

## **Female Sufi Leaders in Turkey: Signals of a New Social Pattern Emerging in Secular Side**

**Dr. Gözde Özelce\***

Humboldt University Institute of Asian and African Studies  
Berlin

Two sufi women take part in activities related to sufism and create an attraction point in the secular side in Turkey. The most striking point is that both women do not wear headscarves although they are muslim women. This article attempts to show how sufi women Cemalnur Sargut and Hayatnur Artiran have idealized a Muslim woman's identity, the work they are doing to achieve this ideal, their attitude toward Republic values, and the effects of these attitudes on their followers. This article was created from my doctoral thesis and based on Qualitative research which has been established on the basis of 2-year long participant observation and data obtained from in-depth and focus interviews. 31 women and 20 men were interviewed in depth and focus interviews. The main conclusions of my qualitative research are that the influence of these sufi women is related with process of modernization by accelerating the establishment of the republic in Turkey. It seems that a perception has established concerning that “public apparency” of woman will disappear if the “apparency of body” disappears and the woman will return to its role which had relapsed into silence for centuries. Besides not using the headscarf, religious conversation has been created by these sufi women in the balance of religion, philosophy and science and the fact that they are conducting their works under secular institutions such as foundations and associations have also been observed to have a very important effect on secular side.

**Keywords:** Sufi women, Multiple modernity, Secularism, Muslim women identity

### **Introduction**

In recent years, In Turkey, there has been a dramatically increase in the symposia and panels arranged on Sufism and it is observed that this is largely followed by the secular side of Turkey. This increased interest is concentrated on two *sufi* women called *sufi* Cemalnur Sargut and Hayatnur Artiran. Sargut is from the Rifai order and the *Artiran* is from the *Mevelevi* order. Both orders are focused on the deep meaning of Islam, not the *shari'ah* aspect. Both sufi women emphasize the similarities instead of the differences among religions and underline the importance of religious and Islamic unity.

These sufi women do not use headscarves and stand in a different position with their western-style appearances, social media using and their work under the foundation and association compared with Sufi women living in Turkey. There is a critical question can be asked: Why the secular women and men in Turkey prefer following especially these two sufi women? Is it because these sufi women do not use

---

\*Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Dr. Gözde Özelce, Humboldt University Institute of Asian and African Studies, Berlin, gozdeozelce@gmail.com

headscarves and have a modern looking although they are Muslim women? Is this the only reason or what the other factors can be? We will examine these questions. The fact underlying its answers will provide us the fact of modernity question experienced in Turkey since establishing Republic of Turkey in 1923. Turkey was founded after the collapse of the Ottoman Empire, governed by an Islamic law. Therefore, it has undergone a process of modernization which is difficult to establish the balance of Islamic values and secular values. This difficult process continues today and causes the formation of social patterns that reinterpret the codes of religion and modernization. These Sufi women rebalance secular and religious codes and create changes in their follower's perception of Islam and modernity. The followers, which has been observed for the last 10 years, signals a social pattern seeking a new balance between religion and secular values in Turkey.

Currently, one of the most heavily discussed topics in the social sciences is related to the crises nonwestern geographies experienced the modernization process, and, in consequence of these crises, the way modernity redefined its essence and how the need emerged to provide a variety of definitions of modernity. Indeed, what should the definition of modernity be? And why has the perception of modernity always evolved on the basis of "Western-centered" descriptions?

Eisenstadt's multiple modernity concept, with its criticism of western-oriented modernism, lays the basis for the theoretical foundation of our study. Driven from the belief that there may exist different forms of modernity in dissimilar geographies, we argue that the transformable concepts of piety and modernity of the outwardly-secular people in Turkey exemplify the model of multiple modernity in this geography. Within the context of our research, it is the aim of this paper to attempt to construct the way that the perceptions secularity and modernity of the secular social class in the largest metropolis of Turkey, Istanbul, have recently changed as an effect of the rising popularity of Sufism (Tasawwuf), most evidently symbolized in the teachings of these two Sufi women.

#### 1.1 Theoretical Approach: Multiple Secularization: Is it under the umbrella of Eclectic Paradigm or not?

The fact that this phenomenon is at an important axis of modernity debates brings us to the question of how the research group we have studied might have been affected by these dynamics in the processes of Turkish modernization. Currently one of the most heavily discussed topics in social sciences is related to the crises nonwestern geographies experimented during modernization process and in consequence of broken crises the way modernity redefined its essence and emergent need to provide a variety of modernity definitions. Indeed, what should be the definition of modernity? Or, why has modernity perception always evolved on the basis of "Western-centered" descriptions? Eisenstadt argues that nowadays "obsession with the modernity and modernization is the most irresistible and common passion of our modern world"<sup>1</sup> and he defines modernization attempts of all nations as "a cobweb they are trapped in"<sup>2</sup> and he also attempts to analyze consequential social problems such as disorder, transformation, and protests. Indeed the cause and effect relation that Taylor sets between multi definitions of modernity and discrepancy between cultural and a-cultural theory opens up a new perspective. Cultural theory looks at modernity from the perspective of cultural relativism, and follows a hermeneutics approach so as to grasp to some extent the projections of historical process that nonwestern cultures experienced. However, despite its initial objective this approach now tries to interpret the conflicts of a-cultural theory and states that; "Failure to comprehend the array that is formed by the alternative modernities being molded in different parts of the world! This failure locks us inside an ethnocentric prison, without realizing the consequences of our own deeds, it condemns us to project our formations on everyone else."<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Samuel N. Eisenstadt, *Modernization, Protest and Transformation*, trans. Ufuk Çoşkun (İstanbul: Dogu Batı Press, 2007), 11.

<sup>2</sup>Ibid., 11.

<sup>3</sup>Andrew Davison, *Secularism and Modernity in Turkey*, trans. Tuncay Birkan (İletişim Publications, 2002),

Durakbaşı<sup>4</sup> states that “Gemeinschaft” and “Gesellschaft”<sup>5</sup> concepts of Tonnies are widely employed in European and Non-European regions alike to identify and clarify the stages of the process toward modernity. He also underlines<sup>6</sup> that as societies move on a straight, uncurved and linear path they are delineated toward their journey to modernity with respect to varied levels of modernity such as “primitive,” “traditional” or “developing societies” and as can be seen in this format, the concepts of “primitive” and “traditional” are put into the same basket and analyzed under the category of “sect.”

