

ANTIBACTERIAL, ANTIFUNGAL AND ANTHELMINTIC ACTIVITY OF CURRY LEAVES *MURRAYA KOENIGII* (L.) SPRENG

Fariha Afzal , S. Shahid Shaukat and Omm-e-Hany

Institute of Environmental Studies, University of Karachi, Karachi-75270, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Antibacterial activity of different solvent extracts of leaves and water extract of stem (branch) and leaves of *Murraya koenigii* were found effective against bacterial species strains such as *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Bacillus aureus*, *Eschericia coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis* . The results confirmed the antimicrobial effect of *Murrayakoenigii* extract against human pathogenic bacteria. Antifungal activity was also investigated against *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Rhizoctonia solanii*. The extract inhibited the radial growth of test fungi. Anthelmintic activity of *Murraya koenigii* was also detected in the different solvent extracts of leaves. Phytochemical screening of *Murraya* leaf extract was also performed which confirmed the presence of various proteins, saponins, phenolics, alkaloids and terpenes. Additionally, anthocyanins, flavonoids and soluble phenols were estimated to be in ample amounts.. The antibacterial activity is possibly due to antioxidant protein of curry leaf. Antifungal and anthelmintic activities are presumably due to phenolics , alkaloids or terpenes.

Keywords: *Murraya koenigii*, antibacterial, antifungal, anthelmintic, phytochemical analysis, soluble phenols, anthocyanins, flavonoids

INTRODUCTION

Murraya koenigii (curry leaf) is an important medicinal plant used in Asian countries especially in India and Pakistan in medicines as well as a spice in curry. The plant *Murraya koenigii* (L.) Spreng. belongs to the family Rutaceae and the genus is named after Professor John Adam Murray of Gottingen University, Germany.

This member of the family Rutaceae is an unspined, semi-deciduous, aromatic, pubescent shrub or a small tree, 3-5 m high. The stem is woody, closely crowded and with a shady and crown. Leaves of this plant are alternate 15-20 cm long, glabrous, sometimes slightly pubescent when young and very strongly aromatic (Siriseree, 2010). The leaves of this plant are well-known as curry leaves and which contain compounds that are effective in increasing digestive secretions and relieve nausea, headache, indigestion, high blood pressure and vomiting (Ghani, 2003).

The antimicrobial activity is due to the presence of APC (Antioxidant protein of curry leaf) has been demonstrated to possess potent antibacterial activity against all human pathogenic strains as reported by Mylarappa *et al.*, (2009). Numerous compounds with broad spectrum of inhibitory activity against pathogenic bacteria and fungi have been isolated and their mechanism of action demonstrated (Ng, 2004; Das and Biswas, 2012). Hexane and methanolic extract of leaves of *Murraya koenigii* contain steroids, alkaloids, saponin, glycosides and flavonoids which show activity against a variety of bacterial strains (Trease and Evans, 1989). Ethanolic extract of leaves of *Murraya koenigii* were reported as more effective against bacteria rather than fungus by Gupta *et al.*, (2011).

Antifungal activity is investigated, in this paper, on several fungal strains. Many fungi destroy the foodstuffs and stored grain that becomes unfit for human consumption. Alternate pesticides that do not affect the quality of the environment are usually derived from biologically active plants and play increasingly important role in crop protection strategies. The leaves of *Murraya koenigii* have been reported to contain mono-terpenoids and sesquiterpenoids which exhibit antifungal activity (Malwal and Sarin ,2011). A series of substituted carbazoles, termed N-alkylated 3,6-dihalogenocarbazoles, that exhibit fungicidal activity (Thevissen *et al.*, 2009). The differences observed in their alkaloid composition suggested probable influence of geographical location on the elaboration of carbazole alkaloids (Fiebig, 1985) in the plant and differences in the localization of carbazole alkaloids in the plant parts (Chakrabarty *et al.*, 1997; Adebajo *et al.*, 2005). The structure of a number of alkaloids of *M. koenigii* has been reported by Narasimhan *et al.*, (1970,1975). Studies on anthelmintic activity were carried out (Qureshi *et al.*, 2009) with some modifications in which earthworms (*Pheretima posthuma*) were used to determine the time of paralysis and death in seven solvent extracts which contained phenols, tannins and flavonoids. It has been reported that the methanolic extract of leaves *Murraya koenigii* shows anthelmintic activity using *Pheretima posthuma* worms (Akhtar *et al.*, 2000).

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that about two billion people harbour parasitic worm infections. In addition to humans, these organisms infect livestock and crops, thereby hampering food production. Among these most common infections in humans are Helminth infections that are human intestinal parasitic worms vectored through air, food and water. They secrete toxins and steal food and nutrients (Aswar *et al.*, 2009; Kumar *et al.*, 2011). The objective of the present investigation was to test and confirm the antibacterial, antifungal and anthelmintic activity of *Murraya koenigii* leaves and other plant parts using a variety of organisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Curry leaves [*Murraya koenigii* (L.) Spreng] were collected from Institute of Environmental Studies plantation at the University of Karachi. The curry leaves were washed and then dried in a hot air oven for three days at a temp of a 40°C. After complete drying, the leaves were ground to a fine powder to enhance the extraction potential using a domestic electric mixer grinder and the powder weighed. Extracts of Acetone, chloroform, ethanol, hexane, and methanol were investigated against different organisms including *Fusarium. moniliforme* , *Fusarium oxysporum* , *Macrophomina phaseolina*, *Rhizoctonia solanii* , *Bacillus licheniformis* , *Bacillus aureus* , *Eschericia coli*, *Salmonella typhmurium*, , *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis* which were obtained from the laboratory of Dr. Javed Zaki, University of Karachi and Department of Microbiology, Federal Urdu University, Karachi.

