CHARACTERIZATION OF SALT AFFECTED SOILS OF PESHAWAR DISTRICT

M.S. Sarir, J.K. Khattak and A. Rashid*

Salt affected soils of Peshawar district were studied in the field and 34 composite soil samples upto 25 cm. depth were collected for physico-chemical characterization. These soils were either barren or having sporadic cultivation. Out of these samples 15 per cent were saline, 62 per cent saline-sodic and 23 per cent non-saline-non-sodic. Most of the soils were found in active process of salinization and alkalization. These soils were strongly calcareous and silty clay loam to sandy loam in texture.

INTRODUCTION

The province of N.W.F.P. is mostly arid and semi arid and thus productive agriculture requires irrigation water. Canal irrigation has caused a general rise in water table and this has resulted in water-logging and salt accumulation over a large area of the region.

Salty soils are common in Peshawar, Mardan, Bannu and D.I. Khan districts. Recently, it was estimated by Hussain (1970) that 9,827 acres of irrigated land in Peshawar district suffered from salinity and 2,546 acres were affected by waterlogging. The salt affected soils contained excessive concentration of either soluble salts or exchangeable sodium or both. The presence of excessive salt produces harmful effects and causes poor plant growth of cultivated crops which are sensitive to salts mainly because of the increase in osmotic pressure in soil solution and also due to the specific ion effect which ultimately reduces the agricultural production.

It has been noted that little information is available on soil characteristics of the salty areas of the Peshawar district. Keeping the above facts in mind, it was contemplated to study quantitatively the characteristics of salt affected soils of Peshawar district.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Characterization of the soils of salt affected areas of Peshawar district was completed in the Soil Science Laboratories. University of Peshawar during 1973-74. The surface soil samples were collected from the three tehsils Peshawar. Nowshera and Charsadda of the district. These soils are represented as P. N and C, respectively in the tables. Location and number of samples are shown in the location map. A total of thirty four composite soil samples were collected from the upper 25 cm depth. The samples were air dried, ground and passed through 2 mm sieve. Analysis of particle size distribution was carried out by the methods described by Moodic et al. (1954). Saturation percentage, pH of the saturated paste, electrical conductivity of saturation extract, water soluble cations and anions, sodium adsorption ratio, cation exchange capacity, exchangeable cations. ESP, gypsum requirement and alkaline-earth carbonates were determined according to the methods given in U.S.D.A. Hand Book-60 (1954). Organic matter was determined as described by Piper (1950).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The salt affected soils have been classified on the basis of analytical data into three classes i.e. messelin i-nonsedic, saline and saline-sodic soils following the classification criteria outlined by U.S. Salinity Lab. Staff with respect to electrical conductivity, pH and exchangeable sodium status. None of the sample was found to be non-taline-sodic.

Non Saline-Non Sodie spils (Normal soils))

Eight samples in the Yables I and 2 were classified as normal soils with respect to salinity and sodicity according to U.S.D.A. Hand Book-60 (1954). Total soluble salts were variable and lower than 0.1 per cent in these soils. However, samples N-5, N-6, P-6, C-10, C-13 and C-18 had soluble sodium greater than calcium plus magnesium. All the samples have low sodium adsorption ratio except sample C-9 and C-18. The pH of the soils was alkaline (pH 7.8 to 8.2). Soluble carbonates were absent while bicarbonates were found to be dominant anions. Contents of chloride and sulphate were also variable. These soils were moderately to strongly calcareous, textural class was silt loam except C-19 which was silty clay loam. Organic matter was greater than one percent. Collinds' saturation with sodium ranged from 4 to 18 per cent. Similar results were found by Saced et al. (1974) and Newar (1975).

Saline sails

Seven samples were classified as saline soils as shown in Tables 1 and 2 according to U.S.D.A. Hand Book-60 (1954). Total soluble salts ranged from 0.3 to 1.0 per cent. Soluble sodium was less than 50 per cent of soluble cations except sample C 4. Magnesium was more than calcium except sample P-2. Sodium adsorption ratio was low except C 4. The pH ranged from 7.7 to 8.0. Soluble carbonates were absent, while bicarbonates ranged from 5.72 to 22.00 mg/l. in those soils. Chlorides and sulphates were the dominant anions present in these soils. Cation exchange capacity was lower than normal soil. Exchangeable sodium percentage was greater than 15. These soils were medium textured, sandy loam to loam with 6 to 14 per cent alkaline-earth carbonates. Organic matter was less than one per cent except sample P-5. Collinds' saturation with sodium ranged from 10 to 15 percent. These results are in conformity with those of Jan (1974) and Khattak (1976).