In light of all these approaches, Eisenstadt contended that it was then time to move away from a “west-centered” definition of modernity and in his work “Multiple Modernities” he draws a detailed analysis of the topic.<sup>7</sup> In reference to Eisenstadt’s paper, Geoffroy remarked that the concept of multiple modernity points to a range of factors. Based on the premise that modernity and westernization are not identical- despite their historical kinship and continual role of reference for other modern visions- it should be recognized that western pattern of modernity is not the one and only model of “authentic” modernities. Concept of multiple modernities advocates that such modernities are not “static,” and the concept continues to change. And in the modern age it can be best grasped within the framework of new changes such as the reemergence and reconstruction of religious dimension.”<sup>8</sup>

Hence, in varying definitions of modernity, we came to grasp that “religion” phenomenon became a critical axis and religion and modernity are not essentially viewed at opposite poles. Eisenstadt in his study on multiple modernities quoted Geoffroy’s perspective in that way. “It is my premise that in the passage from modern age to global age it played a major role because religion is a socio cultural factor operating outside the state circle.”<sup>9</sup> Right at this point it is worth remembering Habermas’s statement that we came to the end of a secular age and began to receive the signals of post-secular age. After having defined the role that religion now plays on the Western world and Western public spaces as “post secular.”<sup>10</sup>

As we delve into secularization theories, we realize that in existing literature theories are examined under three main titles; classical paradigm, new paradigm and eclectic paradigm. The presence of a formation which is trying to interfere with the secular structure, not a finished secular process in society and which is struggling to refine the individual and public spheres is noteworthy.<sup>11</sup> Casanova brings the agenda as criticism the classical paradigm suggesting that modernity will end its presence in the public and private spheres of religion and the new paradigm which has never referred to the institutional dimension of religion and suggests that any modern theory that fails to include the presence of religion in public spheres will remain uncompleted. The main argument of this approach is being opposed to the concept of secularization that religion and secularization can not compromise.<sup>12</sup>

Taylor treats phenomenon of religion and secularity not from a polarized approach but rather from an inter-transient perspective; it can thus be argued that he presents to us an expansion of multiple modernity within the framework of secularity. Taylor in his work that underlines the shift of modernity from western

---

<sup>4</sup>Ayşe Durakbaşı, *Halide Edip Turkish Modernity and Feminism* (İstanbul, İletişim, 2002),77.

<sup>5</sup>*Gemeinschaft* and *Gesellschaft* generally translated as “community” and “society,” are categories which were used by the German Sociologist Ferdinand Tonnies in order to categorize social ties into two dichotomous sociological types which define each other.

<sup>6</sup>*Ibid.*, 77.

<sup>7</sup>S. Noah Eisenstadt, “Multiple Modernities,” *Daedalus* 129 (1) (2000): 1–30, 1 quote; Grace Davison, “New Approaches in Religion Sociology: A Western Outlook,” trans. *M. Akgül and İ. Çapcıoğlu, AÜİFD*, C. XLVI, S 1 (2005): 201-214, 215.

<sup>8</sup>Martin Geoffroy, “Religious Theorizing in Global Age: A Typological Analysis,” trans., Abdullah Özbolat, *Firat International Journal of Politics, Culture and Society* 18, no. 1 (2004): 5-10.

<sup>9</sup>*Ibid.*, 5.

<sup>10</sup>Peter Clarke, *Sociology of Religion: Modern Advancements*, trans. İ. Çapcıoğlu (Ankara, 2012), 312.

<sup>11</sup>Casanova Jose, “Private and Public Religions,” *Social Research*. 59, no. 1 (1992): 6.

<sup>12</sup>Mehmet Özey, *Modernisation, Secularization and Religion Affairs in Modern Sociology* (Marmara University Institute of Social Sciences, PhD Dissertation, İstanbul, 2006), 197-204.

axis and assumes the presence of different modernities in different geographies implied that the course of secularity might differ variably. Also, he underpinned that just as the case of multiple modernities it could be feasible to witness “multiple secularities.”<sup>13</sup> Göle<sup>14</sup> claimed that within discrepant national, cultural and religious contexts multiple secularisms existed and likewise in Turkey -non western secularisms also co-existed. That view is in support of Taylor’s stance and with the argument in favor of the need for novel secularization approaches. She thus simply evokes the former studies on the background of secularization in different geographies, the point that was ignored in Taylor’s work. Indeed Andrew Davison treated secularism from a hermeneutic approach, and stated that today there has been a corresponding diversification in secularism perceptions as the multiple modernity approaches, and neither modernity nor secularism “had a meaning that could reach beyond mankind’s perception on their nature.”<sup>15</sup>

Once we recognize that all three categories were structured on the western history and the stages it went through during modernization process, it then becomes questionable whether or not we are in need of a novel secularization paradigm through which it is viable to analyze secularization phenomenon currently experimented in any given country or a conceptualization that can be opened under the umbrella of eclectic paradigm would be sufficient. The basic argument of eclectic paradigm is protesting against a secularization approach that advocates the incompatibility between religion and secularization.<sup>16</sup> Since “multiple secularization” employs a dialectic approach that views religion and modernity not as opposite poles but as two reforming and transforming factors, we hold the belief that it should be analyzed under the category of eclectic paradigm. Multiple secularization approach would present to our sight some multiple demonstrations of religion and modernity transformations of eclectic paradigm in varied geographies.

In Turkey, focusing on the east-west dichotomy modernization problems still remain. After the fall of the Ottoman Empire (1299-1923), under the leadership of Mustafa Kemal Atatürk, the Republic of Turkey was founded, bringing with it western-style modernization (Kemalism). In fact, the modernization movement began in the late period of the Ottoman Empire. The period from 1836 to 1876 was the first period in which the debates on modernization began in the east-west dilemma. It was an important problem at the time that Islamic values and western-oriented modernization codes were arranged. It is possible to say that feminist movement started in the Ottoman Empire since 1908. Women’s rights in magazines and newspapers are being written. Even Ottoman women wrote articles in *Demet Magazine*. Fatima Aliye and Halide Edip Adivar educated and enlightened women novelists of the Ottoman Empire.<sup>17</sup> However, the first radical change with women’s clothing came to the fore with the Republican revolutions. One of the most important influences on the women of the republican revolutionaries (Kemalism) was the removal of the headscarf. Thus, women dressed for centuries in the Islamic way, shifted to the Western style of dressing. Not using the headscarf was an important demonstration of the “republican” image of women.

In 1925, the caliphate, the state affairs of the Islamic rule were abolished and the religious institutions such as the *tekke* and *zaviye* (dervish lodges and the monasteries) were closed. This geography that has been governed by Islamic values for nearly 1,000 years has made a transition to a secular values. The Turks went into a way of life that outweighed the secular values rather than the Islamic. Therefore, for many years in Turkey under Atatürk, religious discrimination was ongoing.

But the fiercest debates in secular groups in Turkey is about wrong implementation of Kemalism after Atatürk died. The main axis of the discussions focuses on laicism. After Atatürk died, did the Kemalists control the religion or put pressure on them? Those who stressed that religious life and religious institutions were pressured have emphasized the distinction between Kemalist and Atatürk’s views. Thus, two concepts such as Kemalism and Atatürksim emerged. How do Sargut and the Artıran approach the distinction

---

<sup>13</sup>Nilüfer Göle, *Secular and Religiously Eroded Borders* (İstanbul: Metis Yay, 2012), 11.

<sup>14</sup>Ibid., 11.

<sup>15</sup>Davison, *Secularism and Modernity in Turkey*, 76.

<sup>16</sup>Mehmet Özey, *Modernisation, Secularization and Religion Affairs in Modern Sociology*, 197-204.

<sup>17</sup>Nilüfer Göle, *Secular and Religiously Eroded Borders* (İstanbul: Metis Yay, 2012), Modern Mahrem, 78.

between Kemalism and Atatürkism and how these sufi women combine Rebucllic values and İslmic values and what is the importance of these issue for those who follow them? Is this emergent class strictly devoted to the principles of Kemalism, or does the people in this class hold the power to transform Kemalism by using their right to criticize Kemalism from certain viewpoints? Furthermore, within that context, are they fabricating alternative answers to the question of modernity?

