ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Caries Susceptibility of Proximal Surfaces in Permanent First Molars: A Cross Sectional Survey

Arshad Hassan¹, Javeria Ali Khan², Syed Abrar Ali³

ABSTRACT

Objective: To report the caries frequency of proximal surfaces of permanent first molars.

Study Design: Descriptive study.

Place and Duration of the Study: Department of Operative Dentistry, Dow Dental College, Dow University of Health Sciences Karachi. One month from 1st October 2015 to 31st October 2015.

Materials and Methods: The study was conducted on retrospective data of 374 patients presenting to the department of Operative Dentistry, Dow University of Health Sciences. Diagnosis of dental caries was made on selected periapical radiographs using criteria provided by Senel. Caries data and other independent variables e.g. age; gender, arch and side were analyzed with SPSS for windows version 17. Chi square test was used to measure association between proximal caries and qualitative variables such as gender, age group and tooth group.

Results: There were more mesial proximal lesions (56.4%) than distal lesions (43.6) in all age groups except for 41-50 years and above 50 years (p=0.109). When maxillary and mandibular teeth were compared with proximal surfaces, mesial surface of maxillary first molar was found to be more carious statistically (p=0.000326)

Conclusion: Mesial surface of maxillary permanent first molar was associated with increased risk for dental caries.

Key Words: Caries Diagnosis, Dental Caries, Permanent First Molar, Mesial Proximal Surface

Introduction

Permanent first molar due to its early eruption and posterior location is most caries prone and most treated tooth in dentistry.^{1,2} A different caries risk has been associated with its different surfaces. A study from USA reported a higher caries incidence for buccal and occlusal surface as compared to proximal.¹ Hopcraft reported a possible association of age with site of tooth, occlusal caries been more common in young while proximal caries was observed more in older subjects.² Similarly, early diagnosis of caries in these teeth is of paramount importance. For occlusal and smooth surfaces, only

^{1,2}Department of Operative Dentistry Dow Dental College, Dow University of Health Sciences,Karachi ³Department of Operative Dentistry Hamdard University Dental Hospital, Karachi Correspondence: Dr. Javaria Ali Khan Department of Operative Dentistry Dow Dental College, Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi E-mail: javkhan_78@hotmail.com Funding Source: NIL; Conflict of Interest: NIL Received: November 08, 2018; Revised: February 11, 2019

Accepted: March 10, 2019

visual clinical examination might be enough.³ Proximal surfaces on the other hand, are more likely to be under-diagnosed even with radiographs.⁴⁻⁶ previous caries experience especially in early childhood might also be used to assign a higher risk of developing proximal caries.⁷ The proximal surfaces of first molar therefore, must be thoroughly examined and accurately diagnosed. It has been reported that proximal decay is the most common reason for endodontic therapy in this tooth.^{8,9} Site specific studies have been published internationally reporting a different caries incidence for proximal and smooth surfaces of permanent first molar. Lith reported an increased incidence of dental caries in mesial surface of first molar.¹⁰ Distal surface of first molar was found more at risk in a study that reported caries risk in proximal surface according to eruption order.¹¹A Swedish longitudinal study on adolescents also found more caries incidence on distal surface.¹² In contrast, proximal surface of first molar was not considered at more risk in another study.¹ Although there is abundant caries data on local population, site specific studies have not been conducted so far.^{13,16} Few studies reported caries incidence, however data on specific sites was pooled and tooth

level site specific data was not provided.^{17,18} It was therefore, the objective of this study to report the site specific caries data for proximal surface of permanent first molar. The aim of the study was to evaluate the caries frequency of mesial and distal surfaces of permanent first molar.

