Self-Regulation Impairment Source of Abusive Supervision Leading to Employees' Turnover Intentions with Moderation of Resilience in Pakistani Service Industry Zara Tahir^{*} and Dr. Muhammad Asif Khan^{**}

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to test a model on self-regulation impairment as source of abusive supervision which leads to employee's dominant behavioral outcomes such as turnover intentions, also to test resilience as an intervention in this link. Primary data was collected for analysis method. The total numbers of pairs of respondents were 215 in which the respondents were professionals belonging to service sector of Pakistan. The findings of this study confirmed the role of selfregulation impairment as a source of abusive supervision which further leads to deviant behaviors of employees in form of their intentions to leave the organization. This study encourages the companies to invest in programs which help employees develop resilience which will help them fight adversities at workplace and prevent depletion of their psychological resources which becomes a trigger to the whole cycle of abuse.

Keywords: Self-regulation impairment, abusive supervision, turnover intentions, aggression towards peers, resilience.

Introduction

Abusive supervision can be explained as the hurtful acts of the supervisors towards their followers which lead towards negative employee outcomes and is characterized as the continued presentation of threatening verbal and nonverbal conduct barring physical contact.¹

Recently the focus of the researchers has shifted towards exploration of the antecedents which cause abusive supervision and there have been many calls of researchers to explore the area in more depth.² and what is the reaction of the people in environment.³

Previous studies conducted in domain of abusive supervision still have many limitations and it is still a growing field.⁴ Research does not shed much light on the behaviors of the employees themselves which lead towards the abuse they receive from their supervisors.⁵ In a recent study Oh and Farh (2017) proposed that abusive supervision leads to multiple constraints, regulated and dominated behavioral outcomes

^{*} PhD Scholar, SZABIST, Islamabad. Email: <u>zara.tahir@iiu.edu.pk</u>

Professor, Department of Management Sciences SZABIST, Islamabad.

which may include psychological exit instead of actual exit e.g. turnover intentions, depression as well as displace aggression in terms of abuse directed to coworkers. In support to this Hackney and Perrewe (2018) also insisted on exploration of abusive supervision as a mechanism proposing that it leads to multiple emotional and organizational outcomes which need to be explored further. Recently a growing interest in understanding how the way a follower reacts to abusive supervision and perceptions of the follower himself.⁶

Literature review

Substantial research has been produced in the area of abusive supervision in the past two decades.⁷ Up this point in time, the research that has been conducted up has focused primarily on the consequences of abusive supervision and less focus has been on exploration of precursors. Abusive supervision is explained as harsh form of supervision which varies form indications of threatening verbal and nonverbal practices to rejection of physical contact,⁸ representatives cause open insults of subordinates and neglect them.⁹

Any organization wishing to eliminate abusive supervision must investigate starting point of this problem.¹⁰ Large number of explorers have concentrated on the outcomes that abusive supervision.¹¹But recently focus is being shifted to what causes this type of leadership to start.¹² Tepper instituted the term damaging supervision in 2000 and the definition shows, harsh supervision includes the activities that fall in the space of injurious supervision including hollering on the subordinates, overlooking and attacking them.¹³

Abusive supervision has ended up being a working environment stressor, that pushes the representatives towards stress responses¹⁴ which causes an individual to be mentally depleted¹⁵ in addition, the maltreatment received from administration also provokes abuse of leaders towards subordinates.¹⁶

Mawritz et al. (2012) reported that hostile atmosphere fueled the effect of abusive supervision. In past investigations have been done to shed light on the costs that abusive supervision brings to the organizations.¹⁷ Organizations struggle to bring down the numbers of voluntary turn over in order to maintain and retain the knowledge,¹⁸ to reduce the costs of new hiring,¹⁹ to maintain competitive resources²⁰ and to enhance the performance and motivation of employees.²¹ The main dimensions of turnover intentions include the plan to leave the organization and the intention to search for some new job.²² A small