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 The Sample

In our Qualitative research analysis, the religious practices oriented toward Islam Sufism and in particular on the basis of Kemalism loyalty (the degree and description form of this loyalty is one of the research questions) annul the ideology of Kemalist project that religious rituals must be practiced in private sphere only; thereby unveiling the need of Muslim fraternity and within that sense the demand for real social cooperation and accompanying cooperative worshipping and the desire to lead such a religious lifestyle. What we are describing here is a homegeneous religious formation in terms of education and financial level and this formation seeks to practice Islamic worship rituals in everyday actions. Meeting under the foundations chaired by Sargut and Artıran, these disciples convene in the *Umrah*, *Hajj* or saint visits and also in Oct. 29 Republican Day celebrations organized by such foundations. Our research population consists of the followers united to attend public conferences and talks organized by these two sufis as well as the *ihwan* group (community of disciples) of each sufi woman individually.

Age Range: Age grouping was performed under 40 years and over 40 years of age. 15 women under the age of 40, 16 women over the age of 40 were interviewed. The number of males interviewed under the age of 40 is 3 and the number of males over 40 is 17.

Education and Income Level: It is seen that all disciples consists of middle / middle upper income level and highly educated people. Only two of the 51 people interviewed stated that they were high school graduates.

### 2.2. The Interview Scripts

Sampling group to be analyzed in this thesis is composed of people who have been attending to Sargut's and Artıran's talks for minimum 2 years and members of *ihwan* (disciples) group. Religious tendencies of analyzed people have been examined with respect to variables of age, gender, income and education level. The research has been established on the basis of 2-year long observation notes of participants and data obtained from in-depth and focus interviews. During the whole research process, 4 focus group and in-depth interviews with 51 follower have been implemented.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Distinction between Kemalism and Atatürkism

In its original definition, laicism refers to the neutrality of state.<sup>18</sup> In that sense laicism stands for the autonomy of political and financial order<sup>19</sup> and can also be defined as the result of the secularization process<sup>20</sup>. Separating private and social life and disjointing the material and moral life in private and social categories, modernism forges its religious authority on the idea of guarding an individual's private life and accordingly it enables the establishment of a laic political organization.<sup>21</sup>

---

<sup>18</sup>Bakır Çağlar, "Big Problem of Laicism in Turkey ; O Laicism and Its Varied Meanings" İstanbul, *Cogito* (1994): 114.

<sup>19</sup>Thomas Michael, "A Catholic Outlook to Laicism," *Cogito*, Issue: I (İstanbul: Yapı Kredi Publications, 1994), 103.

<sup>20</sup>*Ibid.*, 104

<sup>21</sup>Nuray Mert, "Laicism Conflict and Political Islam," *Cogito*, Issue: I (İstanbul: Yapı Kredi Publications, 1994), 92.

In addition to the ones stating that laicism has a dual meaning, others analyze laicism from a different denotation in its second meaning. Termed as Laicism this denotation is described as an ideology<sup>22</sup> actively opposing to any active role that any religious faith or institutions could play in personal and social life. Based on the fact that the first modernization experiment of the nineteenth century in Turkey and having gained unprecedented impetus with the advent of Republican Principles, it is worth noting that within that perspective laicism principle received heavy criticism due to top-down<sup>23</sup> implementation of Kemalism. Nuray Mert stated that in pro-Islam groups one of the most effective reasons why Kemalism became a historical issue of conflict is unrelated to the secularism of Kemalism, but rather related to the laic format of secularism and its focus on the western modernist approach.<sup>24</sup> She also agreed with the categorization of Turkish laicism practices in the form of subordinating religion to state control within the framework of identity problem<sup>25</sup> that emerged upon the identification of modernity with Westernism and democratic<sup>26</sup> issues. Mert's approach described above is significant since it possesses similar qualities with the approach of Sargut's and Arıttan's disciples.

In the conducted focus group interviews, remarks of a female disciple in Sargut's *ihwan* exemplify the drawn distinction between Atatürk's views and Kemalist policies. While claiming that as a statesman and politician, Atatürk ordered not only righteous but also faulty actions as well. They also underlined that laicism policies implemented after his decease had no resemblance with Atatürk's ideology.

It is a pity that with the closedown of *tekke* and *zaviye* complexes (Islamic lodges and monasteries) in society, we are now imprisoned to useless coffee houses. Further to that Ottomans were always demonized but that is not something Atatürk wanted, unfortunately Kemalist mentality ripped off my ties and my linkage with Ottomans whom they always demeaned!! I have full respect and gratitude for Atatürk, I don't feel to have any conflicts, but I am strongly against the Kemalist mentality having ripped off my tie with my past. (45 years old, female, teacher)

Ahmet Yaşar Ocak states that Kemalism was shaped on the basis of laicism approach and by dismembering Islam from state control, social spheres and legal system it imprisoned religion to the domains of social ethics, faith and worship merely and strictly subordinated faith to state control.<sup>27</sup> We can thus realize that Ocak criticized Kemalism in terms of its laicism approach. At the end of this process, Ocak argues that a socially-isolated Islam, termed as "*Kemalist Muslimism*;" became an alienated form of Islam strictly defined in terms of faith and prayer and reserved to one's personal consciousness. He continues that this was a degraded form of Islam that could also be viewed as a cult Muslimism. His remark points out that this form of Muslimism moved downward from elite class gradually to the commoners. He identifies this movement as the preliminary cause of the identity chaos currently prevalent in Turkey. "Indeed since the past till present day Turkey Muslimism has been experimented an identity chaos because it is closest society to the western geography unlike other Islamic states and it is most likely that this chaos will be experimented for many years ahead. This chaos created as an effect of cultural shifts is indeed one of the longest-dated chaos in Turkish history."<sup>28</sup>

In the same focus group interview, the attitude of another interviewee was as below:

I personally believe that Kemalists themselves are the ones who most vehemently destroyed the legacy of Atatürk because there is a scholastic-Atatürkism approach. That is why I am against Kemalism. But after all, we can analyze Atatürk with his right and wrong deeds without fetishizing him. I agree that He is one of the national assets of this society, Turkish Nation. (52 years old, male, manager)

---

<sup>22</sup>Michael, "A Catholic Outlook to Laicism," 104.

<sup>23</sup>Mert, "Laicism Conflict and Political Islam," 95.

<sup>24</sup>Ibid., 101.

<sup>25</sup>Ibid., 97.

<sup>26</sup>Ibid., 95.

<sup>27</sup>Ahmet Yaşar Ocak, *Turks, Turkey and Islam* (İstanbul: İletişim Publications, 1999), 68.

<sup>28</sup>Ibid., 123.

In Ahmet İnsel's work as well the statements of this interviewee are echoed. Ahmet İnsel in one of the articles in his work, *Depression of Turkish Society*, defines the scholastic Atatürkism this interviewee expressed as a "secular religion."<sup>29</sup> Pointing out that the state has two religions in Turkey İnsel continues such: "As a matter of fact, the state has two religions in Turkey. Officially, "Atatürkism" which has now embraced a fully-secular position and non-officially Islam religion. If all citizens in a nation are mandated to obey a doctrine or an ideology in appearance at least, that means this state cannot be regarded as a laic state."<sup>30</sup> Ataman approaches the same issue from the basis of "civil religion" conceptualization, and notes that the attempts to forge a civil religion in Turkey was molded around the circle of Atatürk. In a setting where Atatürk poems were chanted in Islamic hymn format, and discourses such as "Give back Kaaba to Arabs give us Çankaya" were widespread since there was a deliberate struggle to create a civil religion.<sup>31</sup>

Hence on one hand our field study focuses on the recent transformation that "Kemalist Muslimism" in Ocak's case had experimented while on the other hand, this study attempts to find the clues of the transformation that the socially-isolated Muslimism approach been confined within the limits of personal consciousness but always pursuing a never-ending desire for a "sect" had then experienced.