Materials and Methods

This descriptive study was undertaken at the Department of Operative Dentistry, Dow Dental College. The study was based on retrospective patient data stored in the records of the Department. Duration of study was one month, during the month of October 2015. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the institutional review board (IRB-585/DUHS/Approval/2015/110). The sample size for this study was calculated at 95% confidence level and 80% power, a sample size of 362 was calculated(62% occurrence of class 2 lesions).¹⁹ Sample size calculation was made by using Open Epi version 2 (open source calculator SS-proper). We included 374 permanent first molars in our study by consecutive sampling method based on following criteria. We included permanent First molars in either arch, teeth with primary proximal decay and radiographs of acceptable quality. Cases with more than one carious first molar were also included. The exclusion criteria included teeth with secondary carious lesions and a tooth with both the proximal surfaces involved. Selected radiographs were viewed under standard conditions. An illuminator was used to view and score selected radiographs. A calibrated examiner made all the readings. The radiographic diagnosis of dental caries was made according to the criteria modified from Senel, where 0 was scored for no caries and 1 for caries confined to enamel or involving dentin.²⁰

A specially designed proforma was used to collect the data. Data analysis was performed with SPSS version 17 for Windows. Mean and standard deviation of qualitative variable like age and percentages of qualitative variables e.g. gender and proximal surface were calculated. Chi square test was used to determine Association between the two proximal surfaces and categorical variables like gender, age group and tooth group was determined with chi square test at 95% of significance.

Results

The current study included 374 permanent first

molars based on our inclusion and exclusion criteria. The basic descriptive details of our sample are summarized in table no. I. The mesial surface was found to be carious (n=211, 56.4%) more than the distal surface (n=163, 43.6%). The association of proximal carious surface to the age groups (p=0.109) and gender (p=0.423) was found to be insignificant (Table No. II). The percentage of mesial and distal surfaces was found to be equal for <20 age group, where-as for age group 20-40 mesial surface had an increased occurrence. However, age group 40-50 had both surfaces equally affected and in >50 age group an increased percentage of distal carious lesions was found (Graph no. I). The association of proximal decay on mesial surface of maxillary permanent first molar was found to be significant (p=0.00032) (Table No. III).

	Frequency	Percentage	Mean±SD
Age			34.36±13.39
Gender Male	174	46.5	
Female	200	53.5	
Age Group <20 21-30 31-40 41-50 >50	59	15.8	
	114	30.5	
	98	26.2	
	56	15.0	
	47	12.6	
Proximal	211	56.4	
Surface Mesial Distal	163	43.6	
Tooth	85	22.7	
Maxillary Right Left Mandibular Right Left	97	25.9	
	100	26.7	
	92	24.6	

Table I: Basic Demographi	c Data
---------------------------	--------

Table II: Association of Proximal Caries Frequency with age

		Site			
		Mesial	Distal	Total	P Value
Age	1	30	29	59	0.109
Groups					
	2	69	45	114	
	3	63	35	98	
	4	28	28	56	
	5	21	26	47	
	Total	211	163	374	

		Site		Total	P Value
Tooth		Mesial	Distal	Mesial	0.00032
No					
	Right Maxillary	55	30	85	
	First Molar				
	Left Maxillar	68	29	97	
	First Molar				
	Left	41	51	92	
	Mandibular				
	First Molar				
	Right	47	53	100	
	Mandibular				
	First Molar				
Total		211	163	374	

Table III: Association Of Proximal Caries frequency with Individual Tooth



Graph No.1 Relationship between Age Groups and Proximal Caries

Discussion

We included data of 374 permanent first molars in our cross-sectional study. We found carious lesions more frequently on mesial proximal. Also mesial surface of maxillary first molar was found to be more carious statistically. However a reverse trend was observed for age group of >50 where distal surface was found to be affected more. Moreover, the association of proximal decay with age and gender was non-significant. DMFT score of Pakistan is high. In 12 year olds it has increased from 1.2 in 1998 to 1.6.¹⁴ Therefore, it is important to be aware of local demography before one can draw any conclusions or make comparisons with other studies. Our study was the first of this kind that was done locally. Although local caries burden is known, site specific study on permanent first molar was lacking.^{13,14} Our results differ from studies reported from other parts of the world. Regional variation in rate and pattern of dental caries may be responsible for these differences. Our main finding that mesial surface of first molar may be more caries susceptible agrees