It is obvious from the data that the only hazard in these soils was high sa't accumulation which affected adversely the plant growth. Reclamation of these soils would need leaching of soluble solts or growing salt tolerant crops on these soils, provided the drainage was adequate.

Saline-Sodic Soils

M jority of the samples were classified as saline-sodic soits as presented in Tables 1 and 2 according to U.S.D.A. Hand Book-60 (1954). The electrical conductivity of the saturation extract ranged from 5 to 100 mmohs/cm. Soluble sodium was more than soluble calcium plus magnesium. Only three samples P.1, P.4 and C-21 had pH less than 8.5, while other sixteen had above 9.0 and the maximum was 9.9 in sample C.6. Sodium adsorption ratios were also higher. Quantity of soluble carbonates was extremely variable which were about in six saline-sodic soil samples. Bicarbonates were variable and sulphates were in excess than bicarbonates, but their concentration was not consistent. All saline-sodic soils were moderate to strongly calcareous having texture of loam, silt loam and silty clay loam. Organic matter contents were low. Colloids saturation was high with sodium ranging from 26 to 84 per cent. Both replacement of exchangeable sodium and leaching of soluble salts were required for the reclamation of these soils.

Being calcareous in nature, biological reclamation of these soils might be also a help in addition to the application of chemical amendments like gypsum.

Table 1. Physics-chanical characteristics of some salt affected soils of Peshawar District.