Extraction

The *Murraya* leaves were extracted by using Soxhlet extraction curry leaf powder (10g) was extracted in a Soxhlet apparatus with each solvent (450ml) acetone, hexane, chloroform, ethanol, methanol or distilled water. The solvents were removed through water bath and the extracts were kept at 4°C until used for antioxidant assays. The efficacy of the extracts reported here was quantified based on the dry weight of the whole extract per volume of assay solution. The extract with concentration 750 mg /ml was used.

Dried powder of curry leaf and stem (branch) (10g) were taken in the conical flask and 100ml distilled water was added and the flask covered with cotton plug and left for 24 h and then the extract was filtered with Whatman No. 1 filter paper and the filtrate dried in a water bath at 45°C to viscous form.

The extracts were reconstituted according to the solvent that was used to extract them with the concentration of 750 mg/ml. Subsequently, the samples were mixed by shaking.

Determination of Antibacterial activity of *Murraya koenigii* with different solvent extracts

Pure cultures of bacteria were obtained from the Department of Microbiology, University of Karachi. Nutrient broth were inoculated. The disc diffusion method was used to evaluate the antibacterial activity.

Nutrient agar (Oxoid, England) was prepared in plates as the media for test bacteria. Clean glass Petri plates were autoclaved. Melted nutrient agar was poured into the Petri plates and solidified and pure culture of bacteria was inoculated by sterilized blue pipettes. The culture was spread by the spread plate technique. Sterilized filter paper discs (Whatman No. 1) with 4mm diameter were impregnated with the extracts (750 mg/ml). Discs injected with 20 µl of solvent and sterilized water served as negative controls. Each Petri plate was divided into two halves and sterilized discs of filter paper with extract placed on each Petri plates. The culture name with particular solvent extract of *Murraya koenigi* was marked. The plates were covered and incubated at 25° C for 24 h. The antibacterial activity was interpreted from the size of the diameter of zone inhibition measured to the nearest millimeter (mm) as observed from the clear zones surrounding the discs. The plates were observed after 24 h.

Determination of Antifungal activity of *Murraya koenigii*

Each 1.5g of solvent dried extract of *Murraya koenigii* was dissolved in 1ml of the solvent. Clean glass Petri plates alone with filter paper were autoclaved. Melted nutrient agar was poured into the Petri plates and solidified. Pure cultures were added by sterilized blue pipettes which were sterilized and cultures were introduced by spread plate technique. Each Petri plate was divided into two halves and sterilized discs of filter paper dipped in extract were placed into each Petri plate separated by a line. The plates were incubated at 28°C for 24 h. The Petri plates were observed after 24 h. The fungitoxicity of the extracts in terms of percentage inhibition of mycelial growth was calculated by using the formula:

$$\% \text{ inhibition} = [(dc - dt) / dc] \times 100$$

Where dc = Average increase in mycelial growth in control, dt = Average increase in mycelial growth in treatment (Kumar *et al.*, 2008).

Determination of Anthelmintic activity of *Murraya koenigii* with different solvent extracts:

Adult earthworms *Pheretima posthuma* were collected from moist soil and washed with normal saline to remove all soil and faecal matter and then used for the antihelmintic activity. All earthworms were of approximately equal in size. The earthworms of 0.3-0.5cm in width and 6-7cm in length were used for anthelmintic activity. Due to their physiological and anatomical resemblance with intestinal roundworm parasite of human beings and because they are easily available they are used as a suitable model for screening of anthelmintic activity of *Murraya koenigii* (Chatterjee, 1967; Kumar *et al.*, 2011).

All extracts were of concentration 750mg/ml that were used in the bioassay which involved the determination of paralysis and death time of the earthworm. Normal saline water (NSW) was taken as control. Anthelmintic activity were carried following Kumar *et al.*, (2011) with minor modifications. For each solvent extract two earthworms were taken and released in saline water in which no paralysis and death occurred and then one by one each earthworm released into each extract and the time of paralysis and death were noted.

Screening of Phytochemicals in *Murraya koenigii*

Various tests were performed to detect different phytochemicals including tannins, alkaloids, steroid, triterpenoid, carbohydrate, and flavonoids were found in the extracts of *M. koenigii* leaves through Wagner's test which indicates the presence of alkaloids, Molisch's test which indicates the presence of carbohydrate, Froth test which indicates the presence of saponins, Salkowski's test that shows the presence of triterpenes, Ferric chloride test which indicates the presence of phenols, Gelatin test shows the presence of tannins, Alkaline Reagent test, indicates the presence of flavonoids, xanthoproteic test was used to indicate the presence of proteins (Tiwari, 2011, Harborne, 1993).