Cemalnur Sargut in the detailed interview we had about Atatürk and laicism shared her stance as below.

Atatürk is a national value and I believe national values need not be discussed a lot, but should be protected. Ottomans is another national value. Ottoman literature and history are national values. And values should be analyzed within the conjecture of specific historical conditions. Did Atatürk act correctly or not? His action was correct but if only his measures had been even more powerful. He passed away so young. I believe if he had lived longer he would have taken appropriate measures in due time and reopen tekke and zaviye complexes. After his decease, others failed to take adequate measures... America is one country that most effectively solved this problem. Everyone is free to establish and live religious institutions. Everyone can freely share his views and worship as their faith orders, there is absolutely no intervention. The best relationship between the state and religion can be viewed there, it should be the model to take I assume.

As can be seen interviewees can make a radical distinction between Kemalism and respect toward Atatürk's ideology and they can even allege that Kemalism defamed Atatürk. It can thus be concluded that the distinction between Kemalism and Atatürk has been attributed to the malpractices in state policies followed upon the decease of Atatürk.

### 3.2. Meaning of Headscarves

Creatives one of the most important changes in Turkey's religious "woman" is emerged as a phenomenon. It is possible to say that since the 1980s women in religious circles have actively participated in social and political life. However, since these movements were often perceived by secular societies as an extension of political Islam, the polarized image of the Atatürkist-religious distinction continued to exist in these lands. The studies we have undertaken Cultural Islamic frame of the female Sufis, Cemalnur Sargut and H. Nur Artıran reveal the signals of a new religious pattern transformed by this polarized view.

How secular side of Turkey experience modernity-religion dialectic recently? There is a critical question can be asked: Why the secular women and man in Turkey prefer following especially these two sufi woman leader? Is it because these sufi woman do not use headdress although they are Muslim women? Can it be the only reason? Not wearing the headscarf is a symbol of respect for the republican revolution

---

<sup>29</sup>Ahmet İnsel, *Depression of Turkish Society* (İstanbul: Birikim Publications, 2014), 121.

<sup>30</sup>Ibid., 122.

<sup>31</sup>Fatmanur Dikmen, "A Talk on Civil Religion with Assoc. Prof. Kemal Ataman," *Bülent Ecevit University Faculty of Theology Journal*, Vol. 1, Issue 2 (2014): 151-166. For detailed information on Civil Religion see, Kemal Ataman, *Sacred Pillar of Being a Nation: Civil Religion* (İstanbul: Sentez Publications, 2014).

and a western view. Hence, at the beginning of this change, it is important that these women are not wearing headscarves.

The explanation of Sargut in the issue of the headscarf is as follows:

Unlike what is asserted by most people; headscarf or veiling is not a distinctive sign separating the Muslim women from others; because, those conforming to the veiling in the eastern countries are not just the Muslim women and the women in other religions are also veiled... The fact that it is the wearing of Muslims is a separate thing; the fact that it is a feature belonging only to the Muslims is a completely separate thing.<sup>32</sup>

Sargut referring to the fact that headscarf was existent also before Islam specified in the versicle no. 31 of Nimbus Surah brings the following explanation: "In the versicle, 'Take the headscarf on yourself' or 'Veil your head' is not said; because, the women of the Eastern countries have veiled their heads since the old times. Headscarf constituted a part of a worn cloth."<sup>33</sup> Sargut stating that this versicle came in the fifth year of the Hijra due to the fact that the chest and dewlap of the women would remain open as a result of fastening their headscarves on their napes and hanging them down in the Days of Ignorance- the name given to the pre-Islamic period of the Arab society-. Before Islam, the conception of slavery was very common and the slaves would have no rights. Arab society were male dominated and women had no rights. Only the women and their daughters of the leading tribes were privileged. A woman who did not give birth to a child could not count as a family and could not have a share of the inheritance. Sargut also cites that the versicle made and arrangement on the clothes of the Muslim women and it came in the issue of the fact that the scarf should not be hanged down to the back, but it should be hung down in a way that it will cover the open parts.<sup>34</sup>

It has been seen that the views of Hayat Nur Artıran are different from the approach of Sargut in this issue. While Sargut tells the reasons of not using the headscarf upon the versicles she interprets, Artıran finds the command of headscarf in the versicle certain. Artıran specifying that she was veiled until she was fifty-five says that she waived from her scarf for the purpose of attract the secular section to Islam: The provisions of Qur'ān could never be discussed. Whatever is written in Qur'ān about headscarf is certain. Am I going to change the provisions of Qur'ān after one thousand and four hundred years? I would like to ask the question to those saying "Why is your head not veiled?" Qur'ān orders to obey to the commands with all provisions. All its provisions are commands. It is necessary to obey to all the provisions in Qur'ān for him/her to call another one to account by saying "Why is your head not veiled?" Did you carry out all the provisions that you call me to account or insult me because I am not veiled?

It is seen that while Sargut bases her thoughts about headscarf on a different interpretation of the versicle no. 31 of Nimbus Surah, Artıran accepts that the provision of the versicle is certain, but she expresses that she does not use headscarf for the purpose of being able to meet the secular environment with Islam.

Then, what are the views of the followers of Sargut and Artıran in this issue? The conducted participant observation has detected that the fact that Sargut and Artıran do not use headscarf is a very important factor in the deep and focus group discussions. While a disciple states that they face with the tag of "easy Islam" as they do not wear headscarf, he/she tries to tell the emphasis that the morals are not related to the headscarf, to the revolutions on respect. it seems that Islamic way of *pardah* has been coded as a movement which will bring the communal position of women to pre-republican period. This is because it seems that a perception has established concerning that "public apparency" of woman will disappear if the "apparency of body" disappears and the woman will return to its role which had relapsed into silence for centuries. If we review the communal position of woman throughout the history not only in all religions but also in the Islamic front in terms of sex, the Muslim women are indebted to Atatürk along with the fact that

---

<sup>33</sup>Sargut, 155.

<sup>34</sup>Sargut, 155.



we come across with a phenomenon of woman who may go out of the “house” almost only for 90 years and has been able to take place in the public space as a “human” and they are unduly attached to the revolutions of republic for the fear of losing this right which had been acquired after centuries..

### 3.3. Meaning of Women in İslam

Normally, in İslamic literature there is a lack of Sufi women studies because generally they hide themselves behind the doors. Unlike, both are very extraordinary/ progressive sufi women who are “globally interconnected” by using social media channels. Both have a website/blog and facebook account and use this tools effectively. Besides that, they take part in TV programs and newspaper and magazines. They not only target to increase the number of the followers but they also challenge stereotype of Muslim woman has been served by media deliberately full in burqas, being stoned, not being educated and dominated by men. Second, they both well educated women. Sufi Sargut is a chemistry engineer and worked as chemistry teacher during 20 years. Sufi Artıran worked as manager in a private company for many years. Third, they have developed such a linguistic balancing science, philosophy and religion and represent in a secular institution.

It has been observed during the study both in the religious conversations of Sargut and Artıran that they mention Hrh Fatima frequently and they see her as a role model. Sargut saying “Hrh Fatima is also a guide, whether they accept or not” and also the emphasis of Artıran as “the continuation of the Prophet’s (SAW) descendnce from Hrh Fatima expresses the importance of woman in Islam by her” show the place of Hrh Fatima in these two women Sufis. Two women Sufis to frequently mention their reverence to Hrh Fatima brings to the mind the question symbolized by Hrh Fatima “What is the identity of Muslim woman.”