with Lith.¹⁰ This longitudinal study followed patients from 6 years of age till age 20, and found this condition remained at an elevated level throughout the period of study. They also found a high frequency of carious and restored distal surface of second premolar, a finding which may partly explain a high caries rate on mesial surface of first molar. This was one of a limitation of our study that we did not consider the caries status of adjacent teeth. Bachelor on the other hand reported buccal pits and occlusal fissures in first molars to be most caries susceptible, while proximal surfaces were found to be less prone.¹ Better access to health care facilities, a regular checkup and fluoride intake may be responsible factors for a different disease pattern in this American study. A longitudinal Swedish with a cohort study design found distal surface of first molar to be more caries affected as compared to mesial surface.¹² Since the methodology of this study differed considerably from our study due to its cross sectional study, this fact could help explain the differences in results. A difference in the proximal surface morphology and enamel maturation has been proposed previously as a possible reason for the conflicting result of our and other studies.²¹ the mesial surface of maxillary first molar presents a flatter surface with a more buccally placed contact area with a concavity cervical to this contact area. Distal surface on the other hand, has a more convex profile and a wider contact area and a minimal sub contact concavity. This anatomical difference may be responsible for increased plaque retention and caries experience. In contrast, the mandibular first molar lack a concavity and it's both mesial and distal contact areas are broad and much flatter.²² These observations support our results of significantly greater involvement of the maxillary first molar when compared to the mandibular first molar. Edward and Burchell have proposed another interesting association, that the proximal surfaces of a tooth that erupts earlier and thus exposed for longer periods of time are more susceptible.^{11,23} This explanation however don't support the results that were derived in our study. The distal surface of the first molars have a longer exposure time to the oral environment as second molar is one of the last teeth to erupt. Whereas the mesial surface forms a contact with deciduous second molar immediately after

eruption and is therefore less exposed. In a study by Norblad and Larmas no such association was found.²⁴ In fact the early contact formation could be used to explain the greater risk of mesial surface. Also in case of a carious deciduous second molar, it can inoculate its permanent neighbor with infection. Studies have shown this relationship.²⁵ This fact combined with a high prevalence of dental caries in local pediatric population supports the results of our study.¹⁴

Conclusions

We found mesial surface of maxillary first molars with greater caries frequency.

Limitations

We report following limitations of our study

- We did not take the caries status of adjacent tooth into account. This can alter the caries susceptibility and help explain the results better.
- We did not consider the overall oral hygiene status of the patients and this can potentially act as a confounder.
- Our study was a snap shot; a better design may be a longitudinal one which is also a limitation of our study.
- Single calibrated examiner made all the readings and inter-examiner reliability could not be calculated.
- Our results conflict with published data.

Acknowledgement

We would like to acknowledge the house officers of Dow Dental College for their help in data collection.

Disclaimer

The findings of this research were presented in the 1st International Conference of International Association for Dental Research, Pakistan Section in Lahore.

REFERENCES

- Batchelor PA, Sheiham A. Grouping of tooth surfaces by susceptibility to caries: a study in 5-16 year-old children. BMC Oral Health. 2004; 4(1):2.
- Hopcraft MS, Morgan MV. Pattern of dental caries experience on tooth surfaces in an adult population. Community dentistry and oral epidemiology. 2006; 34(3):174-83.
- Ricketts DN, Ekstrand KR, Kidd EA, Larsen T. Relating visual and radiographic ranked scoring systems for occlusal caries detection to histological and microbiological evidence. Oper Dent. 2002; 27(3):231-7.
- 4. Kielbassa AM, Paris S, Lussi A, Meyer-Lueckel H. Evaluation

of cavitations in proximal caries lesions at various magnification levels in vitro. J Dent. 2006; 34(10):817-22.

