PHYTOTOXICITY OF DIFFERENT AQUEOUS EXTRACT CONCENTRATIONS OF MESQUITE (*PROSOPIS JULIFLORA*) ON GERMINATION AND SEEDLING GROWTH OF WHEAT

Rafaqat Hussain Shah^{1*}, Mohammad Safdar Baloch¹, Muhammad Zubair², Ejaz Ahmad Khan¹, Muhammad Ahmad³ and Ghulam Abbas⁴

¹ Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Gomal University, Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan ² The Department of Forestry and Range Management, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan ³Agrivcultural Training Institute, Karor Lal Eason, Layyah, Pakistan ⁴Adaptive Research Farm, Karor Lal Eason, Layyah, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

The research trial was conducted under laboratory conditions at Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Gomal University, Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan in order to study phytotoxic effects of mesquite (*Prosopis juliflora*) aqueous extract taken from its leaves, stem and root on germination and seedling growth of wheat. There were five treatments *viz*. 100g, 200g, 300g, and 400g aqueous leaves, stem and root extract of mesquite including tap water as control. It was evident from the results that by using different concentrations of aqueous extract of mesquite, seed germination and seedling growth of wheat were inhibited. The maximum reduction in germination and seedling growth was noted by applying higher concentration (400g) of aqueous extracts of mesquite. Hence, it is concluded that seed germination and seedling growth of wheat is inhibited due to presence of water soluble allelochemicals in aqueous leaves, stem and root extracts of mesquite at 400 g for 72 hours. These allelochemicals have negative effects on growth and development of wheat. It is, therefore, recommended not to cultivate wheat crop near the mesquite.

Keywords: Phytotoxicity, Prosopis juliflora, extract, germination, seedling vigor, wheat

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the leading food grain of Pakistan, which contributes 10.3% value added in agriculture and 2.2% to GDP. It is annually cultivated on an area of 9.03 million hectares with total production of 25.9 million tons in the country (Anonymous, 2015).

The yield of wheat is low in Pakistan due to number of abiotic and biotic factors (Qureshi and Bhatti, 2001; Ullah et al., 2013). Weeds are the most serious but less noticeable yielddeteriorating factor that also poses allelopathic effects against crops (Khan et al., 2004) due to presence of organic compounds, which release phytotoxic substance. These phytotoxic substances release chemicals into the ecosystem (Tanveer, 2008) in the form of secondary metabolites which may leach out from various parts of plants to the surrounding rhizosphere either as exudates or rain-residues impact on germination and growth which processes of other plants (Sajjad et al., 2007;

Iqbal et al., 2010). In allelopathy, plant growth is negatively affected due to competition of the two organisms when using the same resources (Burhan and Shaukat, 2000). It has been reported that the plants which release phytotoxic substances, can have both positive and negative effects with the interaction of weeds and crops (Rebaz et al., 2001; Shaukat et 2002). Mehar (2011) reported that al., allelopathic plants have certain compounds effecting the environment is totally different from competition. Competition is to remove reduce and all available resources of neighboring plants.

Phytotoxic plants discharge toxic chemicals or secondary plant metabolites, which affect seed germination, cell division, cell elongation, membrane permeability and ion uptake of the nearby plants (Dongre et al., 2007). Oweyegha-(2008)Afunaduula, has reported that interaction of trees with crop plants may have positive or negative effects on both crops and forest plants. Therefore, before introducing to an agro-forestry system it is necessary to check the phytotoxic compatibility of plants with the trees. Mesquite plant is considered to be both

^{*}Corresponding author: e-mail:

invasive and phytotoxic, because it can delay the growth of some nearby plants species by discharging allelochemicals in the environment (Mehar, 2011).