The Dialogue

number of studies conducted in Pakistan have studied the impact of impact of abusive supervision on employee turnover intentions.²³

Resilience can be defined as an ability to recover or rebound from some adversity, a conflict, a failure or the situations which bring in increased accountability such as progress, it is an adaptation process helping organizations come out of adverse situations.²⁴ Employee resilience is a set of learning, adaptive and networking behaviors.²⁵

Research has been attesting the importance of resilience in work settings for the benefit of both employee and organizational wellbeing for over a decade. But there is not much attempt to synthesize the efficacy of resilience training in the context or organizations.²⁶ Employee resilience refers to the behavioral capability, it is not a onetime activity in fact it is behavioral modeling of the employees working in a challenging business world in the organization, dependent on coping constructs such as psychological capital and hardiness.²⁷

Hackney and Perrewe'(2018) indicated that workplace abuse leads to victim's emotional experience which is moderated by his/her traits. And the experienced emotions further lead to organizational outcomes.

Theoretical Framework



FIGURE 1: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Research Methodology

The current study has used the quantitative method, which is acknowledged for its precise nature and reliability to examine the data. The Casual study technique has been used to test the hypothesis. First hand, data has been collected through questionnaire and the secondary data like published reports of the chief authorities was used for contextual analysis. The problem identification was done through analysis of existing research and discussion with working women in telecom sector of Pakistan.

120

All the items were presented in English. The author with the subject matter experts checked and ensured the face and content validity of the items. The unit of analysis in the research was professionals working in service sector organizations operating in Pakistan. In the first phase of the study employees were contacted by the researchers to collect data on IV and mediator at T1, after three weeks of the first phase they were again contacted to complete the second part of the questionnaire where data was collected in DVs and moderator. Pairs of employees were formed in teams to avoid data nesting problems and making the analysis possible. 400 questionnaires were distributed through personal administration but only 215 valid pairs of responses were received. The judgment sampling was used to collect data from the target population since we need to collect data from the targets of abusive supervision and the selection was based on the judgment of the researcher.

Results

The average respondents ranged between 25 to 35 years of age. The average respondents had bachelors Level of education. Cronbach's alpha was used to assess the reliability of the instrument. The Cronbach's alpha ranged >0.7 which is considered good. No items were deleted and the values of Cronbach's for the variables were Self-regulation impairment .77, abusive supervision .87, turnover intentions.78, and resilience.

Confirmatory factor analysis

Since measures used in the current study are already established, a twostep procedure was used,²⁸ i.e. before execution of the measurement model Before validity and reliability of the model were tested. To measure the convergent and discriminant of the model variables, confirmatory factor analysis is run.²⁹ Amos was used to check the distinctiveness of the variables.³⁰ Results shows a good model fit with all the values in acceptable ranges (CMIN/DF=2.75, CFI=0.91, RMESA=0.07). All the factor loading are statistically significant (>.05) indicating the convergent validity.

Table 1 Mean Standard Deviation and correlation matrix

The Dialogue

Variables	М	SD	1	2	3	4
Self-reg	3.57	.898	1			
impairment						
Abu-Supervision	3.55	.617	.520**	1		
Resilience	3.24	1.20	472**	545**	1	
Turnover	3.75	.771	624**	658**	.665**	1
intentions						

Note. n=215, **. *P*<0.01, *.*P*<0.05

The mean values indicate presence of the subject phenomenon in the organizations. All variables have significant correlations with the strongest one reported between Turnover intentions and Resilience.