Estimation of Anthocyanins and Flavonoids:

UV-absorbing pigments were measured spectrophotometrically. Anthocyanins were extracted and determined in accordance with the method of Mancinelli *et al.*, (1975). Fresh leaves or stem (branches) were ground in acidified methanol (1:99 HCl : methanol v/v). After keeping the extract at 0°C for 24h the absorbance was recorded at 530nm. Flavonoids were extracted and measured from *Murraya koenigii* leaves and stems (branches) using the method of Mirecki and Teramura (1984). Extraction mixture consisted of acidified methanol (methanol: water: HCl, 80: 20: 1,v/v) + 1g of fine powder of plant tissue, incubated for 24h at 4°C. The filtered extract was then used for measuring the absorbance at 315nm, which is indicative of relative concentration of UVB absorbing pigments. Flavonoid contents were expressed as absorbance g⁻¹ fresh weight of tissue at 315nm.

Soluble phenol estimation

Soluble phenol contents in leaves of *Murraya koenigii* were determined in accordance with the method of Gonzalez *et al.*, (2003). 500 mg powder of curry leaves was taken and separately homogenized with 2 ml 80% ethanol v/v. The homogenate was centrifuged three times at 6000 g for 3 min. 100 µl of the supernatant was added to 0.5 ml Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and 1 ml of 20% Sodium carbonate. Distilled water was added until a final volume of 10 ml was attained. The mixture was incubated at 40°C for 30 min. and the absorbance of the developed blue colour was read at 750nm. Catechol was used as standard. Soluble phenols was estimated as µg mg⁻¹ fresh weight.

Statistical analysis

Unless stated otherwise, three replicates were used and the data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) following Zar (2010). As a post-hoc test Fisher's least significant difference test LSD_{0.05} was used.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The statistical analysis of growth inhibition in groups of bacterial strains using analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed significant differences (P<0.01). The results of antibacterial activity of curry leaves (*Murraya koenigii*) against various bacteria and actinomycetes is shown in Table 1 while the respective control values appear in Table 2. It is apparent that high activity was shown by *Murraya koenigii* against 18 bacterial strains. Moderate activity was shown against eleven strains of bacteria. Whereas other bacteria (strains) responded inadequately to *Murraya koenigii* extracts.

Table 1. Antibacterial activity against different bacterial species by *Murraya koenigii* extracted using different solvents. For diameter each value is mean of two replicates \pm standard error.

Test cultures	Solvent extract	Test disc	Test disc	Diameter	Radius	Gram	Remarks (Inhibition activity)
		1 mm	2 mm	(mm) Mean \pm S.E			
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Acetone	14	30	22 \pm 0.614	11	+ve	High
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Chloroform	12	5	8.5 \pm 0.614	4.75	+ve	Moderate
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Ethanol	16	13	14.5 \pm 0.614	7.25	+ve	High
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Hexane	12	15	13.5 \pm 0.614	6.75	+ve	High
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Methanol	10	11	10.5 \pm 0.614	5.25	+ve	High
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Water extract of branch	4	2	3 \pm 0.614	1.5	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Water extract of leaves	1	1	1 \pm 0.614	0.5	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Acetone	10	17	13 \pm 0.1002	6.5	-ve	High
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Chloroform	8	5	6.5 \pm 0.1002	3.25	-ve	Moderate
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Ethanol	16	12	14 \pm 0.1002	7	-ve	High
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Hexane	14	13	13.5 \pm 0.1002	6.75	-ve	High
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Methanol	8	7	7.5 \pm 0.1002	3.75	-ve	Moderate
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Water extract of branch	8	7	7.5 \pm 0.1002	3.75	-ve	Moderate
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Water extract of leaves	2	4	3 \pm 0.1002	1.5	-ve	Low
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Acetone	3	4	3.5 \pm 0.379	1.75	-ve	Low
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Chloroform	6	7	6.5 \pm 0.379	3.75	-ve	Moderate
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Ethanol	5	5	5 \pm 0.379	2.5	-ve	Low
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Hexane	11	8	9.5 \pm 0.379	4.75	-ve	High
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Methanol	7	8	7.5 \pm 0.379	3.75	-ve	High
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Water extract of branch	4	5	4.5 \pm 0.379	2.25	-ve	Low
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Water extract of leaves	5	5	5 \pm 0.379	2.5	-ve	Low
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	Acetone	6	9	7.5 \pm 0.223	3.75	-ve	High
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	Chloroform	7	6	6.5 \pm 0.223	3.25	-ve	Moderate
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	Ethanol	5	6	5.5 \pm 0.223	2.75	-ve	Moderate
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	Hexane	6	8	7 \pm 0.223	3.5	-ve	High
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	Methanol	8	7	7.5 \pm 0.223	3.75	-ve	High
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	Water extract of branch	4	4	5.5 \pm 0.223	2	-ve	Moderate
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	Water extract of leaves	6	5	4 \pm 0.223	2.75	-ve	Low
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Acetone	9	7	8 \pm 1.46	4	+ve	High
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Chloroform	4	8	6 \pm 1.46	3	+ve	Moderate
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Ethanol	12	5	8.5 \pm 1.46	4.25	+ve	High
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Hexane	25	11	18 \pm 1.46	9	+ve	High
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Methanol	13	8	10.5 \pm 1.46	5.25	+ve	High
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Water extract of branch	5	7	5.5 \pm 1.46	2.75	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Water extract of leaves	6	5	6 \pm 1.46	3	+ve	Moderate
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Acetone	5	4	4.5 \pm 1.215	2.25	+ve	Low
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Chloroform	7	5	6 \pm 1.215	3	+ve	Moderate
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Ethanol	8	7	7.5 \pm 1.215	3.25	+ve	High
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Hexane	15	20	17.5 \pm 1.215	8.75	+ve	High
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Methanol	11	10	10.5 \pm 1.215	5.25	+ve	High
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Water extract of branch	6	4	5 \pm 1.215	2.5	+ve	Low
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Water extract of leaves	5	5	5 \pm 1.215	2.5	+ve	Low