Mesquite (Prosopis juliflora) is generally known as Kabuli kikar, a well adopted shrub to harsh environment conditions of arid zones. It is large inhabitant shrub of the Northern South America, West Indies, Central America and Mexico. It was introduced in Pakistan in 1950s. It has been noted that the fallen leaves. leachates or root exudates of mesquite release phytotoxic substances which affect all ground vegetation under its canopy (Siddiqui et al., 2009). Therefore, discharging of toxic chemicals into the soil delayed seed germination and establishment of field crops and vegetation. Due to its weedy nature, mesquite is a threat to indigenous biodiversity in Ethiopia and in the Middle Awash. The reported area under mesquite invasion is about 30,000 hectare in the Middle Awash (Mehari, 2008), however in Pakistan its area under cultivation is still under study.

Mesquite not only decreases grass availability for the livestock but also restricts many physiological and biochemical processes of the crop plants due to release of phytotoxic compounds. (Getachew et al., 2012). Since the allelopaths restrict plant growth at a certain concentration, therefore, their proper screening tests should be conducted to ensure their effects on economic crop (Tanveer, 2008). The present research was therefore designed to investigate phytotoxic potential the of different concentrations of aqueous leaves, stem and root extract of mesquite on germination and early seedling growth of wheat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Phytotoxic effect of mesquite (Prosopis juliflora) was assessed under laboratory conditions at Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Gomal University, Dera Ismail Khan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK), Pakistan, in a completely randomized design with 4 replications for 28 days. Fresh Vegetative growing tissues of the weed species were collected from fields nearby the experimental site. For the weed specie, plants were separated into three leaves, stem and root parts, crushed and grinded. Tap water was used as control treatment. The ground plant material of mesquite was soaked in distilled water in different ratios, *viz-a-viz*, $T_1 = 100g$ leaves/ liter of water for 72 h, $T_2 = 200g$ leaves/liter of water for 72 h, $T_3 = 300g$ leaves/liter of water for 72 hours for 72 hours, $T_4 = 400g$ leaves/liter of water for 72 h, $T_5 = 100g$ stem/liter of water for 72 h, $T_6 = 200g$ stem/liter of water for 72 h, $T_7 = 300g$ stem/liter of water for 72 h, $T_8 =$ 400g stem/liter of water for 72 h, $T_9 = 100g$ roots/liter of water for 72 h, $T_{10} = 200g$ roots/liter of water for 72 h, $T_{11} = 300g$ roots/liter of water for 72 h and $T_{12} = 400g$ roots/liter of water for 72 h of P.juliflora. The aqueous extract was collected in bottles and tagged by filtering through 10 and 60 mesh sieves. Thirteen trays, replicated 4 times, filled with sand, silt and clay (1:1:1) were taken for sowing 100 wheat seeds in each trav viz. Trav-1: T₀: Tap water (check) and Tray-2 to 13: Treatments T_1-T_{12} (*P. juliflora*). All treatments were applied 5 and 10 days after sowing (DAS). Water was applied on daily/alternate basis to keep the soil moist/field capacity. The parameters recorded during the course of experimentation were speed of germination, mean germination time, germination rate, germination (%), germination energy (%), root and shoot length (cm), fresh and dry root weight (g), fresh and dry shoot weight (g), root: shoot ratio and chlorophyll content (μ g cm⁻²). Data for the individual parameters were subjected to statistical analysis by using analysis of variance technique (Steel et al., 1997). The difference among the treatment means was computed by using least significant difference (LSD) test at 1% probability level using MSTATC computer software (MSTATC, 1991).

RESULTS

Speed of germination, mean germination time, germination rate

The results showed that aqueous extract from leaves, stems and root of mesquite negatively affected the speed of germination, mean germination time and germination rate (Table -- 1). All the treatments showed significant reduction at 1 percent level of probability in the speed of germination, germination rate and also delay in mean germination time as compared to control (Tap water). The maximum speed of germination and germination rate was noted in control where no extract was used. Among different concentrations applied, aqueous leaves extract (400g) showed maximum

reduction in speed of germination and germination rate (7.81 and 57.25) respectively, by taking more mean germination time (13.73). Similar trend was also noted with increasing concentration of aqueous stems and root extract which significantly reduced the speed of germination and germination rate and by delaying mean germination time.