Mediation and moderation analysis

Mediation analysis

Impact of employee self-regulation impairment on employee's turnover intentions through abusive supervision:

The indirect effect of employee self-regulation impairment on their turnover intentions through abusive supervision. In Step 1 of the mediation model, the regression of self-regulation impairment on the turnover intentions of employees ignoring the mediator, was significant, b = -.831, t(215) = -15.018, p < 0.01. For testing the hypothesis 2, 3 and 4 path analysis was done using model 4 in process with Sobel test leading to the next steps. Step 2 showed that the regression of the self-regulation impairment on the mediator, abusive supervision, was also significant, b = -.647, t(215) = -10.066, p < 0.01. Step 3 of the mediation process showed that the mediator (abusive supervision), controlling for the turnover intentions of employees was significant, b = .462, t(215) =11.962, p <0.01. Step 4 of the analyses revealed that, indirect effect of self-regulation impairment on turnover intention is significant (β =5633, S.E= .114) at 95% confidence interval (LLCI=.396, UCLI=.851). Normal theory test for indirect effect is also significant (β =-.2996, p<0.01) giving support to hypothesis 4. Thus all hypothesis of the proposed model have been supported by the results.

122

Table 2 Mediations analysis

~ ~ ~ ~ ~		~					
Statement of hypothesis	β	S.E	t	р			
H1 Direct impact of SRI on TOI	831	.055	-15.018	.00			
				0			
H2 Direct impact of SRI on Abu-sup	647	.053	-10.066	.00			
				0			
H3 Direct impact of Abu-sup on TOI	.462	.038	11.962	.00			
115 Direct impact of 7.0d-sup on 101	.402	.050	11.902	0			
				0			
Indirect effect using normal distribution							
Sobel Test	Effect	S.E	Ζ	Р			
For Abu-sup	2996	.039	-7.6863	.00			
1 I				0			
Bootstrap results for indirect effects							
1	Effect	S.E	LLCI	U			
		~		ĹĊ			
				I			
	5(22	114	207	1			
H4 Indirect effect of SRI on TOI	.5633	.114	.396	.85			
through Abu-sup				1			

Moderation analysis; Role of employee's resilience between abusive supervision and turnover intentions:

According to the claim of the current study H5: resilience moderates the relationship between the abusive supervision and turnover intentions. The test moderation in process was run using model 1 at 95% confidence interval. The results have shown that the impact of the interaction between abusive supervision and resilience is significant (LLCI=-.4844, ULCI=-.3990). looking at the conditional effect it has increased from low to medium to high. The decrease in the effect size (β) and its movement from positive to negative shows that the relationship between abusive supervision and turnover intentions weakens as the resilience becomes strong.

Table 3 Conditional effect of Resilience between abusive supervision and turnover intentions

Parameters	R2	F	Р	Coefficient	SE	t	LLCI	ULCI
Constant	.9499	1865.42	0.000	-1.2195	.2872	-4.24	-	6544
The Dialogue			123		Volume X	I.704 (IV Number	2	

Self-Regulation Impairment				Zara & Asif			
Res	0.000	2.1592	.0804	26.84	2.000	2.3174	
Abu-sup	0.000	.5428	.0734	7.3965	.3983	.6872	
Res*Abu- sup	0.000	4417	.0217	- 20.355		3990	

β	SE	t	LLCI	ULCI	
3425	.0341	-10.038	4096	2753	
7519	.0222	-33.936	67955	7083	
-1.1614	0.250	-46.3689	-1.2107	-1.1121	



Figure 2: Moderation graph low-high

Discussion

Keeping in view the recent calls the current study attempted to test the cause and outcomes of abusive supervision. The claims of the study were fully supported with the results, literature and theory. Tepper, Simon and Park (2017) in their review of the past 15 years of research on abusive supervision highlighted the significance of the process of ego depletion which leads to self-regulation impairment. The current study based the foundation of the model on the basis that at work place an employee faces a lot of emotional episodes where he or she has to

The Dialogue

regulate their emotions and not behave impulsively which leads towards ego depletion and ultimately self-regulation impairment.³¹

Other studies in past have also confirmed that once an employee feels that they have been receiving inconsistent treatment from their organization, they fail to self-regulate and their feelings that justice does not prevail in the organization strengthen.³²

