Socio-Cultural Barriers to Empowerment: A Study of Working Women in Vocational Training Institutes of District Kohat

Zia Ullah Akhunzada*, Mamoon Khan Khattak** & Adnan Ashraf***

Abstract

The study underlines the socio-cultural obstacles to women's empowerment in the target area. Kohat district was chosen as locale of the study. 78 women working in 3 different Technical and Vocational Training Institutes were randomly interviewed for the study. Structured interview schedule was used as tool for collecting data from the sample respondents. The results of the study indicate that Socio-Cultural hindrances exist and are obstructing women empowerment in the target area. The condition can be improved by creating socio-cultural values favorable to women empowerment through education, jobs, decision-making power and by avoiding misinterpretation of religion etc.

Keywords: Barriers to empowerment; Vocational training institutes; Working women; District Kohat.

Introduction

Mason and Smith stated that women's empowerment absolutely imposes that in all human societies the men commands women, or to be further specific, males control at least small segment of the women of their societal strata, especially of their families and households. In this outlook, women are a 'class' in the gender stratification structure, a system that is controlled by common values and norms. Girls and women comprise 3/5 of the poor among the world. The rate of poverty is high and is poorer than that of males as evident gender disparities in education, jobs and decision-making power exists. Women are still mostly isolated into occupations that are less paid than those typically taken by

^{*} Zia Ullah Akhunzada, Department of Social Work & Sociology, Kohat University of Science & Technology, Kohat

^{**} Dr. Mamoon Khan Khattak, Assistant Professor, Department of Social Work, Kohat University of Science & Technology, Kohat. Email: mamoonkk@gmail.com

^{***} Adnan Ashraf, Child Protection Officer, Child Protection Unit, Kohat

men.³ Chodorow⁴ states that women are less healthy, nourished and are more helpless than men. The patriarchal nature of societal structure in Pakistan provides women less likelihood to get hold of land, low level of legal support for justice and little opportunities for political participation. They have to surpass a number of sociocultural barriers in order to work for money. They are considered as tool of delivering kids, looking after members of family and giving them passionate happiness. Women do hardly have time to think about themselves. They have lost their individuality while fulfilling their responsibilities. In usual time, majority of the women don't have their own goals rather they react to the demands and want of others. Additionally, their literacy ratio significantly indicates that investment in human capacities inclines toward males over women.⁵ Pakistan has the lowest literacy rate of 49.9% among the South Asian countries. In Pakistan the women literacy ratio is 35.2% which steps down to 25% in villages while 55% enrollments in girls school has dropped to 25%.6 The socioeconomic empowerment of Pakistani women is vet to achieve a satisfactory level, stated by Global Gender Gap Report 2007.

In Pakistan women have mostly been considered as second class citizens due to socio-cultural, economic and legal constraints. Although, half of the Pakistani population consists of women folk but their empowerment is not encouraging. Jehan states that impediments on women strengthening start from social practices and convictions. Patriarchal based control is legitimized and practiced on the premise of society, a composite of custom, and religion which decides and characterizes the endorsed part of women in the public arena, their versatility and their admission to financial assets, social and political power too. A woman is barred from saying anything in regards to her life not even in her matrimonial affairs. Once affianced, she rests completely to her spouse's family.

Since, decision-making power is dead set on the strength of male hierarchy, it is also very hard for women officers in public and private sector to devise, plan or execute gender sensitive approaches and even to get sufficient funds to try to do so.¹⁰

Dyson and Moore, states that empowerment is the ability to acquire information and making decisions regarding one's personal concern plus those of one's dear. Empowerment is said to be the amount of access to control over social resources and material within micro and macro level. First definition deals with the decision-making while the second cover the area of women access and control over resources. However, Batliwala and

Malhotra¹⁴ term empowerment as a process by which women obtain larger command over intellectual and material resources, which help them to raise their self-dependence and increase them to laid stress on their rights and dare the philosophy of patriarchy and the gender based prejudice against women. This will enable them to organize themselves to affirm their self-sufficiency to make decisions and choices, and ultimately eradicate their own subordination in all institutions and structure of the society.