*N-5 8.00 0.54 0.43 7.82 6.16 16.29 1.11 12.2 61.5 26.3 Silt loam *P-6 13.80 0.68 0.53 12.57 5.83 13.50 1.45 32.2 59.8 18.0 Silt loam *C-13 25.20 1.08 1.00 23.11 4.29 12.81 2.19 28.1 64.2 7.7 Silt loam *C-14 12.40 1.71 0.89 9.78 13.81 8.35 1.01 21.8 66.0 12.2 Silt loam *C-15 13.00 0.19 0.43 10.31 1.75 10.39 1.42 21.8 23.0 55.2 Sandy clay loam *C-15 13.00 0.19 0.43 10.31 1.75 10.39 1.42 21.8 23.0 55.2 Sandy clay loam *P-7 5.20 0.80 0.54 3.85 15.38 6.17 0.40 6.0 49.1 49.9 Loam *P-8 5.20 0.80 0.42 1.60 10.22 10.79 1.04 13.6 27.8 58.6 Sandy loam *C-17 8.20 0.80 0.48 5.97 11.11 9.43 0.77 9.4 32.5 58.1 Sandy loam *C-18 1.20 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.51 13.81 6.42 18.2 57.8 58.6 Sandy loam *C-18 2.20 0.80 0.42 5.97 11.11 9.43 0.77 9.4 32.5 58.1 Sandy loam *C-29 1.20 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.51 13.51 6.44 38.5 52.1 Sandy loam *C-3 7.20 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy loam *C-4 7.00 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy loam *C-4 7.00 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy loam *C-4 7.00 0.80 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy loam *C-4 7.00 0.80 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy loam *C-4 7.00 0.80 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy loam *C-4 7.00 0.80 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy loam *C-4 7.00 0.80 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy loam *C-4 7.00 0.80 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy loam *C-5 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy loam *C-6 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.8	Sample	Sample CEC number me/1003	Exct Na+	Exchangeable Cations + K÷ Ca+++Mg ⁺ me;100 g	ole Cations Ca++ + Mg++ B	ESP	G.R. toms/ acre		Alka- Organic Clay line matter % carth % carbo- nate%	Clay %	Silt	Sand S	Sand Textural	
(3.80) 1.40 0.61 11.77 f0.21 l6.32 1.14 22.0 56.1 21.9 13.80 0.68 0.53 12.57 5.83 13.50 1.45 32.2 59.8 18.0 20.00 3.69 0.78 15.52 18.47 8.30 1.45 31.2 59.8 18.0 25.20 1.08 1.00 23.11 4.29 12.81 6.19 28.1 64.2 7.7 13.40 1.71 0.89 9.78 13.81 8.35 1.01 31.8 64.2 7.7 13.00 0.19 0.45 10.31 1.75 10.39 1.42 21.8 66.0 12.2 13.00 0.54 0.72 11.72 4.20 10.46 1.42 21.8 55.2 13.00 0.80 0.54 3.85 15.38 6.17 0.40 6.0 49.1 49.6 5.20 0.80 0.37 4.02 15.38 1	*N-5	8.00	0.54	0.43	7.82	6.16		16.29	1.11	12.2	61.5	26.3	Silt loam	
13.80 0.68 0.53 12.57 5.83 13.50 1.45 32.2 59.8 18.0 20.00 3.69 0.78 15.52 18.47 8.30 1.45 31.8 61.6 6.6 25.20 1.08 0.78 13.11 4.29 12.81 2.19 28.1 64.2 7.7 13.40 1.71 0.89 9.78 13.81 8.35 1.01 21.8 66.0 12.2 13.40 0.19 0.43 10.31 1.75 10.39 1.42 21.8 66.0 12.2 13.00 0.19 0.43 10.31 1.75 10.46 1.42 21.8 6.0 12.2 5.20 0.80 0.54 3.85 15.38 6.17 0.40 6.0 49.1 49.9 5.20 0.80 0.37 4.02 15.38 7.90 0.33 5.8 24.6 69.6 8.20 1.20 0.80 0.42 5.97	9-7	(3.80	1.40	0.61	11.77	10.21		16.32	1.14	22.0	56.1	21.9	Silt Icam	
23.00 3.69 0.78 15.52 18.47 8.30 (.45) 31.8 61.6 6.6 25.20 (.08) 0.78 15.52 18.47 8.30 (.45) 31.8 61.6 6.6 12.40 1.71 0.89 9.78 13.81 8.35 1.01 21.8 66.0 12.2 11.00 0.19 0.45 10.31 1.75 10.39 1.42 21.8 66.0 12.2 13.00 6.54 0.72 11.72 4.20 10.39 1.42 21.8 23.0 55.2 5.20 0.80 0.54 3.85 15.38 6.17 0.40 6.0 49.1 49.9 5.20 0.80 0.37 4.02 15.38 7.90 0.33 5.8 24.0 59.0 27.8 40.6 8.80 0.90 0.37 4.02 15.38 12.69 1.02 49.2 27.8 24.0 8.20 1.20 0.80 </td <td>9-d.</td> <td>13.80</td> <td>0.68</td> <td>0.53</td> <td>12.57</td> <td>5.83</td> <td></td> <td>13.50</td> <td></td> <td>32.2</td> <td>8.69</td> <td>18.0</td> <td>Silt loam</td> <td></td>	9-d.	13.80	0.68	0.53	12.57	5.83		13.50		32.2	8.69	18.0	Silt loam	