Germination percentage and germination energy

Germination percentage and germination energy (recorded 7 and 14 DAS) was significantly_reduced at 1 per cent level of probability with the increasing concentration of aqueous leaf, stem and root extract of mesquite when compared with control (Table - 2). Significantly lowest germination percentage was recorded (2.25 and 59.50%) with the higher concentration (400g) of aqueous leaf extract over control (Tap water) which showed higher germination percentage (13.50 and 100.0%) 7 and 14 DAS respectively. Similarly, the higher concentration (400g) of aqueous stems and roots extract recorded the minimum germination percentage (4.50, 69.50% and 4.50, 71.75%) on both 7 and 14 DAS respectively. Similar trend was also noted for germination energy. In control, maximum germination energy was recorded (13.50 and 100.00%) 7 and 14 DAS respectively, whereas minimum germination energy (2.09 and 59.51%, 4.51 and 69.51% and 4.51 and 71.74%) was recorded 7 and 14 DAS with higher concentration (400g) of aqueous extract of leaves. stems and roots of mesquite, respectively.

Shoot and root length

Data pertaining to shoot and root length are presented in Table - 3, which indicated that aqueous leaf, stems and root extracts significantly at 1 percent level of probability inhibited shoot and root length as compared to tap water. Maximum shoot length (18.70, 25.61 and 29.35cm) was recorded in control 14, 21 and 28 DAS. Among different concentrations, the maximum concentration (400g) of aqueous extract of all three parts of mesquite produced minimum shoot length of wheat 14, 21 and 28 DAS. Similarly, maximum root length was measured in control (3.75, 5.50 and 6.20cm) 14, 21 and 28 DAS respectively. The root length was subsequently reduced with increase in extract concentration. The highest concentration (400g) of aqueous leaf, stem and root extracts produced minimum root length compared to untreated plot.

Fresh shoot and root biomass

Fresh shoot and root biomass was reduced by increasing concentration of aqueous leaf, stem and root extracts as compared to control (Table - 4). Maximum shoot weight was noted in control (1.32, 2.37 and 2.60g) 14, 21 and 28 DAS, respectively. The highest concentration (400g) of aqueous leaf extract considerably reduced the shoot weight (0.84, 1.24 and 1.66g) 14, 21 and 28 DAS, respectively. Similar reduction in fresh shoot weight was also noted with the application of higher concentration (400g) of aqueous stem and root extract. Similarly, all three aqueous leaves, stem and roots extracts of plant significantly reduced fresh root biomass as compared with tap water (0.61,0.68 and 0.79g) 14, 21 and 28 DAS. respectively.

Dry shoot and root weight

Data given in Table - 5 revealed significant reduction in dry shoot and root weight by applying different concentration of aqueous extract of leave, stem and root than control (Tap water). The minimum dry shoot weight (0.079, 0.110 and 0.137g) was noted by applying maximum concentration (400g) of aqueous leaves extract over control, which had maximum dry shoot weight (0.106, 0.145 and 0.176g) 14, 21 and 28 DAS respectively. Similarly, aqueous stem and root extracts also reduced dry shoot weight as compared to untreated control. Similar results were noted in case of dry root weight in which the increasing concentrations of aqueous leave, stem and root extracts of mesquite correspondingly decreased dry root weight as compared to control that had maximum dry root weight (0.073, 0.087 and 0.11g) 14, 21 and 28 DAS, respectively.

Root: Shoot ratio, Chlorophyll Content

Applications of aqueous leave, stem and root extract of mesquite significantly at 1 percent level of probability reduced the root: shoot ratio and chlorophyll content as shown in Table - 6. By increasing concentration of aqueous extract of all three plant parts correspondingly decreased the root: shoot ratio as well as chlorophyll content compared with control. The maximum root: shoot ratio was noted in control (39.67, 40.17 and 41.0) 14, 21 and 28 DAS,

respectively. Application of highest concentration (400g) of all three plant parts (leaves, stem and root) correspondingly reduced the root: shoot ratio. Similarly, the control treatment showed maximum chlorophyll content (27.05, 33.48 and 26.23) while it decreased considerably by increasing the concentration of aqueous extract. Minimum chlorophyll content (21.79, 25.74 and 19.47) was recorded 14, 21 and 28 DAS, respectively, by applying the highest concentration (400g) of aqueous leave extract of mesquite.

