawagfeh, New Operational Matrix For Shifted Legendre Polynomials and Fractional Differential Equations With Variable Coefficients, Punjab Univ. J. Math. Vol. 47, No. 1 (2015) 81-103.
- [17] S. J. Liao, *The proposed homotopy analysis technique for the solution of nonlinear problems*, PhD thesis, Shanghai Jiao Tong University 1992.
- [18] G. L. Liu, *New research direction in singular perturbation theory*, artificial parameter approach and inverse perturbation technique, in: Conf. 7th Mod. Maths. Mech. 1997.
- [19] T. Mahmood, S. Ali and M. A Khan, *Magnetohydrodynamic Flow due to a Stretching Surface in Rotating Fluid*, Punjab Univ. J. Math. Vol. **46**, No. 1 (2014) 39-50.
- [20] R. E. O. Malley, Introduction to Singular Perturbation, Acad. Pres. New York. 1974.
- [21] V. Marinca, N. Herisanu and I. Nemes, *Optimal homotopy asymptotic method with application to thin film flow*, Central European Journal of Physics **6**, (2008) 648-53.



FIGURE 9. 3D Approximate solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.



FIGURE 10. 3D Exact solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.



FIGURE 11. 3D Approximate solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.



FIGURE 12. 3D Exact solution of  $\xi(x, t)$  at t = 0.1.

- [22] V. Marinca and N. Herisanu, An optimal homotopy asymptotic method applied to the steady flow of a fourthgrade fluid past a porous plate, Applied Mathematics Letters 22, (2009) 245-51.
- [23] V. Marinca, N. Herisanu and I. Nemes, A New Analytic Approach to Nonlinear Vibration of An Electrical Machine, Proceeding of the Romanian Academy 9, (2008) 229-236.
- [24] T. Nabil, T. M. El-Dabe, A. Fouadand and M. H. Marwa, Magnetohydrodynamic Flow and Heat Transfer for A Peristaltic Motion of Carreau Fluid Through A Porous Medium, Punjab Univ. J. Math. Vol. 42, (2010) 1-16.
- [25] S. Riaz, M. Rafiq and Ozair Ahmad, Non Standard Finite Difference Method for Quadratic Riccati Differential Equation, Punjab Univ. J. Math. Vol. 47, No. 2 (2015) 49-55.
- [26] M. Saqib and M. Iqbal, Sequence Of Multi-Step Higher Order Iteration Schemes For Nonlinear Scalar Equations, Punjab Univ. J. Math. Vol. 49, No. 2 (2017) 51-58.
- [27] M. Saqib and M. Iqbal, Two New Cubically Convergent Iteration Schemes for Resolution of Nonlinear Equations Based On Quadrature Rules, Punjab Univ. J. Math. Vol. 49, No. 1 (2017) 75-83.
- [28] M. Torrisi, R. Tracina and A. Valenti, A group analysis approach for a nonlinear differential system arising in diffusion phenomena, J. Math. Phys. 37, No. 9 (1996) 4758-4767.
- [29] H. Ullah, S. Islam, M. Idrees and R. Nawaz, Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method to doubly wave solutions of the coupled Drinfel'd-Sokolv-Wilson equations, Math. Prob. Engg. Arti. ID 362816, 8 pages.
- [30] H. Ullah, S. Islam, M. Idrees and M. Arif, Solution of Boundary Layer Problems with Heat Transfer by Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method, Abst. App. Anal. Volu. 2013 Arti. ID 324869, 10 pages.
- [31] L. T. Watson, Probabily one homotopies in computational sciences, Technical Report TR 00-03, Comp. Sci. Virg. Tech. 1, (2000).
- [32] L. T. Watson, S. C. Billups and A. P. Morgan, *HOMPACK: a suite of codes for globally convergent homotopy* algorithms, ACM Trans. Math. Soft. **13**, (1987) 281-310.
- [33] L. T. Watson, M. Sosonkina, R. C. Melvile, A. P. Morgan and H. F. Walker, *Algorithm 777: HOMPACK 90: suite of Fortran 90 codes for globally convergent homotopy algorithms*. ACM Trans. Math. Soft. 23, (1997) 514-549.
- [34] H. Yaghoobi and M. Tirabi, *The application of differential transformation method to nonlinear equation arising in heat transfer*, Int. Comm. Heat Mass Transfer **38**, No. 6 (2011) 815-820.
- [35] H. R. Yazdani and M. N. Fikhah, Solving Differential Equations by New Wavelet Transform Method Based on the Quasi-Wavelets and Differential Invariants, Punjab Univ. J. Math. Vol. 49, No. 3 (2017) 149-162.
- [36] A. Zada, T. Li and M. Arif, Asymptotic Behavior of Linear Evolution Difference System, Punjab Univ. J. Math. Vol. 47, No. 1 (2015) 119-125.