

SHORT COMMUNICATION

ROTAVIRUS GENOTYPING: A PROMISING DIAGNOSTIC TOOL OF RESEARCH FOR PEDIATRIC GASTROENTERITIS

Tayyab Un Nisa

Department of Microbiology, University of Karachi, Pakistan.

ABSTRACT

Every child in his early five years' age usually suffers at least once with rotavirus diarrhea worldwide. Diagnosis of rotavirus diarrhea is carried out by various methods available worldwide: including electron microscopy, antigen detection, nucleic acid detection and amplification (PCR). However, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) method for detection of rotavirus and its genotyping is considered as the gold standard diagnostic tool, and commonly used for determining the rotavirus gastroenteritis burden as well prevalence of virus type in children for surveillance and outbreak investigation. RT-PCR genotyping methods are also used as alternatives for rotavirus serotyping. Nested RT-PCRs are usually performed for rotavirus genotyping, in which extracted viral ribonucleic acid (RNA) from infected or suspected pediatric fecal specimens are processed for conserved region of viral genome. The PCR is performed by using consensus primers for the gene 9 and 4, responsible for expression of VP4 and VP7 consecutively. Rotavirus genotyping also helps in taking appropriate decision about the introduction and administration of rotavirus vaccines.

Keywords: Rotavirus Genotyping; Pediatric Gastroenteritis; Enteric Viruses.

Corresponding Author:

Tayyab Un Nisa

Department of Microbiology,

University of Karachi, Pakistan.

Email: tayyabunnisa@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Human enteric viruses are a major public health risk, transmitted from person-to-person, or through food and water. Viral gastroenteritis outbreaks are most often linked to adenovirus, norovirus, astrovirus, sapovirus and rotavirus. Rotavirus infections in newborn, toddlers and young kids causes severe clinical consequences such as moderate to severe diarrhea (MSD), vomiting, high grade fever, dehydration, electrolyte imbalance and metabolic acidosis. Rotavirus is declared as one of the five top most global pediatric gastroenteric pathogens in children <5 years of age¹.

Rotavirus is extremely contagious, having a very low infectious dose. Even <100 viruses can cause brutal infection. Rotaviruses are transmitted mostly by the fecal-oral route as well as by respiratory droplets, fomite and are shed in high concentration from stool of infected child^{2,3}. The objective of this paper is to determine the efficacy and efficiency of Rotavirus genotyping in comparison with other methods, and then explaining importance of applying genotyping technique as an effective

means of rotavirus surveillance.

DISCUSSION

Clinical features of rotavirus infection and lab based diagnosis of rotavirus infection is essential component of consistent rotavirus surveillance. Stool specimen is the choice for lab-based diagnosis of rotavirus infection. The enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is widely available test for rotavirus antigen detection. This test is designed to check the presence of viral VP6 (structural viral protein) antigen, which is present in all group A Rotaviruses. There are several ELISA kits available in market, which are cheaper, user friendly, quick, and are with high sensitivity (90-100%). These assays are qualitative and semi-quantitative and are perfect for early clinical diagnosis as well as rotavirus surveillance³.

Latex agglutination or latex fixation is less sensitive and specific than ELISA, but still used due to low cost⁴. It is preferable technique for a research setting for confirmation of the non-typable strain, but not suitable for high number of samples or any

surveillance study. A comparative diagnosis of rotavirus infection with latex agglutination, electron microscopy and Real-time reverse transcription-PCR show that Real-time PCR is many fold sensitive than other test.

Electron microscopy (EM) is an expensive and laborious technique. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) technique was mostly restricted to topographical identification. Results of electron microscopy were affected by staining procedure and physical properties of stains⁵. This technique is used mainly in research settings.

Immune detection (ELISA) was considered as a reference method for Rotavirus detection in a comparative report of electron microscopy and other immune detection techniques⁶. As of conventional electron microscopy and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) it was difficult to study the infected cell organelle and intracellular processes of viral infection. These days, with the expanded use of transmission electron microscopy (TEM), electron microscopy has become valuable means of structural and non structural viral protein detection; and interaction of these proteins with viral replication and assembly, Transmission electron microscopy and immunoaffinity capture EM used as detection techniques of the viral protein interaction through replication intermediates forms of rotavirus assembly. Moreover, EM in combination with disc centrifugation and capillary electrophoresis was found to be of great importance for estimating the double layer protein

and triple layer protein ratio in describing immune effectiveness of a rotavirus vaccine preparation^{7,8}.

Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and silver staining are equally sensitive to ELISA but are laborious⁹. PAGE is used to analyze the genome variation based on size of viral nucleic acid. Nucleic acid amplification by Real Time PCR or multiplex probe based rapid RT-PCR are available for Rotavirus identification and determination of viral load and viral type respectively¹¹. These assays were tested on large specimen numbers for epidemiological studies as screening tests¹². NSP3 region was selected by other scientists as a target of detection of rotavirus (Group A) through real time RT-PCR^{13,14}. Multiplex PCR for genotyping, and nested RT-PCR with nucleotide sequencing is proven as an standard alternate of serotyping, Latex agglutination and electron microscopy. These techniques are used for the identification of prevalent as well non-typable rotavirus G and P genotypes¹⁵⁻¹⁸.

However, a good multiplex typing protocol is needed for consistently reproducible results. Limitations with any multiplex real-time PCR should be taken under consideration. Rotavirus genotyping can also be performed by doing VP4 and VP7 typing through conventional PCR to evaluate the circulating viral type in community. Identification of new or less common genotypes through RT-PCR can be counter checked and confirmed through Nucleotide sequencing¹⁹.

Table 1: Comparison of rotavirus detection techniques.

TECHNIQUE	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES	SUITABILITY
Antigen detection, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)	Quick, less time and labor consuming	Can only answer of positive / negative	Surveillance studies and routine diagnosis
Latex agglutination (LA)	Quick, less time and labor consuming	Can only be good if type specific sera available	Research and confirmation of diagnostics
Electron microscopy(EM)	Provide surface structure information, precise	Cumbersome, Expensive, information. Do not type the strain	Only for research
Nucleic acid detection (PAGE)	Confirmation of specific strain type	Laborious	Only for research
Nucleic acid amplification (Real Time PCR)	Quick and accurate	Expensive than conventional PCR Take time for type specific identification	Surveillance studies and routine diagnosis
Reverse Transcription RT-PCR genotyping methods	Quick and accurate	Less expensive, Take time for type specific identification	Surveillance studies and routine diagnosis in a resource limited setting
Nucleic Acid Sequencing	Quick and accurate	Most expensive	Confirmation of new or less common strain. Confirmation of RT-PCR based genotyped strains

The review of different techniques and the working expertise of rotavirus genotyping show that Real time rotavirus detection has an edge over ELISA, PAGE, Agglutination and Electron microscopy. All these are excellent with good specificity and sensitivity for Rotavirus detection in a research setting for rapid detection [Yes/ No] results; and the genotyping to detect the circulating strain of rotavirus in a community [Surveillance Study]. Rotavirus real time PCR detection and rotavirus genotyping are the most promising tools (Table 1).

Real time PCR is commonly used than Reverse Transcription PCR assay, which defines the presence or absence of Rotavirus with its genotypes under investigation.¹⁰ One of the reported assays, representative of dominant diagnostic tools for usual detection of rotavirus in fecal samples, claimed improved detection over ELISA and semi nested RT-PCR¹¹, although limitations with the multiplex genotyping RT-PCR for Rotavirus type detection cannot be ignored.

While comparing serotyping with the genotyping of the rotavirus, it is worth mentioning that although there is a defined association between P serotyping, which is monoclonal antibody based, and P genotyping; but reverse transcription PCR of Rotavirus detection is not evident of the serotypes of the tested strains for every case. This is confirmation of the fact that Reverse transcription PCR typing methods can be used to classify the common VP4 genes (VP4 genotype) in circulation but cannot be evident of serotypes^{20,21}. Reverse transcription PCR of Rotavirus also comes up with many non-typable strains which can be resolved with the correct primer designing as well as by performing the comparative analysis of 2 sets of primers reported from different settings²². Moreover, the reverse transcription PCR of rotavirus is used as the standard technique in any of the research setting for the prevalence of circulating viral strain. Selection of the primer is a very important issue to address emerging virus type as well as genetic mutations in similar virus type.

CONCLUSION

Despite of all above mentioned limitation related to primer design, primer selection and the new rotavirus strains emergence in a community, the rotavirus genotyping is still considered as the promising tool for rotavirus gastroenteritis in any research setting. Considering this fact, it is suggested that there is a dire need to design and develop new primers for development of RT-PCR for defining circulating rotavirus genotypes. Moreover, sequencing of rotavirus gene 9 and gene 4 may be helpful in defining new genotypes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author acknowledges the help of Dr. Aqeel Ahmad