One of the recent study analyzing the cyclic nature of the abusive supervision with regard to breaking the cycle of abuse identified the social learning mechanism of abuse that the managers who are abused lead to become abusive supervisor and the cycle goes one.³³

The current study following the concept of cyclic nature of abuse focused on what behaviors or emotions of employees lead to the abuse they receive. The outcomes of abusive supervision have been often discussed and confirmed, still more exploration is required to come up with the remedies of the phenomena, so following the recent calls the current study investigated turnover intentions and aggression towards peers and confirmed that the said behaviors of employees are their dominant and constraint responses to abuse that they receive.³⁴

The current study was able to confirm the relationship also tested and confirmed that if an employee is resilient in nature he or she would be able to work towards their own goals at the work place despite the adversities in the external environment.³⁵

Conclusion

The psychological health of employees and their attitude places direct costs on the organization. There has been a lot of stress in the recent past urging the organizations to pay close attention to the underlying reasons of the behavioral outcomes of the employees. The turnover intentions of the employees have been discussed a lot in the past but still require more attention to understand what causes such behavior. The current study investigated one of the possible reasons, selfregulation impairment of the which triggers the phenomenon of abusive supervision.

The inability to focus and regulate on work tips off the supervisors and their behavior turns abusive if their goals are not met. Abusive supervision leads to the intentions of the employees to distant themselves from the organization and also the abuse of the supervisor trickles down to the employees as well which further fuels their selfregulation impairment hence the cycle of abuse keeps going on.

Here the current study has proposed intervention of the ability of employees to be resilient to break this cycle of abuse. The organizations The Dialogue 125 Volume XIV Number 2

must help employees identify and develop resilience which will help them fight adversities in both their personal and professional lives.

The current study has focused on only one source of the abusive supervision and further to behavioral outcomes, however, there are multiple other theoretical sources such as conservation of recourses³⁶ social learning,³⁷ etc. which can be a source of the process and need future testing.

Notes & References

- ¹Tepper, Bennett J. "Consequences of abusive supervision." Academy of management journal 43, no. 2 (2000): 178-190. See also: Haggard, Dana L., and Hee Man Park. "Perceived supervisor remorse, abusive supervision, and LMX." Journal of Organizational Behavior 39, no. 10 (2018):1252-1267. See also: Timms, Carolyn, Deborah Graham, and Marie Caltabiano. "Perceptions of school administration trustworthiness, teacher burnout/job stress and trust: the contribution of morale and participative decision-making." Advances in organisational psychology (2008): 135. See more: Youssef, Carolyn M., and Fred Luthans. "Positive organizational behavior in the The workplace: impact of hope, optimism, and resilience." Journal of management 33, no. 5 (2007): 774-800.
- ² Hackney, Kaylee J., and Pamela L. Perrewé. "A review of abusive behaviors at work: The development of a process model for studying abuse." Organizational Psychology Review 8, no. 1 (2018): 70-92. See also: Oh, Jo K., and Crystal IC Farh. "An emotional process theory of how subordinates appraise, experience, and respond to abusive supervision over time." Academy of Management Review 42, no. 2 (2017): 207-232.
- ³ Meurs, James A., Denise M. Breaux, and Pamela L. Perrewé. "The family and HRM in North America: how demographic and social changes are shifting the way work–family issues are managed by organizations and employees." *The International Journal of Human Resource Management* 19, no. 8 (2008): 1455-1471. See also: Mitchell, Marie S., and Maureen L. Ambrose. "Employees' behavioral reactions to supervisor aggression: An examination of individual and situational factors." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 97, no. 6 (2012): 1148.