The problems of gender inequality and gender discrimination are the hindrances in the way of empowerment. In Pakistan, patriarchy is the pre-dominated social system. This system favors inflexible separation of work and controlled women's liberty of progress and development. It sternly characterizes women's role in daily affairs. Status of general woman is linked with her family, she play essentially significant role in keeping up family by bearing and raising kids and looking after the aged members of her family. There is more noteworthy inequality among men and women in the field of education, jobs, political participation, decision-making, controlling the assets and access to health services. Investment in women's education is always very low in Pakistan. Their situation in the family and in the society is measured not acceptable in the framework where women are discriminated. In

Besides the cultural construct of gender roles, this inequality is also due to an insufficient amount of women vocational and professional training institutions. Likewise, the gender ratio in government run universities is recorded as 30-35%. The amount of women polytechnic institutes are also incredibly less. Education empowers women to manage their lives and provides them better chance and choice to make their own lives and that of their families comfortable. It is the key to get rid of repressive custom and traditions that have annulled the wants of women and girls.¹⁷

The empowerment of women is understood as the core issue for shaping the status of women. Among the socially underprivileged groups, the women are prey of gender prejudice and denial. There is still a long way to go to make sure complete gender equality despite of the development in executing women's empowered and gender sameness programs in national plan. The efforts for eradicating discrimination and deprivation should base on gender equality; otherwise it will cease to bear any fruit and would be detrimental for family as well as for the whole society. Empowerment and gender equality are rudiments in achieving

sustainable socio-cultural, political and economic security within all individuals. 18

Women's empowerment is obligatory for socio-economic development of a country. Empowering women by growing their rank and independence inside families is vital to various global health and development programs. One of the eight Millennium Development Goals calls for attaining gender fairness and women's empowerment, empowering women is also fundamental to attain various of other goals together with lessening child death, enhancing maternal wellbeing, accomplishing universal primary education, fighting HIV/AIDS and the prime goal of decreasing significantly the extent of destitute by the year 2015. 19

Methodology

The research study was undertaken in Kohat. The study focused on women working in Technical and Vocational Training Centers in Kohat. 03 Vocational Training Institutes were selected randomly and data was collected from 78 female respondents out of total 405. Data was collected through structured Interview Schedule and has been entered through SPSS and analyzed quantitatively. The distribution of population size and sample of each Technical and Vocational Center is shown in Table 1 while using the formula given by Kumar.²⁰

Table 1: Sample Size and Distribution of Population

S.No	Sample Center	Total	Sample Size
		Trainees	
1	Fauji Foundation	140	27
	Vocational Training Center		
2	Social Welfare and Women	85	17
	Development Center		
3	Government Technical and	180	34
	Vocational Center		
Total		405	78

Source: Office of the Concerned Center.

$$ni = \frac{n \times Ni}{N}$$

n = Sample size of total population

ni= Sample size of each center

Ni = Number of the trainee in each center

N = Total number of trainee

Results and Discussions

Table 2: Social Constraints in Women Empowerment

Statements	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Total
Discrimination in	30	41	7	78
family assets	(38.4%)	(52.6%)	(9.0%)	(100.0%)
Fewer opportunities of	19	43	16	78
job for females	(24.4%)	(55.1%)	(20.5%)	(100.0%)
Violence in work	26	45	7	78
places result in resign	(33.3%)	(57.7%)	(9.0%)	(100.0%)
from job				
Facilitated through	15	55	8	78
insufficient dowry	(19.2%)	(70.5%)	(10.3%)	(100.0%)
Low participation in	13	53	12	78
politics	(16.7%)	(67.9%)	(15.4%)	(100.0%)
Lack of vocational and	14	49	15	78
professional	(17.9%)	(62.8%)	(19.2%)	(100.0%)
opportunities				
Physically tortured	25	40	13	78
	(32.1%)	(51.3%)	(16.7%)	(100.0%)
Dependent on males	21	48	9	78
	(26.9%)	(61.5%)	(11.5%)	(100.0%)
Lack of health services	17	37	24	78
	(21.8%)	(47.4%)	(30.8%)	(100.0%)