for technical guidance, writing assistance, paper editing and proofreading.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Author does not have any conflict of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Kotloff KL, Nataro JP, Blackwelder WC, Nasrin D, Farag TH, Panchalingam S, et al. Burden and aetiology of diarrhoeal disease in infants and young children in developing countries (the Global Enteric Multicenter Study, GEMS): a prospective, case-control study. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9888):209-22.
2. Butz AM, Fosarelli P, Dick J, Cusack T, Yolken R. Prevalence of rotavirus on high-risk fomites in day-care facilities. *Pediatrics*. 1993;92(2):202-5.
3. Dennehy PH, Nelson SM, Crowley BA, Saracen CL. Detection of rotavirus RNA in hospital air samples by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 828. *Pediatr Res*. 1998;43(S4):143.
4. Gentsch JR, Laird AR, Bielfelt B, Griffin DD, Bányai K, Ramachandran M, et al. Serotype diversity and reassortment between human and animal rotavirus strains: implications for rotavirus vaccine programs. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;192:S146-S59.
5. Nakata S, Petrie B, Calomeni E, Estes M. Electron microscopy procedure influences detection of rotaviruses. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1987;25(10):1902-6.
6. Dennehy PH, Gauntlett D, Spangenberg S. Choice of reference assay for the detection of rotavirus in fecal specimens: electron microscopy versus enzyme immunoassay. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1990;28(6):1280-3.
7. Boudreaux CE, Kelly DF, McDonald SM. Electron microscopic analysis of rotavirus assembly-replication intermediates. *Virology*. 2015;477:32-41.
8. Miseur A, Coppens A, Chapelle C, Hens C, Blain P, Delpierre G, et al., editors. Rotavirus double and triple layered viral particles: correlative characterization using electron microscopy, disc centrifuge and capillary electrophoresis. *European Microscopy Congress 2016: Proceedings*; 2016: Wiley Online Library.
9. Herring A, Inglis N, Ojeh C, Snodgrass Da, Menzies J. Rapid diagnosis of rotavirus infection by direct detection of viral nucleic acid in silver-stained polyacrylamide gels. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1982;16(3):473-7.
10. Jothikumar N, Kang G, Hill V. Broadly reactive TaqMan® assay for real-time RT-PCR detection of rotavirus in clinical and environmental samples. *J Virol Methods*. 2009;155(2):126-31.
11. Gutiérrez-Aguirre I, Steyer A, Boben J, Gruden K, Poljšak-Prijatelj M, Ravnika M. Sensitive detection of multiple rotavirus genotypes with a single reverse transcription-real-time quantitative PCR assay. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2008;46(8):2547-54.
12. Hernández SIDLC, Molina YA, Santiago FG, Vega HLT, Leyva EM, Pérez HM, et al. Real-time RT-PCR, a

necessary tool to support the diagnosis and surveillance of rotavirus in Mexico. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2018;90(4):272-6.

13. Gautam R, Bowen MD. One-step Quantitative RT-PCR Assays for Detecting, Genotyping and Differentiating Wild-Type Group a Rotaviruses and Vaccine (Rotarix® and RotaTeq®) Strains in Stool Samples. *J Vaccines Vaccin*. 2016;7(5).

14. Kottaridi C, Spathis AT, Ntova CK, Papaevangelou V, Karakitsos P. Evaluation of a multiplex real time reverse transcription PCR assay for the detection and quantitation of the most common human rotavirus genotypes. *J Virol Methods*. 2012;180(1-2):49-53.

15. Gunasena S, Nakagomi O, Isegawa Y, Kaga E, Nakagomi T, Steele A, et al. Relative frequency of VP4 gene alleles among human rotaviruses recovered over a 10-year period (1982-1991) from Japanese children with diarrhea. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1993;31(8):2195-7.

16. Taniguchi K, Urasawa T, Kobayashi N, Gorziglia M, Urasawa S. Nucleotide sequence of VP4 and VP7 genes of human rotaviruses with subgroup I specificity and long RNA pattern: implication for new G serotype specificity. *J Virol*. 1990;64(11):5640-4.

17. Gouvea V, Glass RI, Woods P, Taniguchi K, Clark

HF, Forrester B, et al. Polymerase chain reaction amplification and typing of rotavirus nucleic acid from stool specimens. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1990;28(2):276-82.

18. Das BK, Gentsch JR, Cicirello HG, Woods PA, Gupta A, Ramachandran M, et al. Characterization of rotavirus strains from newborns in New Delhi, India. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1994;32(7):1820-2.

19. Logan C, O'Leary JJ, O'Sullivan N. Real-time reverse transcription-PCR for detection of rotavirus and adenovirus as causative agents of acute viral gastroenteritis in children. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2006;44(9):3189-95.

20. Hoshino Y, Jones R, Kapikian A. Serotypic characterization of outer capsid spike protein VP4 of vervet monkey rotavirus SAff11 strain. *Arch Virol*. 1998;143(6):1233-44.

21. Li B, Hoshino Y, Gorziglia M. Identification of a unique VP4 serotype that is shared by a human rotavirus (69M strain) and an equine rotavirus (H-2 strain). *Arch Virol*. 1996;141(1):155-60.

22. Mitui MT, Chandrasena TN, Chan PK, Rajindrajith S, Nelson EAS, Leung TF, et al. Inaccurate identification of rotavirus genotype G9 as genotype G3 strains due to primer mismatch. *Virol J*. 2012;9(1):144.