 Table – 1: Phytotoxic effect of mesquite (Prosopis juliflora) on speed of germination, mean germination time and germination rate of wheat

Treatments	Speed of germination	Mean germination time	Germination rate
$T_0 = Tap water$	10.61 a	9.62 h	87.50 a
$T_1 = 100g$ leaves	9.10 def	11.81 cd	68.50 de
$T_2 = 200g$ leaves	8.61 gh	12.54 b	62.25 fg
$T_3 = 300g$ leaves	8.06 i	13.37 a	59.00 gh
$T_4 = 400g$ leaves	7.81 i	13.73 a	57.25 h
$T_5 = 100g$ stem	9.45 cd	11.28 ef	76.00 bc
$T_6 = 200g$ stem	9.21 de	11.64 de	71.50 cd
$T_7 = 300g$ stem	8.82 fgh	12.12 bc	70.25 d
$T_8 = 400g$ stem	8.53 h	12.57 b	65.00 ef
$T_9 = 100g$ roots	9.93 b	10.76 g	84.00 a
$T_{10} = 200g$ roots	9.60 bc	11.07 fg	78.50 b
$T_{11} = 300g \text{ roots}$	8.92 efg	12.15 bc	71.50 cd
$T_{12} = 400g \text{ roots}$	8.57 gh	12.45 b	67.25 de
LSD _{0.01}	0.376	0.460	4.691

Means followed by different letter(s) in a column are statistically significant at 1% level of probability.

germination energy of wheat							
Treatments	Germi	nation (%)	Germination energy (%)				
	7 DAS	14 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS			
$T_0 = Tap water$	13.50 a	100.0 a	13.50 a	100.00 a			
$T_1 = 100g$ leaves	8.00 cd	76.50 c	8.08 e	76.51 g			
$T_2 = 200g$ leaves	5.00 def	67.25 e	5.09 g	67.23 k			
$T_3 = 300g$ leaves	2.50 f	61.50 f	2.25 i	61.231			
$T_4 = 400g$ leaves	2.25 f	59.50 f	2.09 i	59.51 m			
$T_5 = 100g$ stem	9.00 bc	85.00 b	9.09 d	85.10 d			
$T_6 = 200g$ stem	8.00 cd	79.50 c	8.09 e	79.53 e			
$T_7 = 300g$ stem	5.75 de	76.00 cd	5.76 f	76.10 h			
$T_8 = 400g$ stem	4.50 ef	69.50 e	4.51 h	69.51 j			
$T_9 = 100g$ roots	11.50 ab	95.50 a	11.51 c	95.55 b			
$T_{10} = 200g \text{ roots}$	9.25 bc	87.75 b	9.25 d	87.74 c			
$T_{11} = 300g \text{ roots}$	5.75 de	77.25 с	11.76 b	77.24 f			
$T_{12} = 400g$ roots	4.50 ef	71.75 de	4.51 h	71.74 i			
LSD _{0.01}	3.008	4.531	0.226	0.065			

 Table – 2: Phytotoxic effect of mesquite (*Prosopis juliflora*) on germination percentage and germination energy of wheat

Means followed by different letter(s) in a column are statistically significant at 1% level of probability.

Asian J Agri Biol, 2016, 4(2): 25-32.