Table 2 shows that out of the total 78 (100%) respondents 30 (38.4%) respondents strongly and 41 (52.6%) agreed that they are discriminated in their family assets while the remaining 7 (9.0%) respondent disagreed. 19 (24.4%) respondents strongly and 43 (55.1%) agreed that there are fewer opportunities of jobs for females while the remaining 16 (20.5%) respondents disagreed. 26 (33.3%) respondents strongly and 45 (57.7%) agreed that violence in work places result in resignation from job while the remaining 7 (9.0%) respondents were disagree. 15 (19.2%) respondents were strongly and 55 (70.5%) were agree that they have been facilitated through insufficient dowry while the remaining 8 (10.3%) respondents were disagree. 13 (16.7%) respondents were strongly and 53 (67.9%) were agree that role of women in politics is low while the remaining 12 (15.4%) respondents were disagree. 14 (17.9%) respondents were strongly and 49 (62.8%) were agree that they have lack of vocational and professional opportunities while the remaining 15 (19.2%) respondents were disagree. 25 (32.1%) respondents were strongly and 40 (51.3%) were agree that they suffer from physical torture while the remaining 13 (16.7%) respondents were disagree. 21 (26.9%) respondents were strongly and 48 (61.5%) were agree that they are dependent on males while the remaining 9(11.5%) respondents were disagree. 17 (21.8%) respondents were strongly and 37 (47.4%) were agree that they have lack of health services while the remaining 24 (30.8%) respondents were disagree.

Table 3: Cultural Constraints in Women Empowerment

Statements	Strongly	Agree	Disagree	Total
	agree			
No participation	38	35	5	78
in decision	(48.7%)	(44.9%)	(6.4%)	(100.0%)
making process				
Less favor than	37	37	4	78
boys	(47.4%)	(47.4%)	(5.1%)	(100.0%)
Family restriction	12	49	17	78
on doing certain	(15.4%)	(62.8%)	(21.8%)	(100.0%)
jobs				
Restrictions on	5	41	9	78
women's mobility	(9.1%)	(74.5%)	(16.4%)	(100.0%)
Restriction on	12	44	22	78
Female education	(15.4%)	(56.4%)	(28.2%)	(100.0%)
provide	15	27	36	78
inadequate meals	(19.2%)	(34.6%)	(46.2%)	(100.0%)
Considered as an	9	51	18	78
economic liability	(11.5%)	(65.4%)	(23.1%)	(100.0%)
due to the dowry				
system				

Table 3 shows that out of the total 78 (100%) respondents 38 (48.7%) respondents were strongly and 35 (44.9%) were agree that they are not allowed to participate in decision making process while the remaining 5 (6.4%) respondents were disagree. 37 (47.4%) respondents were strongly and 37 (47.4%) were agree that they are less favored than boys while the remaining 4 (5.1%) respondents were disagree. 12 (15.4%) respondents were strongly and 49 (62.8%) were agree that they have restrictions from their family on doing certain jobs while the remaining 17 (21.8%) respondents were disagree. 5 (9.1%) respondents were strongly and 41 (74.5%) were agree that there are restrictions on women's mobility while the remaining 9 (16.4%) respondents were disagree.

12 (15.4%) respondents were strongly and 44 (56.4%) were agree that there are restrictions on female's education while the remaining 22 (28.2%) respondents were disagree. 15 (19.2%) respondents were strongly and 27 (34.6%) were agree that inadequate meals are provided to them while the remaining 36 (46.2%) respondents were disagree. 9 (11.5%) respondents were strongly and 51 (65.4%) were agree that they are considered as an economic liability due to the dowry while the remaining 18 (23.1%) respondents were disagree.

Conclusion

The study concludes that women are discriminated in family material goods. They are provided less opportunity than males in education, employments and in other fields. The study finds that women are discriminated in all walks of life and which is making them handicapped and obstructing their empowerment. Women are considered as burden and debarred from their fundamental rights.