126

The Dialogue

- ⁴Lam, Catherine K., Xu Huang, Frank Walter, and Simon CH Chan. "Coworkers' relationship quality and interpersonal emotions in team-member dyads in China: The moderating role of cooperative team goals." *Management and Organization Review* 12, no. 4 (2016): 687-716.
- ⁵Khan, Abdul Karim, Sherry Moss, Samina Quratulain, and Imran Hameed. "When and how subordinate performance leads to abusive supervision: A social dominance perspective." *Journal of Management* 44, no. 7 (2018): 2801-2826. See more: Harris, Kenneth J., Matrecia James, and Ranida Boonthanom. "Perceptions of organizational politics and cooperation as moderators of the relationship between job strains and intent to turnover." *Journal of Managerial issues* (2005): 26-42. See also: Hershcovis, M. Sandy. ""Incivility, social undermining, bullying... oh my!": A call to reconcile constructs within workplace aggression research." *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 32, no. 3 (2011): 499-519. See further: Hobfoll, Stevan E. "Social and psychological resources and adaptation." *Review of general psychology* 6, no. 4 (2002): 307-324.
- ⁶Hackney, Kaylee J., and Pamela L. Perrewé. "A review of abusive behaviors at work: The development of a process model for studying abuse." op.cit. See also: Schyns, Birgit, Jörg Felfe, and Jan Schilling. "Is it me or you?-How reactions to abusive supervision are shaped by leader behavior and follower perceptions." *Frontiers in Psychology* 9. (2018): 1309.
- ⁷Martinko, Mark J., Paul Harvey, Jeremy R. Brees, and Jeremy Mackey. "A review of abusive supervision research." *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 34, no. S1 (2013): S120-S137. See also: Asghar, Marya, and Zohaib Ahmad. "Impact of Abusive Supervision on Workplace Deviance Behavior; Role of Interactional Justice." (2017). See further: Ashforth, Blake E. "Petty tyranny in organizations: A preliminary examination of antecedents and consequences." *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences/Revue Canadienne des Sciences de l'Administration* 14, no. 2 (1997): 126-140.
- ⁸Tepper, Bennett J. "Consequences of abusive supervision." Op.cit.
- ⁹Burton, James P., and Jenny M. Hoobler. "Subordinate self-esteem and abusive supervision." *Journal of Managerial Issues* (2006): 340-355. See also: Burton, James P., and Jenny M. Hoobler. "Aggressive reactions to abusive supervision: The role of

The Dialogue

interactional justice and narcissism." *Scandinavian journal of psychology*52, no. 4 (2011): 389-398.

- ¹⁰Martinko, Mark J., Paul Harvey, Jeremy R. Brees, and Jeremy Mackey. "A review of abusive supervision research." *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 34, no. S1 (2013): S120-S137.
- ¹¹ Lam, Catherine K., Frank Walter, and Xu Huang. "Supervisors' emotional exhaustion and abusive supervision: The moderating roles of perceived subordinate performance and supervisor selfmonitoring." *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 38, no. 8 (2017): 1151-1166. See also: Walter, Frank, Catherine K. Lam, Gerben S. Van Der Vegt, Xu Huang, and Qing Miao. "Abusive supervision and subordinate performance: Instrumentality considerations in the emergence and consequences of abusive supervision." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 100, no. 4 (2015): 1056.
- ¹² Byrne, Alyson, Angela M. Dionisi, Julian Barling, Amy Akers, Jennifer Robertson, Rebecca Lys, Jeffrey Wylie, and Kathryne Dupré. "The depleted leader: The influence of leaders' diminished psychological resources on leadership behaviors." *The Leadership Quarterly* 25, no. 2 (2014): 344-357.See also: Hornstein, Harvey A. "Boss abuse and subordinate payback." *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science* 52, no. 2 (2016): 231-239. See more: Lam, Catherine K., Xu Huang, Frank Walter, and Simon CH Chan. "Coworkers' relationship quality and interpersonal emotions in team-member dyads in China: The moderating role of cooperative team goals." Op.cit.
- ¹³ Tepper, Bennett J. "Consequences of abusive supervision." op.cit. See more: Zellars, Kelly L., Bennett J. Tepper, and Michelle K. Duffy. "Abusive supervision and subordinates' organizational citizenship behavior." *Journal of applied psychology* 87, no. 6 (2002): 1068.
- ¹⁴ Lin, Weipeng, Lei Wang, and Shuting Chen. "Abusive supervision and employee well being: The moderating effect of power distance orientation." *Applied Psychology* 62, no. 2 (2013): 308-329.
- ¹⁵Halbesleben, Jonathon RB, Jean-Pierre Neveu, Samantha C. Paustian-Underdahl, and Mina Westman. "Getting to the "COR" understanding the role of resources in conservation of resources theory." *Journal of Management* 40, no. 5 (2014): 1334-1364.
- ¹⁶ Aryee, Samuel, Zhen Xiong Chen, Li-Yun Sun, and Yaw A. Debrah. "Antecedents and outcomes of abusive supervision: test of a