Table – 3: Phytotoxic effect of mesquite (<i>Prosopis juliflora</i>) on shoot length and root length of whea							
Treatments	Shoot length(cm)			Root length(cm)			
	14 DAS	21DAS	28 DAS	14 DAS	21 DAS	28 DAS	
$T_0 = Tap water$	18.70 a	25.61 a	29.35 a	3.75 a	5.50 a	6.20 a	
$T_1 = 100g$ leaves	12.50 d	19.52 c	22.22 c	2.72 def	3.80 bc	4.80 c	
$T_2 = 200g$ leaves	11.75 e	18.38 d	21.42 d	2.57 d-g	3.62 cd	4.57 de	
$T_3 = 300g$ leaves	10.55 f	17.58 e	20.25 e	2.47fg	3.47 de	4.35 fg	
$T_4 = 400g$ leaves	9.61 g	16.27 f	19.29 f	2.22 g	3.10 f	4.15 h	
$T_5 = 100g$ stem	13.58 b	20.51 b	23.34 b	3.37 b	3.75 bc	4.77 c	
$T_6 = 200g$ stem	12.54 d	19.50 c	22.44 c	2.92 cd	3.65 cd	4.57 de	
$T_7 = 300g$ stem	11.46 e	18.62 d	21.48 d	2.77 c-f	3.50 d	4.42 ef	
$T_8 = 400g$ stem	10.42 f	17.57 e	20.49 e	2.52 efg	3.27 ef	4.25 gh	
$T_9 = 100g$ roots	13.15 c	20.52 b	23.36 b	3.12 bc	3.90 b	5.00 b	
$T_{10} = 200g \text{ roots}$	12.53 d	19.45 c	22.37 c	2.87cde	3.72 bc	4.67 cd	
$T_{11} = 300g \text{ roots}$	11.64 e	18.48 d	21.38 d	2.65 def	3.47 de	4.57 de	
$T_{12} = 400g$ roots	10.43 f	17.56 e	20.58 e	2.50 fg	3.25 f	4.40 fg	
LSD _{0.01}	0.406	0.370	0.443	0.361	0.211	0.170	

Means followed by different letter(s) in a column are statistically significant at 1% level of probability.

Table – 4: Phytotoxic effect of mesquite (<i>Prosopis juliflora</i>) on fresh shoot weight and fresh root
weight of wheat

Treatments	Fresh shoot weight (g)			Fresh root weight (g)			
	14 DAS	21 DAS	28 DAS	14 DAS	21 DAS	28 DAS	
$T_0 = Tap water$	1.32 a	2.37 a	2.60 a	0.61 a	0.68 a	0.79 a	
$T_1 = 100g$ leaves	0.95 b	1.34 bcd	1.76 de	0.37 b	0.46 bc	0.59 bc	
$T_2 = 200g$ leaves	0.92 bcd	1.31 cde	1.73 de	0.36 b	0.44 bcd	0.57 bcd	
$T_3 = 300g$ leaves	0.86 cde	1.29 de	1.70 de	0.35 b	0.43 cd	0.55 def	
$T_4 = 400g$ leaves	0.84 e	1.24 e	1.66 e	0.33 b	0.41 d	0.53 f	
$T_5 = 100g$ stem	0.96 b	1.38 bc	1.95 b	0.38 b	0.47 b	0.60 b	
$T_6 = 200g$ stem	0.95 b	1.37 bc	1.92 b	0.37 b	0.44 bcd	0.58 bcd	
$T_7 = 300g$ stem	0.92 bc	1.35 bcd	1.87 bc	0.34 b	0.44 bcd	0.56 cde	
$T_8 = 400g$ stem	0.87 cde	1.31 cde	1.79 cd	0.34 b	0.42 d	0.55 def	
$T_9 = 100g$ roots	0.96 b	1.39 b	1.94 b	0.38 b	0.46 bc	0.59 bc	
$\begin{array}{c} T_{10}=200g\\ roots \end{array}$	0.92 bc	1.33 bcd	1.92 b	0.36 b	0.44 bcd	0.58 bcd	
$\begin{array}{c} T_{11}=300g\\ roots \end{array}$	0.87 cde	1.31 cde	1.90 b	0.35 b	0.42 d	0.56 def	
$\begin{array}{c} T_{12} = 400g \\ roots \end{array}$	0.85 de	1.28 de	1.86 bc	0.34 b	0.42 d	0.54 ef	
LSD _{0.01}	0.065	0.075	0.103	0.053	0.034	0.034	

Means followed by different letter(s) in a column are statistically significant at 1% level of probability.