While Shayegan emphasizes that this identity identifies with the definition of *Sophia woman* with the meaning of “wise” –especially in the Persian Islam-, he says that Hrh Fatima is called as “Junction of Nimbus” due to the fact that the descendance continues by her. Hrh Fatima being the birth of inner reality symbolizes the personality of *Sophia* and is the bearer of the image of mental individualization.<sup>35</sup> However; it is necessary to say that the Islam lived in today’s Islamic countries is very different from this approach. Sargut answers in the following way the question as “Should we seek the women just starting to drive car in Saudi Arabia in the existence of versicles limiting women in Islam.”

All these things are related to tradition. Our religion never limits woman. Our Prophet (SAW) supported Hrh Hatice to work and trade. He attended to the camel races with Hrh Ayşe. He said that *Fiqh* developed with Hrh Ayşe. Hrh Fatima is also a guide whether they accept or not. Our Prophet (SAW) says “Fatima is like my reflection in the mirror and the only person I stand up when she comes.” It is understood here that women are glorious and high; but we could not understand the value our Prophet (SAW) gives them.<sup>36</sup>

Cemâlnur Sargut significantly underlines that the life and morals of Hrh Muhammad should be taken into consideration to be able to understand the position of women in Islam without falling into misinterpretations.

One of the issues brought to the agenda about woman in the Islamic side is the concept of “woman nature.” How are the comments of Sargut and Artıran in this issue that has taken place as a discussion topic among the Islamic supporters and Western supporters in our modernization history? Both women Sufis have specified that women have a more different creation than men and the physical power deficiency of the woman is balanced with having a spiritually strong and compassionate structure. In other words; man has a physically strong creation and woman has a spiritually strong creation. Artıran has given the following

---

<sup>35</sup>Daryush Shayegan, *Yaralı Bilinç: Geleneksel Topumlarda Kültürel Şizofreni*, (Injured Consciousness: Cultural Schizophrenia in Traditional Societies) (İstanbul: Metis Publication, 2012), 107.

<sup>36</sup>Interview with Cemâlnur Sargut, *Journal of Hürriyet* 23, Haziran. (2015).

answer to the question “Does this difference require the women to make their choice of profession according to their nature?”

I never become stereotyped; because, our Prophet (SAW) has not stereotyped anything. The first nurses are the Muslim women going to the war with our Prophet (SAW) during his Period. It is known that women went to war, healed the injuries of the soldiers, gave them psychological support and told hymns during his Period. Our Prophet (SAW) did not tell them “No, just sit at your home.” He told them “Come, but I do not want you to shield your delicate bodies in the same line with the men. Stay in the back lines.” He wanting them to stay in the back lines is not because he despises them, but because he values them.

The approach of Sargut is in parallel, but she has an approach preferring the suggestion of some profession groups. “Woman has the mercy attribution of Allah. They are merciful and compassionate. It is more correct to select the professions convenient for these attributions. For instance; being a teacher or doctor is very convenient, because firstly mercy is at front in these professions. However the water has been created as a whole and gives life, women crowned with material and moral knowledge is a source of life for her surroundings.”<sup>37</sup>

Could we say that Sargut and Artıran give an appearance that refers to the “wisdom” side of the Muslim woman identity and setting an example in this issue with their studies staying far away from political Islam and examined under the cultural Islam roof? Do the “having an ideal and aims of being in action” being the main concepts in the human model drawn by Muhammed Iqbal keep these two Sufi women as the new bearers of the *Sophia woman* image in these soils? It is possible to say that the importance of the Sophia woman stance and the studies conducted by them will increase day by day in the struggle against Islamophobia and militant Pan-Islamism<sup>38</sup> today in parallel to the idea that perfect human being, namely the ideal human being should also be a model human being so that s/he could transfer some things to the future with his/her ability of representation s/he will have.<sup>39</sup>

### 3.4. The Studies under the Name of the Foundation and the Association

In parallel with Republican reforms, at the end of processes emerging after the abolishment of caliphate and closing of *tekke* and *zaviye* complexes, the *fracture* experienced in religious life within both personal and institutional framework vividly stands before us as a reality of Turkey's modernization history. However, just as we grasped that modernization process was not initiated with reforms, reformist approaches toward *tekke* and *zaviye* complexes were also echoed in reformists' reactions against the ‘closing’ of these complexes, hence it is evident that this issue did not become foregrounded for the first time during Reform age.<sup>40</sup> It should however be recognized that the radical decision on that issue was taken during the Republican Age and this historic clash experimented in religious life was one of the major stress points of religious transformations of which aftershocks are still on the rise even in present day. Hence this paper also examines the way the stress conducted by this stress point was responded by the sects of Sargut and Artıran and within the perspective of the very first two female leader in modern Turkey and their disciples, the transformation *tekke* culture has been experiencing is also a critical issue to comprehend for their successors.

---

<sup>37</sup>Sargut, *Peygambere Sevdiren Kadın* [Prophetic Women] (İstanbul: Nefes Press, 2011), 129.

<sup>38</sup>Ahmet Albayrak, “İkbal’ de Dinamik İnsan Anlayışı,” [Dynamic Human Understanding in Iqbal], *Dîvân İlmî Araştırmalar* 2 (1998): 4.

<sup>39</sup>Ibid.

<sup>40</sup>II. Constitutionalist Period was the very first time that the idea of closing *Tekke* complexes and *tarikats* (sects) started to be worded. At that time, two distinctive arguments were presented. The first argument was in favor of the abolishment of *tekke* complexes and *tarikats* while the second argument was in favor of reforming their structure. (see, Mustafa Kara, *Modern tasavvuf (Sufism) through Texts* (İstanbul: Dergah Publications, 2015), 53.

In-depth interview with Hayatnur Arttiran dwelt on the question “Once we had Republican reforms and annulment of tekke and zaviye complexes did we correspondingly lose our sect culture? Below is her answer:

Not at all, I would never say such a thing, those who want to live Allah’s faith in its true sense can always find a way to live it within their soul and spirit. The able ones had already experimented it in their soul and spread to others to live it as well. That was always the real aim; those with strong pillars –in Mevlevi faith they are named as, ‘s/he has a strong pillar’ – or in other terms those with unshakable faith never forsake their practices. Frankly those with shakable faith are, no matter if *tekke* and *zaviye* complexes were still alive; would claim always the same, old story! It is possible to have lost a drop of blood, but that is nothing to exaggerate I believe.

During the interviews conducted with her *ihwan* (disciples), the views of disciples on closing *tekke* and *zaviye* complexes are, with a footnote on the necessity to close them due to then-existing circumstances, citizens highly respectful toward Republican reforms. “So long as it is not disoriented from the real aim, I believe it would function like a social cement uniting the members of each group in society.”(over 40 years of age, male,business man)

“Although officially closed, *Tekke* complexes always continued to live behind the scenes. If provided by competent scholars possessing a high level of awareness, trainings in *tekke* complexes would undoubtedly serve to raise intellectual and foresighted citizens to assist their fellow citizens. Why would not there exist official public Sufism schools and training centers open for all? In modern-age conditions, we are in urgent need of intellectual citizens in all senses.” (44 years old, female, doctor)

All of the interviewed disciples of Hayatnur emphasize the importance of *tekke* complexes to ensure social cooperation and collective experimenting of the spiritual life. However although they have been closed since 1925 in Republican Turkey, as known, they managed to continue their existence by adopting secular names (association, foundation) or conducting home meetings.