9.5mm and above= High, 5.5-9mm = Moderate, 1-5mm = Low

Table 2. Control tests of solvents without *Murraya koenigii* extract

Test cultures	Solvent	Test disc (mm)	Diameter Mean	Radius	Gram	Remarks (Inhibitory activity)
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Acetone	6-4	2mm	1mm	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Chloroform	9-4	5mm	2.5mm	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Ethanol	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Hexane	7-4	3mm	1.5mm	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Methanol	8-4	4mm	2mm	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	D.water	4-4	0mm	0mm	+ve	-
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Acetone	4-4	0mm	0mm	-ve	-
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Chloroform	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	-ve	Low
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Ethanol	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	-ve	Low
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Hexane	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	-ve	Low
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	Methanol	7-4	3mm	1.5mm	-ve	Low
<i>Bacillus aureus</i>	D.water	4-4	0mm	0mm	-ve	-
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Acetone	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	-ve	Low
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Chloroform	9-4	5mm	2.5mm	-ve	Low
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Ethanol	6-4	2mm	1mm	-ve	Low
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Hexane	10-4	6mm	3mm	-ve	Moderate
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Methanol	7-4	3mm	1.5mm	-ve	Low
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	D.water	4-4	0mm	0mm	-ve	-
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	Acetone	6.5-4	2.5mm	1.25mm	-ve	Low
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	Chloroform	4-4	0mm	0mm	-ve	-
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	Ethanol	7-4	3mm	1.5mm	-ve	Low
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	Hexane	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	-ve	Low
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	Methanol	8-4	4mm	2mm	-ve	Low
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	D.water	6-4	2mm	1mm	-ve	Low
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Acetone	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Chloroform	6-4	2mm	1mm	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Ethanol	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Hexane	4-4	0mm	0mm	+ve	-
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Methanol	10-4	6mm	3mm	+ve	Low
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	D.water	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	+ve	Low
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Acetone	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	+ve	Low
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Chloroform	12-4	8mm	4mm	+ve	Moderate
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Ethanol	4-4	0mm	0mm	+ve	-
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Hexane	7-4	3mm	1.5mm	+ve	Low
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Methanol	7-4	3mm	1.5mm	+ve	Low
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	D.water	4-4	0mm	0mm	+ve	-

9.5mm and above= High, 5.5-9mm = Moderate, 1-5mm = Low

Among the 7 solvent extracts hexane, methanol, chloroform, acetone, ethanol and water extract of branch have recorded a significant antibacterial activity. In *Bacillus licheniformis* greater activity was found in acetone with 22mm diameter compared to control (Table 1 and 2), with acetone solvent the activity was low (1mm). Ethanol and hexane also showed greater activity 13.5mm, 13.5mm in terms of inhibition zone diameter (Table 1). Leaf extract of water have 1mm zone of inhibition (Table 2), therefore, activity in water extract was low.

Zone of inhibition in *Bacillus aureus* was 13mm in acetone (Table 1) while control was 0 mm (Table 2). It has been reported that antioxidant activity is due to the presence of phenolic compound (Cook and Samman 1996). Acetone extract contain carbazole alkaloids that are responsible for anti microbial activity and ethanolic extract of *Murraya koenigii* also contain flavonoids, alkaloids, tannin, saponins and protein which are responsible for the antibacterial activity (Ibrahim, 2010). In ethanol extract zone of inhibition was 14mm (Table 1) and 0.5mm in control (Table 2). Hexane with 13.5mm (Table 1) and 0.5mm with control (Table 2). Methanol and water extract of branch activity was 7.5mm, 7.5mm (Table 1) with 1.5mm, 0mm with control (Table 2). Water extract of leaves contain 3mm (Table 1) and 0mm in control (Table 2). The preliminary phytochemical screening of extracts of *Murraya koenigii* showed the presence of sterols and triterpenoids, alkaloids, phenolic compounds and flavonoids.

The study by Prashant (2011) also disclosed the presence of proteins, mucilage, sterols and triterpenoids, alkaloids, phenolic compounds and flavonoids. Thus the activities of *Murraya koenigii* could be due to one or more of these compounds.