		wei	gint of wheat			weight of wheat							
Treatments	Dry shoot weight (g)			Dry root weight (g)									
	14 DAS	21 DAS	28 DAS	14 DAS	21 DAS	28 DAS							
$T_0 = Tap water$	0.106 a	0.145 a	0.176 a	0.073 a	0.087 a	0.11 a							
$T_1 = 100g$ leaves	0.085 b	0.117 bc	0.143 b	0.052 b	0.071 bc	0.089 b							
$T_2 = 200g$ leaves	0.083 bc	0.115 cd	0.140 cd	0.051 b	0.070 bc	0.087 bcd							
$T_3 = 300g$ leaves	0.081 cd	0.113 de	0.138 de	0.050 b	0.069 bc	0.085 cde							
$T_4 = 400g$ leaves	0.079 d	0.110 e	0.137 e	0.048 b	0.066 c	0.081 f							
$T_5 = 100g$ stem	0.085 b	0.119 b	0.144 b	0.053 b	0.072 b	0.088 bc							
$T_6 = 200g$ stem	0.084 bc	0.116 bc	0.142 bc	0.051 b	0.070 bc	0.086 bcd							
$T_7 = 300g$ stem	0.083 bc	0.114 cd	0.140 cd	0.050 b	0.069 bc	0.085 cde							
$T_8 = 400g$ stem	0.081 cd	0.113 de	0.138 de	0.050 b	0.068 bc	0.082 f							
$T_9 = 100g$ roots	0.086 b	0.119 b	0.144 b	0.054 b	0.071 bc	0.088 bc							
$T_{10} = 200g$ roots	0.084 bc	0.116 bc	0.141 bc	0.052 b	0.070 bc	0.086 bcd							
$T_{11} = 300g \text{ roots}$	0.083 bc	0.114 cd	0.138 de	0.050 b	0.069 bc	0.084 def							
$T_{12} = 400g$ roots	0.085 b	0.113 de	0.136 e	0.050 b	0.069 bc	0.082 ef							
LSD _{0.01}	3.390	3.274	2.765	2.821	4.835	3.241							

 Table – 5: Phytotoxic effect of mesquite (*Prosopis juliflora*) on dry shoot weight and dry root weight of wheat

Means followed by different letter(s) in a column are statistically significant at 1% level of probability.

Table – 6: Phytotoxic effect of mesquite (Prosopis juliflora) on root:shoot ratio and chlorophyll
content of wheat

Treatments	Root shoot ratio			Chlorophyll content (µ g cm ⁻²)		
	14 DAS	21 DAS	28 DAS	14 DAS	21 DAS	28 DAS
$T_0 = Tap water$	39.67 a	40.17a	41.01 a	27.05 a	33.48 a	26.23 a
$T_1 = 100g$ leaves	37.81 b	37.66 b	38.27 bc	24.14 def	27.81 bc	22.29 bcd
$T_2 = 200g$ leaves	37.91 b	37.84 b	38.45 b	23.34 g	27.56 bc	21.37 ef
$T_3 = 300g$ leaves	38.02 ab	37.91 b	38.25 bc	22.71 h	26.54 de	20.26 g
$T_4 = 400g$ leaves	37.90 b	37.56 b	37.33 d	21.79 i	25.74 f	19.47 h
$T_5 = 100g$ stem	38.49 ab	37.69 b	38.06 bcd	24.38 cd	28.19 b	22.59 b
$T_6 = 200g$ stem	37.86 b	37.53 b	37.85 bcd	24.32 de	27.38 с	21.57 e
$T_7 = 300g$ stem	37.44 b	37.60 b	37.91 bcd	23.96 ef	27.29 с	20.89 fg
$T_8 = 400g$ stem	38.01 ab	37.74 b	37.44 cd	23.77 f	26.32 ef	20.41 g
$T_9 = 100g$ roots	38.43 ab	37.37 b	38.06 bcd	24.85 b	28.10 b	22.85 b
$T_{10} = 200g$ roots	38.09 ab	37.53 b	37.79 bcd	24.74 bc	27.88 bc	22.44 bc
$T_{11} = 300g \text{ roots}$	37.68 b	37.59 b	37.88 bcd	24.34 cde	27.52 bc	21.91 cde
$T_{12} = 400g$ roots	36.91 b	37.94 b	37.75 bcd	24.02d ef	27.21 cd	21.77 de
LSD _{0.01}	1.683	1.611	0.862	0.407	0.698	0.667

Means followed by different letter(s) in a column are statistically significant at 1% level of probability.