Sargut’s and Arttiran’s *ihwan* in general support the idea of revitalizing *tekke* complexes and present this argument while they hold deep esteem for Atatürk as footnoted. Atatürk’s passionate statement below should be considered as one of the reasons why this is still a controversial issue. In Söylev (Discourse) Atatürk stated such: “Effendis and my fellow citizens, you should all strongly believe that Republic of Turkey can never ever be the state for the rule of sheiks, dervishes, disciples or sectarians. The most correct, the most accurate *tarikât* (order) is the order of modern civilization.”<sup>41</sup> In line with this statement, the disciples were asked if supporting the reopening of *tekke* complexes would be perceived as disrespect toward Atatürk’s legacy. Below is their response:

“Of course not, what does it have to do with this? Right now why wouldn’t we discuss in detail the reason ‘they must be opened’, explain ‘why must be opened’ and the role we play as role models by providing permanent contributions to that field, but by leaving behind our mission simply use Atatürk to put ourselves into a meaningless fight would make no sense. ‘He closed them, he did wrong.’ Why would we show disrespect to everyone and everything and Allah above all else? It was, after all, Allah’s order and wish to see *tekke* and *zaviye* complexes closed? (48 years old, male, engineer)”

Umm-u Ken’an Dervish Lodge which is the lodge of Ken’an Rifaî was in activity between the years 1908-1925 and it was opened for activities again as Cenân Education, Culture and Art Society on July 15<sup>th</sup> 2000 after the closure of lodges and *zawiyas* in 1925. The academic studies to be conducted in the restored lodge building are handled within the scope of Ken’an Rifaî Mysticism Studies Institute and attention is paid to the usage of the word “institute” instead of “lodge.”

Sargut having an approach regarding the requirement of the rehabilitation of the lodges instead of closure continues. But she carries out her works with the channel of societies and associations. Sargut manages an international organization with the cooperation of TURKKAD and KERIM Association under

---

<sup>41</sup>Parla Taha, *Atatürk’s Discourses and Statements*, Vol. 3 (İstanbul: Deniz Press, 2008), 217.

the name of “Awards for Service to Friendly Islam” as of 2005 for the purpose of supporting the academic studies conducted in the area of Islam. The studies conducted in the issue of Islamic knowledge are introduced and awarded in national or international area via this organization. Regarding the academic studies, Sargut provided the establishment of “Ken’an Rifaî. Distinguished Professorship on Islamic Studies” daises in North Carolina University Religious Research Department (2009) and Beijing University Advanced Human Sciences Institute (2011) and the establishment of Kyoto University Ken’an Rifaî Mysticism Research Center in 2015. As a result of her studies, Sargut attained the title of Voluntary Doctorate from Azerbaijan Eurasia International Research University. Sargut saying that her purpose is to establish Mysticism Institutes all over the world, meeting the world nations with the mystic face of Islam and to struggle with Islamophobia within this context also specifies that she aims to see Istanbul as the mysticism center of the world.

In parallel to this approach, Sargut established Kerim Association in 2013 and Mysticism Research Institute was activated in Uskudar University within the body of the studies of this association. She still works as Uskudar University President Assistant and lectures in Uskudar University. The mysticism doctorate and graduate curriculum program she has opened in Uskudar University of the Institute aims to meet the academic educational system fictionalized in western style with the Islamic morals. Sargut says the following in the *iftâri* meal during which she announced that the Institute was opened: “We are talking about a religious education not opened under the Faculty of Theology for the first time and this is a revolution, my friends. There, those who have not undergone a religious education in academic meaning, but who have been educated in engineering, medicine and social sciences will study the education of mysticism; because, we need the scientists trying to perform their works in the most correct way in moral terms after this moment.”<sup>42</sup>

Like Sargut, Hayat Nur Artıran also sustains her studies with the channel of societies and associations. Hayat Nur Artıran who works as the deputy president of “Swiss Mevlevi Society” whose headquarters is in Zurich is also a founder member of “Sufi Women Alliance for the African Union and World Peace” whose headquarters is in Algeria and which was established together by the African and European scientists. Also; Hayat Nur Artıran also serving in the International Mevlana Association Science Board and World Foundation for the Handicapped Trustees Board still continues her studies as the President of Sefik Can International Mevlana Education and Culture Association. As seen; both women guides perform their Islamic works under “secular institutions.”

### 3.5. Language Balancing Science, Philosophy and Religion

At this point; the question of whether the activities performed by two women guides under secular institutions also bring a secular language with itself or not gains meaning. The woman guides struggling for attracting the secular section to themselves should also examine the language they use. However; the starting point of this attraction is based on far before than the studies of Sargut and Artıran. The attitudes of Ken’an er Rifaî and Tahirü’l Mevlevî after the closure of sects has a great importance in terms of the fact that this attraction today forms the historical ground. In his book called *Conversations*, Ken’an er Rifaî says the following with the emphasis that those with the task of wakening the public should not only be competent in the spiritual knowledge, but also the material knowledge: “It is definitely necessary for a guide to know the knowledge you have to be able to gain you and make you believe.”<sup>43</sup>

The attraction of people from all strata towards Ken’an er Rifaî<sup>44</sup> is also existent in Tahirü’l Mevlevî. Sefik Can cites in the following sentences how he used his home in Aksaray as a conversation place:

---

<sup>42</sup>Speech of Cemalnur Sargut, İstanbul, Adile Sultan Palace, 12. Temmuz. 2014.

<sup>43</sup>Sargut, *Açık Denize Yolculuk*, [Open Sea Journey], haz. Sadık Yalsızuçanlar, (İstanbul: Nefes Press, 2014), 241.

<sup>44</sup>Sargut, *Açık Denize Yolculuk*,. 198.

“The humble house of Tahirü'l Mevlevî in Aksaray Taskasap was like a lodge, everybody would come to there. Known university instructors, professors, poets and scholars would come. I even saw a princess coming from Egypt there. For instance; one day, a churchman came there.”<sup>45</sup>

This commonality in the attraction of the intellectual environment towards Tahirü'l-Mevlevî and Ken'an Rifaî is important in terms of forming the historical background of the attraction of the secular environment towards Sargut and Artıran. Therefore; it seems possible to say that the projections of a movement whose seeds were spread in 1930s are lived today.

Ken'an Rifaî is qualified as “hunter” and this situation shows itself in the properties of the language used by Sargut in her conversations. Sargut who is a chemical engineer and retired from chemistry teaching specifies that mysticism and chemistry has common properties and she benefits from the science of chemistry in her mystic conversations. The mentioning of the science and religion balance by Muhammed Iqbal and Ken'an Rifaî seems to be continuing in the effort of Sargut for meeting the science of chemistry and the knowledge of mysticism in her conversations and interviews.

What is called as atom is in motion all the time and everything consists of atoms. It is so interesting that there is a positive energy in the middle and the negative energies in its surroundings rotate around that positive. However; because there is an orbit in the ellipse shape, they obligatorily bend in the middle like “Hu” when the two come to the beginning. If every atom in the body says “hu” to the beauty attribution of Allah, to the positive energy, what a nonsense and wrong thing it is to say that “There is no Allah.”<sup>46</sup>

The explanation of “Hu” invocation by Sargut within the science of physics as “Because there is an orbit in the ellipse shape, they obligatorily bend in the middle like “Hu” when the two come to the beginning” is due to the effort of meeting science and religion and more precisely, they are actually one. However; Sargut says: “We are in a very lucky period in which it has been understood that science and religion say the same thing and science has reached perfection” and she frequently repeats this sentence in her conversations. Her tendency to use the arguments of the nature sciences due to the fact that she is a chemical engineer is an important factor of forming a secular language appearance. How is it interpreted by her followers that Sargut is using the science language?