In *Escherichia coli* acetone extract was shown inhibition of 3.5mm (Table1) and 0.5 in control (Table 2), chloroform extract with 6.5mm (Table1) and 2.5mm as control (Table 2). Ethanol with 5mm (Table 1) and 1mm as control (Table 2). Hexane was found to have great activity in *Escherichia coli* is 9.5mm (Table 1) and 3mm as control (Table 2). Methanol was found to have 7.5mm (Table 1) and 1.5mm as control. water extracts of leaves and branch with 4.5mm and 5mm (Table 1) and 0mm with control. Acetone extracts of fresh leaves was found to have mosquitocidal property. A derivative benzisofuranone along with six known carbazole alkaloid and three known steroids were isolated from the stem bark of *M. koenigii*. They were reported for antimicrobial activity (Darvekar, 2011). Remarkable result was found with hexane , methanol, ethanol and acetone that also contain greater amounts of phenol, tannins and flavonoids. Flavonoids and phenols are water soluble therefore they they have antioxidant activity in water extract of *Murraya koenigii* as well (Tiwari *et al.*, 2011). In *Salmonella typhimurium* the activity of acetone, chloroform, ethanol, hexane, methanol, water extract of branch (stem) and leaves was moderate to low with 7.5, 6.5, 5.5, 7, 7.5, 5.5 and 4mm clear zones respectively (Table 1) while 6.5, 4, 7, 5, and 6mm in control (Table 2).

Bacillus subtilis showed greater inhibition in methanol, hexane and ethanol with 10.5mm, 18mm and 8.5mm (Table 1) while 10mm, 4mm and 5mm in control. acetone, chloroform, water extract of branch and leaves show moderate to low activity with 8, 6, 6 and 5.5mm (Table 1). In control 5mm, 6mm, and 5mm in treatment of Bs with *Murraua koenigii* (Table 2). In *Staphylococcus aureus* high zone of inhibition was found in hexane and methanol with 17.5mm and 10.5mm and 7mm, 7mm in control (Table 2) while acetone, chloroform, ethanol, water extract of branch and leaves with 4.5mm, 6mm, 7.5mm, 5mm, 5mm (Table1) shows moderate activity because 5, 12, 4 and 4mm was found in control of *Murraya koenigii* (Table 2).

Murraya koenigii extracts in various solvents showed substantial antifungal activity against a number of fungi and the radial growth of various fungi was inhibited to significantly varying degree ($p < 0.01$) (Table 3). The control values of the fungi (without *Murraya koenigii* extract appear in Table 4. All four test species including *Fusarium oxysporum*, *F. moniliforme*, *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Rhizoctonia solani* were suppressed by curry leaf extracts in various solvents. Among the solvents, acetone and methanol extracts generally showed high antifungal activity.

Table 3. Antifungal activity of *Murraya koenigii* with different solvents. Each value is a mean of 2 replicates. Mean \pm standard error.

Test cultures	Solvent extract	Test disc 1-Td* (mm)	Test disc 2-Td (mm)	Diameter Mean \pm S.E (mm)	Radius	Gram +/-	%Inhibition= $\frac{dc-dt}{dc} \times 100$	Remarks
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	Acetone	11	12	11.5 \pm 0.856	5.75	+ve	-91.66	High
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	Chloroform	8	7	7.5 \pm 0.856	3.75	+ve	-7.1	Moderate
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	Ethanol	9	10	9.5 \pm 0.856	4.75	+ve	-850	High
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	Hexane	8	7	7.5 \pm 0.856	3.75	+ve	-275	Moderate
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	Methanol	16	8	12 \pm 0.856	6	+ve	-1100	High
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	Water extract of branch	8	6	7 \pm 0.856	3.5	+ve	-600	Moderate
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	Water extract of leaves	9	7	8.5 \pm 0.856	4.25	+ve	-425	Moderate
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Acetone	14	12	13 \pm 0.408	6.5	-ve	-650	High
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Chloroform	7	6	6.5 \pm 0.408	3.25	-ve	-550	Moderate
<i>Fusarium</i>	Ethanol	3	7	5 \pm 0.408	2.5	-ve	-250	Low

<i>oxysporum</i>									
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Hexane	15	10	12.5±0.408	6.25	-ve	-525	High	
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Methanol	12	9	10.5±0.408	5.25	-ve	-1000	High	
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Water extract of branch	7	8	7.5±0.408	3.75	-ve	-375	Moderate	
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Water extract of leaves	2	7	4.5±0.408	2.25	-ve	-225	Low	
<i>Macrophomin a phaseolina</i>	Acetone	12	11	11.5±0.875	5.75	+ve	-283	High	
<i>Macrophomin a phaseolina</i>	Chloroform	8	8.5	8.25±0.875	4.125	+ve	-106	Moderate	
<i>Macrophomin a phaseolina</i>	Ethanol	11	7	9±0.875	4.5	+ve	-200	Moderate	
<i>Macrophomin a phaseolina</i>	Hexane	10	8	9±0.875	4.5	+ve	-200	Moderate	
<i>Macrophomin a phaseolina</i>	Methanol	30	13	21.5±0.875	10.75	+ve	-437	High	
<i>Macrophomin a phaseolina</i>	Water extract of branch	14	17	15.5±0.875	7.75	+ve	-775	High	
<i>Macrophomin a phaseolina</i>	Water extract of leaves	20	17	18.5±0.875	9.25	+ve	-925	High	
<i>Rhizoctonia solanii</i>	Acetone	10	15	12.5±0.458	6	+ve	-600	High	
<i>Rhizoctonia solanii</i>	Chloroform	5mm	6mm	5.5mm±0.458	2.75	+ve	8.3	Moderate	
<i>Rhizoctonia solanii</i>	Ethanol	6mm	7mm	6.5mm±0.458	3.25	+ve	-62.5	Moderate	
<i>Rhizoctonia solanii</i>	Hexane	7mm	7mm	7mm±0.458	3.5	+ve	-40	Moderate	
<i>Rhizoctonia solanii</i>	Methanol	10mm	7mm	8.5mm±0.458	4.25	+ve	-41.66	Moderate	
<i>Rhizoctonia solanii</i>	Water extract of branch	14mm	15mm	14.5mm±0.458	7.25	+ve	-725	High	
<i>Rhizoctonia solanii</i>	Water extract of leaves	13mm	14mm	13.5mm±0.458	6.75	+ve	-675	High	