The Dialogue

trickle-down model." Journal of Applied Psychology 92, no. 1 (2007): 191. See more: Ahmad, Aminah, and Zoharah Omar. "Abusive supervision and deviant workplace behavior: The mediating role of work-family conflict." *The Journal of Human Resource and Adult Learning* 9, no. 2 (2013): 124. See also: Aldatmaz, S., Ouimet, P., & Van Wesep, E. D. (2018). The option to quit: The effect of employee stock options on turnover. Journal of Financial Economics, 127(1), 136-151.

- ¹⁷ Martinko, Mark J., Paul Harvey, Jeremy R. Brees, and Jeremy Mackey. "A review of abusive supervision research." Op.cit.
- ¹⁸ Rao, Rukmini Devadas, and Linda Argote. "Organizational learning and forgetting: The effects of turnover and structure." *European Management Review* 3, no. 2 (2006): 77-85.
- ¹⁹ Darmon, Rene Y. "Identifying sources of turnover costs: A segmental approach." *Journal of Marketing* 54, no. 2 (1990): 46-56.
- ²⁰ Nyberg, Anthony J., and Robert E. Ployhart. "Context-emergent turnover (CET) theory: A theory of collective turnover." *Academy of Management Review* 38, no. (2013): 109-131.
- ²¹ Reilly, Greg, Anthony J. Nyberg, Mark Maltarich, and Ingo Weller. "Human capital flows: Using context-emergent turnover (CET) theory to explore the process by which turnover, hiring, and job demands affect patient satisfaction." *Academy of Management Journal* 57, no. 3 (2014): 766-790.
- ²² Hofaidhllaoui, Mahrane, and Nita Chhinzer. "The relationship between satisfaction and turnover intentions for knowledge workers." *Engineering Management Journal* 26, no. 2 (2014): 3-9.
- ²³ Shoaib, Madiha, Ayesha Noor, Syed Raza Tirmizi, and Sajid Bashir. "Determinants of employee retention in telecom sector of Pakistan." *Proceedings of the 2nd CBRC, Lahore, Pakistan14.* (2009): 1-18. See more: Jhatial, Ashique, Riaz Mangi, and Ikhtiar Ghumro. "Antecedents and consequences of employee turnover: Empirical evidence from Pakistan." *British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade 2*, no. 4 (2012): 279-295.
- ²⁴Avey, James B., Fred Luthans, Ronda M. Smith, and Noel F. Palmer. "Impact of positive psychological capital on employee wellbeing over time." *Journal of occupational health psychology* 15, no. 1 (2010): 17.See also: Barling, Julian, Kathryne E. Dupré, and E. Kevin Kelloway. "Predicting workplace aggression and

The Dialogue

violence." *Annual review of psychology* 60 (2009): 671-692. See more: Masten, W., and M. O. Wright. "Resilience over the lifespan." *Handbook of adult resilience*. (2009): 213-237.