DISCUSSION

Some plant species or their residues selectively delayed growth of other specific plants (Al-Zahrani and Al-Robai, 2007). This sensitivity was observed in field and laboratory experiments with extracts and allelopathic substances. In this study, the aqueous extract of leaves, stems and roots of mesquite has resulted in reduced germination and seedling growth of

wheat. The maximum reduction in germination was recorded at the highest concentration of aqueous extract of leaves, stems and root of mesquite. Similarly, the highest concentration (400g) of all the three parts significantly reduced the speed of germination and germination rate and also delayed the mean germination time as compared to control (Tap water). This was due to some phytotoxic compound in mesquite which includes tannins flavonoides, wax, alkaloids and phenolic acids, those negatively affected the seed germination and radical length of wheat (Pragnesh et al., 2013). The percent seed germination is reported to decrease with increasing aqueous leaf extract concentration of mesquite (Siddiqui et al., 2009). Germination percentage and energy correspondingly germination was reduced in the present study by using different concentration of aqueous leaves, stem and root extracts as compared to control. This was due to presence of plant growth inhibitory alkaloids which were extracted from the mesquite leaves (Nakano et al., 2004). Phytochemical analysis of mesquite showed that mesquite contains steroids, phenolics. tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids and terpenoids in leaf extracts. Stem contains, steroids, phenolics, flavonoids and terpenes in minimum concentrations, while root has saponin, alkaloids, phenolics, steroids, flavonoids, tannins and terpenes (Singh, 2012). In the present study, aqueous extracts of mesquite were also tested for root and shoot length, fresh root and shoot weight and dry root weight which and shoot showed а corresponding reduction in all these parameter as compared to control where no extract was used. Several reports revealed the phytotoxic effects of various plant extracts e.g. E.camaldulensis, P.juliflora and A.nilotica which significantly affected seed germination and seed seedling growth of several crops and weed species (Khan et al., 2004). Their inhibitory effect on seed germination, root length and other growth parameters is well established (Rafique et al., 2003). The mesquite extract is reported to cause maximum reduction in root length (Siddiqui et al., 2009). Similarly, aqueous extract application of mesquite significantly reduced the germination and seedling growth of a number of crop plants (Khan, 2005). An especially maximum degree of inhibition occurred with aqueous extract of leaves at the maximum concentrations in wheat

in comparison with aqueous extracts of stem and root.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous, 2015. Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan. Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Govt. of Pakistan, Islamabad.
- Al-Zahrani HS and Al-Robai SA, 2007. Allelopathic effect of Calotropis procera leaves extract on seed germination of Some Plants. JKAU: Sci. 19: 115-126.
- Burhan N and Shaukat SS, 2000. Effects of atrazine and phenolic compounds on germination and seedling growth of some crop plants. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 3: 269-274.
- Cheema ZA, Khaliq A and Saeed S, 2004. Weed control in maize (Zea mays L.) through sorghum allelopathy. J. Sustain. Agric. 23: 73-86.
- Dongre PN and Singh AK, 2007. Inhibition effects of weeds on growth of wheat seedlings. Allelopathy J. 20(2): 387-394.
- Getachew S, Demissew S and Woldemariam T, 2012. Allelopathic effects of the invasive Prosopis juliflora (Sw.) DC. on selected native plant species in Middle Awash, Southern Afar Rift of Ethiopia. Manag. Biol. Invasions. 3(2): 105–114.
- Iqbal J, Karim F and Hussain S, 2010. Response of the wheat crop (Triticum aestivum L.) and its weeds to allelopathic crop water extracts in combination with reduced herbicides rates. Pak. J. Agric. Sci. 47: 309-316.
- Khan I, Hassan G, Khan MI and Khan IA, 2004. Efficacy of some new herbicidal molecules on grassy and broadleaf weeds in wheat-II. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. 10(1-2): 33-38.
- Khan AZ, Marwet KB, Hussan G and Hussain Z, 2005. Bio-herbicidal effects of tree extracts on seed germination and growth of crops and weeds. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. 11(3-4): 89 94.
- Khan MA, Marwat KB, and Hassan Z, 2004. Allelopathic potential of some multipurpose trees species (MPTS) on the wheat and some of its associate's weeds. Intl. J. Biol. And Biotechnol. 1(3): 275-278.
- Kato-Noguchi H and Tanaka Y, 2006. Abscisic acid-glucose ester as an allelopathy agent from citrus fruit. Acta Physiolgiae Plantatrum. 28: 635-639.