*Td= Test disc; 9.5mm and above= High, 5.5-9mm = Moderate, 1-5mm = Low

Against *Fusarium moniliforme* greater activity was shown by *Murraya* in acetone, ethanol, methanol, water extract of leaves and hexane with 11.5mm,9.5mm, 12mm, 8mm, 7.5mm inhibition zone diameter (Table 3) and with control 3mm, 0.5mm,0.5mm, 0.5mm and 1mm (Table 4). water extract of branch also showed 7mm inhibition and 0.5mm. Best results were found in acetone, ethanol, hexane and methanol extracts. Chloroform, water extract of branch and leaves also showed noteworthy results. Essential oils are known to be responsible for antifungal activity. In *Fusarium oxysporum* acetone, methanol hexane and water extract of branch zone of inhibition was found 13mm, 12.5mm,10.5mm and 7.5mm (Table 3)while 0mm, 1mm, 0.5mm and 0mm as control (Table 4). In *Macrophomina phaseolina* methanol , water extract of branch and leaves shown more activity with 21.5mm, 15.5mm, 18.5mm (Table 3) and 2mm, 0mm and 0mm as control (Table 4).In *Rhizoctonia solanii* acetone, water extract of branch and leaves, methanol and hexane shows activity with 12mm, 14.5mm,13.5mm, 8.5mm, 7mm (Table 3) compared to controls 0mm, 0mm, 0mm, 3mm and 2.5mm respectively (Table 4). Extract of branch and leaves in methanol are effective in inhibiting the growth of *R. solani* which cause diseases including damping off, root rot, collar rot and wire stem of plants.

Table 4. Control tests of the fungi in various solvents without *Murraya koenigii*

Test cultures	Solvent	Test disc (mm)	Diameter	Radius	Gram	Remarks
Fusarium moniliforme	Acetone	10-4	6mm	3mm	+ve	Moderate
Fusarium moniliforme	Chloroform	11-4	7mm	3.5mm	+ve	Moderate
Fusarium moniliforme	Ethanol	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	+ve	Low
Fusarium moniliforme	Hexane	6-4	2mm	1mm	+ve	Low
Fusarium moniliforme	Methanol	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	+ve	Low
Fusarium moniliforme	D.water	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	+ve	Low
Fusarium oxysporum	Acetone	4-4	0mm	0mm	-ve	-
Fusarium oxysporum	Chloroform	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	-ve	Low
Fusarium oxysporum	Ethanol	4-4	0mm	0mm	-ve	-
Fusarium oxysporum	Hexane	6-4	2mm	1mm	-ve	Low
Fusarium oxysporum	Methanol	5-4	1mm	0.5mm	-ve	Low
Fusarium oxysporum	D.water	4-4	0mm	0mm	-ve	-
Macrophomina phaseolina	Acetone	7-4	3mm	1.5mm	+ve	Low
Macrophomina phaseolina	Chloroform	8-4	4mm	2mm	+ve	Low
Macrophomina phaseolina	Ethanol	7-4	3mm	1.5mm	+ve	Low
Macrophomina phaseolina	Hexane	9-4	5mm	2.5mm	+ve	Low
Macrophomina phaseolina	Methanol	8-4	4mm	2mm	+ve	Low
Macrophomina phaseolina	D.water	4-4	0mm	0mm	+ve	-
Rhizoctonia solanii	Acetone	4-4	0mm	0mm	+ve	-
Rhizoctonia solanii	Chloroform	10-4	6mm	3mm	+ve	Moderate
Rhizoctonia solanii	Ethanol	8-4	4mm	2mm	+ve	Low
Rhizoctonia solanii	Hexane	9-4	5mm	2.5mm	+ve	Low
Rhizoctonia solanii	Methanol	10-4	6mm	3mm	+ve	Moderate
Rhizoctonia solanii	D.water	4-4	0mm	0mm	+ve	-

9.5mm and above= High, 5.5-9mm = Moderate, 1-5mm = Low

Table 5. Anthelmintic activity of *Murraya koenigii* in terms of paralysis and death time of earthworm (*Pheritima posthuma*) in various solvent extracts.