- ²⁵ Kuntz, Joana RC, Katharina Näswall, and Sanna Malinen. "Resilient employees in resilient organizations: Flourishing beyond adversity." Industrial and Organizational 9, no. 2 (2016): 456-462. See also: Gonzalez-Morales, M. Gloria, Marv C. Kernan, Thomas E. Becker, and Robert Eisenberger. "Defeating abusive supervision: Training supervisors to support subordinates." Journal of Occupational Health Psychology 23, no. 2 (2018): 151. See further: Griffeth, Rodger W., Peter W. Hom, and Stefan Gaertner. "A meta-analysis of antecedents and correlates of employee turnover: Update, moderator tests, and research implications for the next millennium." Journal of management 26, no. 3 (2000): 463-488.
- ²⁶ Robertson, Ivan T., Cary L. Cooper, Mustafa Sarkar, and Thomas Curran. "Resilience training in the workplace from 2003 to 2014: A systematic review." *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology* 88, no. 3 (2015): 533-562. See also: Khan, Muhammad Arsalan. "Organizational cynicism and employee turnover intention: Evidence from banking sector in Pakistan." *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences* (*PJCSS*) 8, no. 1 (2014): 30-41.
- ²⁷Maddi, Salvatore R. "Creating Meaning rough Making Decisions." In *The human quest for meaning*. (2013):103-126. See also: Lian, Huiwen, D. Lance Ferris, and Douglas J. Brown. "Does power distance exacerbate or mitigate the effects of abusive supervision? It depends on the outcome." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 97, no. 1 (2012): 107. See more: Malik, Omer Farooq, Abdul Sattar, Asif Shahzad, and Rafia Faiz. "Personal bullying and nurses' turnover intentions in Pakistan: A mixed methods study." *Journal of interpersonal violence*. (2017).
- ²⁸Anderson, Craig A., and Brad J. Bushman. "Human aggression." *Annual review of psychology* 53. (2002). See also: Neuman, Joel H., and Robert A. Baron. "Aggression in the workplace: A social-psychological perspective." *Counterproductive work behavior: Investigations* of actors and targets 7. (2005): 13-40.
- ²⁹Kara, Derya, Muzaffer Uysal, M. Joseph Sirgy, and Gyumin Lee. "The effects of leadership style on employee well-being in

The Dialogue

hospitality." International Journal of Hospitality Management 34. (2013): 9-18. See also: Schat, A. C. H., S. Desmarais, and E. Kevin Kelloway. "Exposure to workplace aggression from multiple sources: Validation of a measure and test of a model." Unpublished manuscript, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada. (2006): 331-351. See further: Schyns, Birgit, and Jan Schilling. "How bad are the effects of bad leaders? A meta-analysis of destructive leadership and its outcomes." The Leadership Quarterly 24, no. 1 (2013): 138-158.

- ³⁰ Anderson, James C., and David W. Gerbing. "Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach." *Psychological bulletin* 103, no. 3 (1988): 411.See more: Shoaib, Madiha, Ayesha Noor, Syed Raza Tirmizi, and Sajid Bashir. "Determinants of employee retention in telecom sector of Pakistan." *Proceedings of the 2nd CBRC, Lahore, Pakistan* 14 (2009): 1-18. See further: Sulea, Coralia, Saul Fine, Gabriel Fischmann, Florin A. Sava, and Catalina Dumitru. "Abusive supervision and counterproductive work behaviors." *Journal of Personnel Psychology* (2013).
- ³¹Baumeister, Roy F., Mark Muraven, and Dianne M. Tice. "Ego depletion: A resource model of volition, self-regulation, and controlled processing." *Social cognition* 18, no. 2 (2000): 130-150.See more: Bushman, Brad J., and Roy F. Baumeister. "Threatened egotism, narcissism, self-esteem, and direct and displaced aggression: Does self-love or self-hate lead to violence?." *Journal of personality and social psychology* 75, no. 1 (1998): 219.
- ³²Thau, Stefan, and Marie S. Mitchell. "Self-gain or self-regulation impairment? Tests of competing explanations of the supervisor abuse and employee deviance relationship through perceptions of distributive justice." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 95, no. 6 (2010): 1009. See more: Barnes, Christopher M. "Working in our sleep: Sleep and self-regulation in organizations." *Organizational Psychology Review* 2, no. 3 (2012): 234-257.
- ³³ Barnes, Christopher M., Lorenzo Lucianetti, Devasheesh P. Bhave, and Michael S. Christian. ""You wouldn't like me when I'm sleepy": Leaders' sleep, daily abusive supervision, and work unit engagement." *Academy of Management Journal* 58, no. 5 (2015): 1419-1437. See also: Baron, Robert A. "Negative effects