- Mehari Z, 2008. Invasion of Prosopis juliflora (SW.) DC and rural livelihoods. The case of Afar Pastoralists at Middle Awash area of Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis, Norwegian University of Life Science, Oslo, 3 pp.
- Mehar KS, 2011. Assessment of effect of Prosopis juliflora litter extract on seed germination and growth of rice. Food Sci. and Quality Mang. 2: 9-19.
- MSTATC, 1991. MSTATC Package, Version 1. Michigan State Univ. USA.
- Nakano H, Nakajima E, Hiradate S, Fujii Y, Shigemori H and Hasegawa K, 2004. Growth inhibitory alkaloids from mesquite (Prosopis juliflora (Sw.) DC.) leaves. Pytochemistry. 65(5): 587-591.
- Oweyegha-Afunaduula FC, 2008. Agroforestry strategy of food production: Principles, practices, and recommendations. Zoology Department, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda.
- Pragnesh N, Dave and Bhandari J, 2013. Prosopis juliflora: A review. Intl. J. Chem. Studies, 1(3): 181-196.
- Qureshi R and Batti GR, 2001. Determination of weed communities in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) fields of district Sakkhur. Pak. J. Bot. 33(1): 109-115.
- Rafique HATM, Ahmed R, Uddin MB and Hossain MK, 2003. Allelopathic effect of different concentration of water extract of Acacia auriculiformis leaf on some initial growth parameters of five common agricultural crops. Pak. J. Agron. 2(2): 92-100.
- Rebaz Z, Shaukat SS and Siddiqui IA, 2001. Allelopathic potential of Anagallis

arvensis: A cosmopolitan weed. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 4: 446-450.

- Shaukat SS, Siddiqui IA, Khan GH and Zaki MJ, 2002. Nematacidal and allelopathic potential of Aregemon mexicana, a tropical weed. Plant and Soil. 245: 239-247.
- Sajjad H, Sadar S, Khalid S, Jamal A, Qayyum A and Ahmad Z, 2007. Allelopathic potential of senna (Cassia angustifolia Vahl.) on germination and seedling characters of some major cereal crops and their associated grassy weeds. Pak. J. Bot. 39(4): 1145-1153.
- Siddiqui S, Bhardwaj S, Khan SS and Meghvanshi MK, 2009. Allelopathic effect of different concentration of water extract of leaf of Prosopis juliflora on seed germination and radicle length of wheat (Triticum aestivum Var-Lok-1). Am-Eur. J. Sci. Res., 4 (2): 81-84.
- Steel RGD, Torrie JH and Dicky DA, 1997. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach. 3rd Ed. McGraw Hill, Inc. Book Co. N.Y. USA. pp. 352-358.
- Singh S, 2012. Phytochemical analysis of different parts of Prosopis juliflora. Intl. J. Curr. Pharm. Res. 4(3): 59-61.
- Tanveer A, 2008. Biology and Ecology of Weeds. Higher Education Commission, Pakistan. p.109.
- Ullah A, Khan EA, Baloch MS, Nadim MA, Sadiq M and Noor K, 2013. Allelopathic effects of herbaceous and woody plant species on seed germination and seedling growth of wheat. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. 19(3): 357-375.