SOLVENT EXTRACT	TIME OF PARALYSIS (Sec)	TIME OF DEATH (Sec)	MEAN PARALYSIS TIME (Sec)	MEAN DEATH TIME (Sec)	CONTROL NSW paralysis & death (Sec)	CONC. (mg/ml)	Remarks
Water extract of leaves 1	60sec	89sec	-	-	Nil	750mg/ml	
Water extract of leaves 2	75sec	90sec	67.5sec	89.5sec	Nil	750mg/ml	Low
Water extract of branch 1	40sec	59 sec	-	-	Nil	750mg/ml	
Water extract of branch 2	38sec	62 sec	39 sec	60.5 sec	Nil	750mg/ml	Moderate
Acetone 1	15sec	39 sec	45/2=	86/2=	Nil	750mg/ml	
Acetone 2	30sec	47 sec	22.5 sec	43 sec	Nil	750mg/ml	High
Chloroform 1	12sec	30 sec	22/2=	70/2=	Nil	500mg/ml	
Chloroform 2	10sec	40 sec	11 sec	35 sec	Nil	500mg/ml	High
Ethanol 1	12sec	15sec	22/2=	35/2=	Nil	500mg/ml	
Ethanol 2	10sec	20sec	11 sec	17.5 sec	Nil	500mg/ml	High
Hexane 1	4sec	20sec	18/2=	62/2=	Nil	750mg/ml	
Hexane 2	14sec	42sec	9 sec	31 sec	Nil	750mg/ml	High
Methanol 1	36sec	59sec	111/2=	149/2=	Nil	750mg/ml	
Methanol 2	75sec	90sec	55.5 sec	74.5 sec	Nil	750mg/ml	High

9.5mm and above= High, 5.5-9mm = Moderate, 1-5mm = Low

A significant activity of water extract of stem (branch) showed the paralysis and death time of earthworm as compared to the water extract of leaves but greater activity was shown by hexane extract of *Murraya koenigii* with regard to time of paralysis and death (Table 5). In normal saline water no paralysis and death was found which was used as control. A significant activity with low concentration of 500mg/ml was shown by ethanol extract with regard to paralysis 11sec and death 17.5sec while chloroform with the same concentration of 500mg/ml showed 11sec for paralysis and 35sec for death. Hexane with similar concentration showed 9 sec in paralysis and 31 sec in death of earthworms. Overall the results were remarkable in that the extract of *Murraya koenigii* is proven to be anthelmintic activity and can be used as an alternative strategy against gastrointestinal nematodes (Kumar, 2011). Anthelmintic activity of solvents extracts is may be due to the presence of phenols, tannins and flavonoids (Quraishi *et al.*, 2009; Maheswari and Cholarani, 2013).

Table 6. Concentration of anthocyanins, flavonoids and total soluble phenols in the leaves and branches (stem) of *Murraya koenigii*. Means \pm standard error.

Plant part	Anthocyanins	Flavonoids	Soluble phenols
	A530 g ⁻¹ fr. Wt.	A315g ⁻¹ fr wt	μ g/g
Leaves	0.115 \pm 0.027	0.252 \pm 0.022	52.3 \pm 6.4
Branches (stem)	0.128 \pm 0.019	0.368 \pm 0.027	43.6 \pm 3.7
LSD _{0.05}	0.08	0.14	7.9

The level of anthocyanins was greater in the stem (branches) compared to leaves (Table 6). Likewise, flavonoids content was also greater in the stem relative to leaves. However, total soluble phenol content was higher in the leaves compared to stem. These presumably contribute towards its antioxidant activity of *Murraya koenigii* and also provide a defense mechanism to the plant against the pathogens.

CONCLUSIONS

In the light of our research in effectiveness of *Murraya koenigii* which indicated inhibitory activity against bacterial strains and fungi, through solvent extracts, which can be helpful in minimization of certain infectious diseases and several other problems due to the presence of certain phytochemicals. Solvent extracts of leaves and water extract of leaves and branches of *Murraya koenigii* are effective against a number of fungal strains and can be used to inhibit the growth of fungi in order to preserve the stored crops, food and cereals. Leaves of *Murraya koenigii* can also be effective in treatment of helminths in human intestine due to their anthelmintic activity as demonstrated here. Ethanolic and hexane solvent extract exhibited remarkable anthelmintic activity. Antimicrobial activity may be due to the presence of flavonoids and phenols. *Murraya koenigii* was shown to contain ample antioxidants as the total soluble phenols, anthocyanins and flavonoids were estimated to be present in significant amounts in leaves as well as in stem (branches).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Javed Zaki (University of Karachi) and Dr. S. Sherwani (Federal Urdu University) for providing bacterial and fungal cultures. We also greatly appreciate the cooperation of Mr. Waseem Abbasi and Dr. D. Khan during this study.