- Hopcraft MS, Morgan MV. Comparison of radiographic and clinical diagnosis of approximal and occlusal dental caries in a young adult population. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2005; 33(3):212-8.
- 6. Tantradi P, Sreenivasan V, Kadaganche H. Role of bitewing in enhancing the assessment of DMFS index in a group of Indian adolescents. Indian J Dent Res. 2010; 21(2):266-9.
- 7. Alm A, Wendt LK, Koch G, Birkhed D. Prevalence of approximal caries in posterior teeth in 15-year-old Swedish teenagers in relation to their caries experience at 3 years of age. Caries res. 2007; 41(5):392-8.
- 8. Tareen SK, Qureshi A, Rehman S. Frequency and distribution of teeth requiring endodontic treatment in patients attending a free dental camp in Peshawar. J Khyber Coll Dent. 2012; 3(1):7-11.
- Vohra F, Ahmed I, Zaki M, Shaikh A. An evaluation of etiologic factors for root canal therapy. J Pak Dent Assoc. 2005; 14(3):154-7.
- Lith A, Lindstrand C, Grondahl HG. Caries development in a young population managed by a restrictive attitude to radiography and operative intervention: II. A study at the surface level. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2002; 31(4):232-9.
- 11. Edward S. Dental caries on adjacent approximal tooth surfaces in relation to order of eruption. Acta Odontol Scand. 1997; 55(1):27-30.
- Stenlund H, Mejare I, Kallestal C. Caries incidence rates in Swedish adolescents and young adults with particular reference to adjacent approximal tooth surfaces: a methodological study. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2003; 31(5):361-7.
- Haleem A, Khan A. Dental caries and oral hygiene status of 12 years old school children in Pakistan. Pak J Med Res. 2001;40(4):138-42.
- 14. Khan A, Ijaz S, Syed A, Qureshi A, Padhiar I, Sufia S. Oral health in Pakistan: a situation analysis. Dev Dent. 2004; 5(2):35-44.
- 15. Mohiuddin S, Nisar N, Dawani N. Dental caries status among 6 and 12 years old school children of karachi city. J Pak Dent Assoc. 2015; 24(01):39-45.
- Qazi H, Azam S, Khurram S, Zia A. Prevalence Of Dental Caries In The Permanent Dentition Of Patients Seeking Orthodontic Treatment In Bara Kahu. Pak Oral Dent J. 2011; 31(2):371-3.
- Shah S, Tul Muntaha S, Munir M. Incidence of Caries in 6 12 Years Children Visiting Punjab Dental Hospital, Lahore & Sardar Begum Dental College and Hospital, Peshawar. Pak Oral Dent J. 2008; 1(28):117-22.
- Azam S, Khurram S, Hassan M, Iqbal F, Iqbal S. Distribution Of Dental Caries And Its Relationship To Risk Factors. Pak Oral Dent J. 2011; 31(2):453-6.
- 19. Rehman K, Khan S, Shah S. Frequency of Class II Type Carious Lesions in First Permanent Molars and Their Association with Pulp. Pak Oral Dent J. 2009; 29(1):119-22.
- Şenel B, Kamburoğlu K, Üçok Ö, Yüksel S, Özen T, Avsever H. Diagnostic accuracy of different imaging modalities in detection of proximal caries. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2014; 39:501-11.

- 21. Dirks OB, editor the distribution of caries resistance in relation to tooth surfaces. Ciba Foundation Symposium-Caries-Resistant Teeth; 1965: Wiley Online Library.
- 22. Fuller JL, Denehy GE, Hall SA. Concise dental anatomy and morphology: University of Iowa College of Dentistry; 1999.
- 23. Burchell C. Caries increments at interproximal sites following the establishment of a contact point. Caries Res. 1984; 18:188-.

.....

- Nordblad A, Larmas MA. Caries and fillings in the permanent dentition of cohorts of schoolchildren in Espoo, Finland. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 1986; 14(5):271-3.
- 25. Vanderas AP, Kavvadia K, Papagiannoulis L. Development of caries in permanent first molars adjacent to primary second molars with interproximal caries: four-year prospective radiographic study. Pediatr Dent. 2004; 26(4):362-8.