Cemâlnur Hodja sometimes gives examples from this science because she is a chemistry teacher and this affects me so much. In her conversations, science and religion are not separate. I used to have a worry about I would be away from science if I were a religionist. These two met inside me anymore, and it could also be said that they became one inside me. (28 years old, female, master student).

I took lectures from Cemâlnur Sargut 20 years ago because she was a chemistry teacher. Questioning started in me also on the spiritual issues with the comparison of mysticism and chemistry during the lessons. I asked her any question I wanted and started to get satisfying answers to them. And in this way, I felt like I should go to her conversations. (47 years old, male, engineer).

A second factor in forming a secular language is reference to the Eastern and Western philosophers by both women guides in their conversations. The inclusion of the old Greek philosophers by both Sargut and Artıran in their mysticism conversations could be assessed as the impact of the Illuminationism school meeting the religion and philosophy.<sup>47</sup> However; Sertarik Mesnevihan Sefik Can being the guide of Artıran wrote a book called *Mevlânâ ve Eflatun* and he tried to reveal the similar sides of both of them in this book. Although Sefik Can does not set off with the idea that Mevlânâ benefitted from Plato, he has specified that

---

<sup>45</sup>Küçük, p. 45.

<sup>46</sup>Beyaz TV, TvVprogram with Cemâlnur Sargut , 4. Kasım. 2 012.

<sup>47</sup>In the form of new Platonism, which is in close contact with the ancient Iranian and Egyptian philosophy, it is that we see in Farabi and Ibni Sina. Süleyman Uludağ, *İslam Düşüncesinin Yapısı* [The Structure of Islamic Thought] (İstanbul: Dergâh Yayınları, 2015), s. 205.

the works belonging to the great Greek philosophers such as Plato and Aristotle have been translated into Arabic during the Abbasid Age and tries to mention the probability of this.<sup>48</sup>

In parallel to Sefik Can Hodja emphasizing the similarity of Plato and Mevlana, Sargut gives places to the following expressions in her conversations: “As said by Plato, here consists of shadows, we are the shadow players. In an age in which Islamic knowledge did not occur yet, Plato was the perfect human being within his own age.” Likewise; Hayat Nur Artıran mentions the old Greek philosophers in her conversations and books and even compares Mevlana to Epictetus. Artıran specifying that Epictetus was not overwhelmed by his miseries and ambitions when he was a slave two thousand years ago and rose up to the summit point of his soul freedom<sup>49</sup> expresses her thoughts in the following way: “Hrh Mevlânâ Celaledin-i Rumi remembered as the sultan of the lovers of Allah all over the world, whose soul and body are free and the philosopher Epictetus living as a slave centuries before him said, ‘To be able to become free is not being able to do anything you want any time you want, but being able to save yourself from the captivity of various material pleasures and ambitions.’”<sup>50</sup>

### 3.6. Modernism Criticism of Disciples

How do the disciples of Sargut and Artıran whose commitments to the revolutions of Republic are apparent define the modernism? When it is considered that Turkey has a western style experience of modernization, it is possible to say that these disciples who have received a secular training have created a new modernity description within a blend of this pietism and modernism. In this part, we will examine the thoughts of disciples of Sargut and Artıran about the western modernism and lifestyle brought along in order to find answer to these questions.

In my opinion, the modern life does not mean saying that modern life is in Europe and America and depriving themselves as many of us do. (54 years old, female, teacher)

Along with that the criticism brought to the modernism are in this manner, are these disciples able to offer a new modernism understanding? How do they describe the moderns from an Islamic front? The lines that the disciples of Sargut who have obtained a Western style education and are bachelor and a great majority of whom have got a master degree, described the modern human are as follows:

The area where we will search for the modernity, is the Era of Bliss. The most modern period is that period. And in our opinion, the most modern human is the Prophet Muhammad. (34 year old, female, engineer)

While another disciple states that they will be able to find the felicity when they get back to the Era of Bliss, he/she states that western style modernism destroys our culture.

What you expect from a colonist mentality: bringing you into existence? It is a condition to make this return and make peace with our Islamic culture... How we will make a return to the Era of Bliss and how we will be moral with a prophet moral? We should think over that.” (58 years old, male, journalist)

How this become possible that two generations who have been brought up with republican and secular values in Turkey, have a desire for making a return to the Era of Bliss and cite the Prophet Muhammad (SAW) as the most modern while criticizing the western modernism? Which social, socio-physiological and maybe historical refraction points may have prepared this process? In this regard, the attitude of Gellner is also very stimulating. Gellner describes this as “going back home rather than denying itself.”<sup>51</sup> Gellner who characterizes the effect of westernization in Islamic communities as “trauma” states that Islamic communities have led to a serious stalemate since 18<sup>th</sup> century along with desire of having an equal power with the western countries and they have been forced into making a choice between maintaining their traditions and becoming stronger financially and underlines that an agonizing process is experienced

---

<sup>48</sup>Sefik Can, *Mevlânâ ve Eflatun* Mevlânâ and Eflatun] (İstanbul: Kurtuba Publications, 2009), 18.

<sup>49</sup>Hayat Nur Artıran, *Herkes Seni Terk Etse, Aşk Terk Etmez* [If Everyone Leave You, Love Is Not Abandoned] (İstanbul: Sufi Publication, 2014), 23.

<sup>50</sup>Ibid., 27.

<sup>51</sup>Ernest Gellner, *Postmodernism, Reason and Religion* (New York: Routledge, 1992), 84.

at the stalemate of making a choice. Gellner who says “like going back home morally rather than denying himself/herself” seems legitimate about “going back home.”

#### 4. Final Discussion

The women Sufis, Cemâlnur Sargut and H. Nur Artıran, reveal the signals of a new religious pattern in which the polarizing image of the distinction between Kemalist-religionist turned itself with their studies handled under the roof of Cultural Islam. This study trying to reveal what kind of a Muslim identity Sargut and Artıran idealizes, the studies they have conducted to realize this ideal and the impacts of these studies on the followers has both tried to reveal the reasons for the attraction by the secular environment to these two women Sufis and has tried to express the transformation it has undergone. This change we try to understand by using the arguments of the multiple modernity and secularization paradigms both blends the modern woman identity with Muslim woman identity and also indicates a new man identity transforming the modern woman image in their mind. Therefore; it is possible to say that the answer given to the question “What is modernity?” changing in the secular environment gives signals of a social pattern which change the modernity codes within the direction of both genders and which are new in the perceptions of religion and modernity. This is such a social pattern that two generations brought up with the secular codes could give the answer of “Hrh Muhammad” to the question “Who is modern?” and could define the most modern period as the Era of Bliss. This is such a social pattern that it demolishes the fact that religious rituals should be carried out in private areas by the Kemalist project, emphasizes the brotherhood of the believers and the social cooperation lived within this meaning and the need of worship as a crowd and wants to live the Islamic prays in daily life practices. As a result; this social pattern reveals a new way of behavior that could join together both in October 29th Republic ceremonies and in Kaaba and therefore, it forms a new religious social pattern in which the wrongness of artificial categorizations just like previously performed Kemalist-religionist distinction are more visible. This new social pattern is an indicator of the existence of different modernization and secularization ways in non-western geographies.