The Dialogue

of destructive criticism: Impact on conflict, self-efficacy, and task performance." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 73, no. 2 (1988): 199. See further: Bass, Bernard M. "Leadership: Good, better, best." *Organizational dynamics* 13, no. 3 (1985): 26-40.

- ³⁴ Oh, Jo K., and Crystal IC Farh. "An emotional process theory of how subordinates appraise, experience, and respond to abusive supervision over time." Op.cit. See also: Boswell, Wendy R., Julie B. Olson-Buchanan, and Marcie A. LePine. "Relations between stress and work outcomes: The role of felt challenge, job control, and psychological strain." *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 64, no. 1 (2004): 165-181. See more: Bowling, Nathan A., and Jesse S. Michel. "Why do you treat me badly? The role of attributions regarding the cause of abuse in subordinates' responses to abusive supervision." *Work & Stress* 25, no. 4 (2011): 309-320.
- ³⁵ Avey, James B., Fred Luthans, Ronda M. Smith, and Noel F. Palmer. "Impact of positive psychological capital on employee wellbeing over time." Op.cit. See more: Barling, Julian, Kathryne E. Dupré, and E. Kevin Kelloway. "Predicting workplace aggression and violence." *Annual review of psychology* 60 (2009): 671-692. See also: Garcia, Patrick Raymund James M., Simon Lloyd D. Restubog, Christian Kiewitz, Kristin L. Scott, and Robert L. Tang. "Roots run deep: Investigating psychological mechanisms between history of family aggression and abusive supervision." *Journal of applied psychology* 99, no. 5 (2014): 883.See further: Glasø, Lars, Ståle Einarsen, Stig Berge Matthiesen, and Anders Skogstad. "The dark side of leaders: A representative study of interpersonal problems among leaders." *Scandinavian Journal of Organizational Psychology* 2, no. 2 (2010).
- ³⁶Hoobler, Jenny M., and Jia Hu. "A model of injustice, abusive supervision, and negative affect." *The Leadership Quarterly* 24, no. 1 (2013): 256-269. See more: Carmeli, A., & Weisberg, J. "Exploring turnover intentions among three professional groups of employees." *Human Resource Development International 9,* no.2 (2006): 191-206. See further: Cole, Michael S., and Heike Bruch. "Organizational identity strength, identification, and commitment and their relationships to turnover intention: does organizational hierarchy matter?." *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational*

The Dialogue

and Organizational Psychology and Behavior 27, no. 5 (2006): 585-605.

³⁷ Bhandarker, Asha, and Snigdha Rai. "Toxic leadership: emotional distress and coping strategy." *International Journal of Organization Theory & Behavior* 22, no. 1 (2019): 65-78. See more: Dilchert, Stephan, Deniz S. Ones, Robert D. Davis, and Cary D. Rostow. "Cognitive ability predicts objectively measured counterproductive work behaviors." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 92, no. 3 (2007): 616. See also: Ferris, D. Lance, Ming Yan, Vivien KG Lim, Yuanyi Chen, and Shereen Fatimah. "An approach–avoidance framework of workplace aggression." *Academy of Management Journal* 59, no. 5 (2016): 1777-1800.

The Dialogue

133