There is a need of promoting education particularly of women, provision of employment opportunities to women, eradication of gender-based discrimination and propagation of Islamic injunctions regarding women's rights in order to empower women in all walks of life. There is a need of harmonizing male dominancy, to make sure equivalent voice of women in decision-making, promotion of vocational training for women, ensuring protection at place of work, elimination of health inequalities, discarding limitations on women's participation, harmonizing role of women in the domestic setting and elimination of unnecessary cultural taboos.

Notes & References

¹ Mason, Karen Oppenheim, and Herbert L. Smith. "Women's empowerment and social context: Results from five Asian countries", *Gender and Development Group, World Bank, Washington, DC* (2003).

² H. S. Siddiqui & A. J. Nasir, "Impact of Women Entrepreneurship on Poverty Alleviation", *The Islamia University of Bahawalpur*, Pakistan (n.d).

³ M. Lakshmi Narasaiah, *Women and Microcredit* (New Delhi: Sonali Publications, 2004).

⁴ Nancy J. Chodorow, *The Reproduction of Mothering Psychoanalysis is and the sociology of Gender* (Berkeley: University of California, 1978)
⁵ Loubna H. Skalli, "Women and Poverty in Morocco: The Many Faces

of Social Exclusion", Feminist Review 69, no. 1 (2001): 73-89

⁶ Amna Latif, "A Critical Analysis of School Enrollment and Literacy Rates of Girls and Women in Pakistan", *Educational Studies* 45, no. 5, (2009): 424 – 439

⁷ Augusto Lopez-Claros and Saadia Zahidi, "Womens empowerment: measuring the global gender gap", *Geneva Switzerland World Economic Forum* (2005).

⁸ Arab Naz and Waqar Ahmad, "Socio-cultural impediments to women political empowerment in Pakhtun society", *Academic Research International* 3, no. 1 (2012): 163.

⁹ Qamar Jehan, "Role of women in economic development of Pakistan", PhD diss., *University of Balochistan, Quetta*, (2000).

¹⁰ Augusto Lopez-Claros and Saadia Zahidi, "Womens empowerment".

¹¹ Tim Dyson and Mick Moore, "On kinship structure, female autonomy, and demographic behavior in India", *Population and Development Review* (1983): 35-60.

¹² Patricia Day-Hookoomsing, "Women and the New World economy: an island's experience", *Women in Management Review* 17, no. 7 (2002): 308-317.

¹³ Srilatha Batliwala, "Education for women's empowerment", In ASPBAE Position Paper for the Fourth World Conference on Women, Beijing, New Delhi, Asia-South Pacific Bureau of Adult Education. (1995).

¹⁴ Anju Malhotra and Sidney Ruth Schuler, "Women's empowerment as a variable in international development" *Measuring empowerment: Cross-disciplinary perspectives* (2005): 71-88.

¹⁵ Zeba A. Sathar and Cynthia B. Lloyd, "Who Gets Primary Schooling in Pakistan? Inequalities among and within Families." *Pakistan Development Review* 33, no. 2 (1994): 103–34

¹⁶ Clem Tisdell, "Gender Inequality in India: evidence from a survey in rural West Bengal", *International Journal of Social Economics* 29, no. 9 (2002): 706-721.

 $^{^{\}rm 17}$ Saeeda Asadullah Khan, "Gender issues in higher education in Pakistan", Bulletin 162 (2007). Available from http://www.international.ac.uk/media/5181/gender%20issues%20in%20hi

gher%20education%20in%20pakistan.pdf
¹⁸ Adeline Delavande and Basit Zafar, "Gender discrimination and social identity: experimental evidence from urban Pakistan", FRB of New York Staff Report 593 (2013).

¹⁹ Naila Kabeer, Gender Mainstreaming in Poverty Eradication and the Millennium Development Goals: A handbook for policy-makers and other stakeholders, (London: Commonwealth Secretariat, 2003).

²⁰ Ranjit Kumar, Research Methodology: A Step by Step Guide for Beginners (London: Sage Publication Ltd, 2005)