“Need for ontological trust” mentioned in the modernism criticism of Giddens seems to be met in the mysticism conversations in which a spiritual guide shares the divine knowledge. It seems possible to see the search for a way of life by the secular individuals of Turkey in which they balance the positive sciences and spiritual knowledge as a coping strategy against the meaning crisis of modernity in a meaning. This situation brings to the mind the question, “Will the guides fill in the place of the psychologist, psychiatrist or consultancy centers replacing some social security mechanisms defined by Giddens as ‘disembedding mechanisms’ in the post secular age anymore?” On the other hand; these conversations also meet the need for “congregation” as the places in which followers join together and socialize and the conducted interviews reveal the existence of this need. The crisis of meaning brought by modernity is tried to be terminated with the existence of a spiritual guide on one hand and with the cooperation and collaboration brought by the common ground of the feeling of “togetherness of believers” on the other hand. It seems possible to say that we witness the pains of the transition from guild to congregation at least in some parts of the secular section on these soils in parallel to the expression of Bauman “We miss congregation, because we miss the security which the world we live in could render much less and is more reluctant to covenant although it is the most important characteristic for a happy life.”<sup>52</sup> Could the selection of woman Sufis with secular appearance be an indicator for the fact that the Sufi woman leaders will gain importance day by day in the future in the process of “going back home” specified by Gellner?

In this article, new religious groups, religious orientations of the newly secular circles in Turkey and thus formed and examined their behavior patterns in exposed the religious “needs” has tried to emphasize strongly. This need strives to highlight the need for macro and mezzo policies and the

---

<sup>52</sup>Zigmund Bauman, *Cemaatler. Güvenli Olmayan Bir Dünyada Güvenlik Arayışı* [Communities. Seeking Security in an Unsafe World] (çev. Nurdan Soysal), (İstanbul: Say Yayınları, 2016), s. 151.

institutional work to be created in this context, while demonstrating the limited presence of religious areas in which the secular sector can feel comfortable. On the other hand, it is thought that this research will open the door to new researches in this area in terms of emphasizing the effective role of women in religious education.

### Bibliography

- Ahmet, Albayrak. "İkbal'in Ruh Mimarı: Mevlâna. [Iqbal's Spirit Architect: Mevlâna]. 1997.
- Andrew, Davison. *Secularism and Modernity in Turkey*. Translated by Tuncay Birkan. İletişim Publications, 2002.
- Ataman, Kemal. *Sacred Pillar of Being a Nation: Civil Religion*. İstanbul: Sentez Publications, 2014.
- Ayşe, Durakbaşı. *Halide Edip: Turkish Modernity and Feminism*. İstanbul, İletişim, 2002.
- Bakır, Çağlar. "Big Problem of Laicism in Turkey; On Laicism and Its Varied Meanings." İstanbul: *Cogito* 1994.
- Cemâlnur, Sargut. *Açık Denize Yolculuk*, [Open Sea Journey] haz. Sadık Yalsızuçanlar), İstanbul: Nefes Press, 2014.
- Cemâlnur, Sargut. *Peygambere Sevdiren Kadın* [Prophetic Women]. İstanbul: Nefes Press, 2011.
- Cemâlnur, Sargut. *Sâmiha Ayverdi ile Sırta Yolculuk* [Secret Travel with Sâmiha Ayverdi]. İstanbul, Nefes Press, 2011.
- Charles, Taylor. *Modern Social Visions*. İstanbul: Metis Press, 2006.
- Charles, Taylor. *Secular Age*. İstanbul: İş Bankası Kültür Books, 2014.
- Daryush, Shayegan. *Yaralı Bilinç: Geleneksel Toplumlarda Kültürel Şizofreni* [Injured Consciousness: Cultural Schizophrenia in Traditional Societies]. İstanbul:Metis Press, 2012.
- Davison, Grace. "New Approaches in Religion Sociology: A Western Outlook." Translated by M. Akgül and İ. Çapcıoğlu ), *AÜİFD, C. XLVI, S 1* (2005): 201-214.
- Deniz, Kandiyoti. *Cariyeler, Babalar, Yurttaşlar* [Concubines, Fathers, Citizens]. İstanbul: Metis Yayınevi, 2015.
- Ernest, Gellner. *Postmodernism, Reason and Religion*. New York: Routledge, 1992.
- Fatmanur, Dikmen. "A Talk on Civil Religion with Assoc. Prof. Kemal Ataman." *Bülent Ecevit University Faculty of Theology Journal* 1, Issue 2 (2014): 151-166.
- Jose, Casanova. "Private and Public Religions." *Social Research* 59, no. 1 (1992): 17-57.
- Martin, Geoffroy. "Religious Theorizing in Global Age: A Typological Analysis." trans. Abdullah Özbolat), *Fırat University Theology Periodicals*, 17/1.
- Mehmet, Özalp. *Modernisation, Secularization and Religion Affairs in Modern Sociology*. Marmara University Institute of Social Sciences: PhD Dissertation, İstanbul, 2006.
- Mustafa, Kara. *Din Hayat Sanat Açısından Tekkeler ve Zaviyeler* [Religion Life Art in Terms of Monopolies and Dungeons]. İstanbul: Dergâh Publications, 1999.
- Mustafa, Kara. *Metinlerle Günümüz Tasavvuf Hareketleri* [Contemporary Sufism Movements with Texts]. İstanbul: Dergâh Publications, 2014.
- Nilüfer, Göle. *Secular and Religiously Eroded Borders*. İstanbul: Metis Publication, 2012.
- Nur, Artıran H. *Aşk Bir Davaya Benzer* [Love Is Similar To A Case]. İstanbul: Sufi Books, 2014.
- Nur, Artıran H., *Herkes Seni Terk Etse Aşk Terk Etmez*. [Everybody Leave You Love Doesn't Leave You]. İstanbul, Sufi Books, 2014.
- Nuray, Mert. "Laicism Conflict and Political İslam." *Cogito*. Issue:I, İstanbul: Yapı Kredi Publications, 1994.
- Parla, Taha. *Atatürk's Discourses and Statements*. Vol. 3. İstanbul: Deniz Press, 2008.



- Peter, Berger. *Sekülerizmin Gerilemesi, Sekülerizm Sorgulanıyor*. [Decline of Secularism, Secularism being Questioned]. Compiled and Translated by A. Köse. İstanbul: Ufuk Press, 2002.
- Peter, Clarke. *Sociology of Religion: Modern Advancements*. Ankara: İmge, 2012.
- Samuel, Eisenstadt, “Multiple Modernities.” *Daedalus* 129 (1) (2000): 1–30.
- Samuel, Eisenstadt. *Modernization, Protest and Transformation*. Translated by Ufuk Çoşkun, İstanbul: Dogu Batı Press, 2007.
- Sezai, Küçük. *Şefik Can Mevlânâ ile Bir Ömür*. İstanbul: Sufi Books, 2011.
- Şefik, Can. *Mevlânâ ve Eflatun*. İstanbul: Kurtuba Books, 2009.
- Thomas, Michael. “A Catholic Outlook to Laicism.” *Cogito*, Issue:I, İstanbul: Yapı Kredi Publications, 1994.
- Yaşar, Ocak Ahmet. *Türkiye, Türkler ve İslam* [Turkey, Turks and Islam]. İstanbul: İletişim Publications, 1999.