REFERENCES

- Adebajo, A.C., O. Olayiwola, J.E. Verspohle, E.O. Iwalewa, N.O. Omrustore, D. Bergenthal, V. Kumar and S.K. Adesina (2005). Evaluation of ethnomedical claims of *Murraya koenigii*. *Pharmaceut. Biol.*, 8: 610-620.
- Akhtar, M.S., Z. Iqbal, M.N. Khan and M. Lateef (2000). Anthelmintic activity of medicinal plants with particular reference to their use in animals in the Indo-Pakistan subcontinent. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 38: 99-107.
- Aswar, M., U. Aswar, B. Walkar, A. Waghe and K.N. Gujar (2009). Anthelmintic activity of *Ficus bengalensis*. *Int. Jour. Green Pharm.*, 2: 170-172.
- Chatterjee, K.D. (1967). *Parasitology, Protozoology and Helminthology*, Sree Saraswaty Press, Calcutta, India..
- Chakrabarty, M., A.C. Nath, S. Khasnobis, M. Chakrabarty, Y. Konda, Y. Harigaya and K. Komiyama (1997). Carbazole alkaloids from *Murraya koenigii*. *Phytochemistry*, 46: 751-755.
- Darvekar, V.M., V.R. Patil and A.B. Choudhri (2011). Anti-inflammatory activity of *Murraya koenigii* Sprengon experimental animals. *J. Natur. Prod. Plant Resour.*, 1: 65-69.

- Das, B.N. and B.K. Biswas (2012). Antibacterial and cytotoxic activities of the leaf extract of *Murraya koenigii*. *Int. J. Life Sci. Biotec. & Pharma Res.*, 1: 60-63.
- Fiebig, M., J.M. Pezzuto, D.D. Soejarto, A. D. Kinghorn (1985). Koenoline, a further cytotoxic carbazole alkaloid from *Murraya koenigii*. *Phytochemistry*, 24: 3041-3043.
- Ghani, A. (2003). *Medicinal Plants of Bangladesh: Chemical Constituents and Uses*. 2nd Ed. Asiatic Society of Bangladesh, Dhaka. 310 Pp.
- Gonzalez, M., B. Guzman, R. Rudyk, E. Romano and M.A.A. Molina (2003). Spectrophotometric determination of phenolic compounds in *Prepolis*. *Amer. J. Pharm.*, 22: 243-248.
- Gupta, R., A. Nahata and V.K. Dixit (2011). An update of *Murraya koenigii* (L.) Spreng: A multifunctional Ayurvedic drug. *J. Chinese Integr. Medicine*. 9: 824-833.
- Harborne, J.B. (1993). *Introduction to Ecological Biochemistry*. 4th Ed. Academic Press, London.
- Kumar, A., R. Shukla, P. Singh, C.S. Prasad and N.K. Dubey (2008). Assessment of *Thymus vulgaris* L. essential oil as safe botanical preservative against post-harvest fungal infestation of commodities. *Technology*, 9: 575-580.
- Kumar, A., A. Tripathi, J. Dora and R. Tripathi (2011). Anthelmintic activity of methanolic extracts of *Murraya koenigii* Leaves. *Int. J. Res. Pharma & Biomed. Sci.*, 2: 1698-1700.
- Maheswari, N.U. and N. Cholarani (2013). Pharmacognostic effects of leaves extract of *Murraya koenigii* Linn. *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.*, 5: 120-123.
- Malawal, M. and R. Sarin (2011). Antifungal efficacy of *Murraya koenigii* (L.) Spreng root extract. *Ind. J. Natur. Prod. & Resources*, 2: 48-51.
- Mancinelli, A.L., C.P.H. Yang, P. Lindquist, O.R. Anderson and I. Rabino (1975). Photocontrol of anthocyanin synthesis. III. On synthesis of chlorophyll and anthocyanin. *Plant Physiol.*, 55: 261-267.
- Mirecki, R.M. and A.H. Teramura (1984). Effect of UV-B irradiance on soybean V. The dependence of plant sensitivity photosynthetic photon flux density during early leaf expansion. *Plant Physiol.*, 74: 475-480.
- Mylarappa B., B. on L. Ningappa, R. Dhananjaya, R. Dinesha, R. Harsha, L. Srinivas (2009). Potent antibacterial property of APC protein from curry leaves. *Murraya koenigii* L. *Food Chem.*, 5: 1-4.
- Narasimhan, N. S., M.V. Paradkar and S.L. Kelkar (1970). Alkaloids of *Murraya koenigii*: Structures of mahanine, koenine, koenigine & koenidine. *Indian J. Chem.*, 8: 473-474.
- Narasimhan N.S., M.V. Paradkar, V.P. Chitguppi and S.L. Kellar (1975). *Murraya koenigi*, Structures of mahanimbine, koenimbine, mehanine, keonine and koenigine. *Indian J. Chem.*, 13: 993-997.
- Ng, T.B. (2004). Antifungal proteins and peptides of leguminous and non-leguminous origin. *Peptides*, 25: 1215-1222.
- Qureshi, R., A. Waheed, M. Arshad and T. Umbren (2009). Medico-ethnobotanical inventory of Tehsil Chakwal, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 41: 529-538.
- Thevissen, K. A. Marchant, C. Patrick, E. Mesat and R.A. Bruno (2009). Antifungal carbazol. *Current Medical Chem.*, 16: 2205-2211.
- Tiwari, P., B. Kumar, M. Kaur, G. Kaur and H. Kaur (2011). Phytochemical screening and extraction: A review. *Int. Pharm. Scientia*, 1: 98-106.
- Trease, G.E. and W.C. Evans (1989). *Pharmacognosy*. 14th Ed., Brown Publications, New York.
- Zar, J.H. (2010). *Biostatistical Analysis* 5th ed. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA.

(Accepted for publication October 2013)