25.20 (1.08) 1.00 23.11 4.29 12.81 2.19 28.1 64.2 7.7 12.40 1.71 0.89 9.78 13.81 8.35 1.01 21.8 66.0 12.2 1(1.06 0.19 0.45 10.31 1.75 10.39 1.42 21.8 66.0 12.2 13.00 0.54 0.72 11.72 4.20 10.46 1.42 21.8 23.0 25.2 5.20 0.80 0.54 3.85 15.38 6.17 0.40 6.0 49.1 49.6 5.20 0.80 0.37 4.02 15.38 7.90 0.33 5.8 24.6 69.6 8.80 0.90 0.23 7.60 10.22 10.79 1.42 10.2 49.6 69.6 8.80 0.90 0.23 7.60 10.25 14.63 12.69 1.04 13.6 27.8 58.6 7.20 0.80 0.48 5.97<	0J-O	20.00	3.69	0.78	15.52	18.47		8.30	1.45	31.8	9.19	9.9	Silty clay to	ΠÄ
12.40 1.71 0.89 9.78 13.81 8.35 1.01 21.8 66.0 12.2 11.00 0.19 0.45 10.31 1.75 10.39 1.42 21.8 23.0 55.2 13.00 0.54 0.72 11.72 4.25 10.39 1.42 24.0 55.2 20.2 5.20 0.80 0.54 3.85 15.38 6.17 0.40 6.0 49.1 49.9 5.20 0.80 0.37 4.02 15.38 7.90 0.33 5.8 24.6 69.6 8.80 0.90 0.37 4.02 15.38 7.90 0.33 5.8 24.6 69.6 8.20 1.20 0.60 6.39 14.63 12.69 1.04 13.6 27.8 58.1 7.20 0.80 0.42 5.97 11.11 9.43 0.77 9.4 32.5 52.1 7.40 0.80 0.80 0.80 5.38	C-13	25.20	80.1	9.1	23.11	4.29		12.81	2.19	28.	64.2	7.7	Silt loam	
[11.00] 0.19 0.45 [10.31] 1.75 10.39 1.42 21.8 23.0 55.2 13.00 0.54 0.72 [11.72] 4.25 10.39 1.42 21.8 23.0 55.2 5.25 0.80 0.54 3.85 15.38 6.17 0.40 6.0 49.1 49.9 5.25 0.80 0.37 4.02 15.38 7.90 0.33 5.8 24.6 69.6 8.80 0.90 0.23 7.60 10.22 10.79 1.42 10.2 49.6 49.6 8.20 1.20 0.60 6.39 14.63 12.69 1.04 13.6 27.8 58.1 7.40 1.00 0.42 5.97 11.11 9.43 0.77 9.4 32.5 58.1 7.40 1.00 0.80 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.51 13.81 0.74 9.4 30.4 52.0 7.00 0.80 0.80 </td <td>C-18</td> <td>13.40</td> <td>1.71</td> <td>0.89</td> <td>87.6</td> <td>13.81</td> <td></td> <td>8.35</td> <td>1.01</td> <td>31.8</td> <td>0.99</td> <td>12.2</td> <td>Silt loam</td> <td></td>	C-18	13.40	1.71	0.89	87.6	13.81		8.35	1.01	31.8	0.99	12.2	Silt loam	
13.00 6.54 0.72 II.72 4.20 10.46 1.42 24.0 55.9 20.2 Silt loam 5.20 0.80 0.54 3.85 15.38 6.17 0.40 6.0 49.1 49.9 Loam 5.20 0.80 0.37 4.02 15.38 7.90 0.33 5.8 24.6 69.6 Silty loam 8.80 0.90 0.23 7.60 10.22 10.79 1.42 10.2 49.1 49.9 Loam 8.20 1.23 0.60 6.39 14.63 12.69 1.04 13.6 27.8 58.6 Sandy loam 7.20 0.80 0.42 5.97 11.11 9.43 0.77 9.4 32.5 58.1 Sandy loam 7.40 1.00 0.80 0.48 5.91 13.51 13.81 0.64 9.6 30.4 52.0 Sandy loam 7.40 0.80 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.53 10.2	613		0.19	0.43	10.31	1.75		10.39		21.8	23.0			M F
5.20 0.80 0.54 3.85 15.38 6.17 0.40 6.0 49.1 49.9 Loam 5.20 0.80 0.37 4.02 15.38 7.90 0.33 5.8 24.6 69.6 5ity low 8.80 0.90 0.23 7.60 10.22 (0.79 1.42 10.2 49.2 40.6 Loam 8.20 1.23 0.60 6.39 14.63 12.69 1.04 13.6 27.8 58.6 Sandy lo 7.22 0.80 0.42 5.97 11.11 9.43 0.77 9.4 32.5 58.1 Sandy lo 7.40 1.00 0.48 5.91 13.51 13.81 0.64 9.6 30.4 52.0 Sandy lo 7.00 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy lo	C-20	i	6.54	0.72	11.72	4,20		10.46		- 1				
5.23 0.80 0.37 4.02 15.38 7.90 0.33 5.8 24.6 69.6 Sifty low 8.80 0.90 0.23 7.60 10.22 10.79 1.42 10.2 49.2 40.6 Loam 8.23 1.23 0.60 6.39 14.63 12.69 1.04 13.6 27.8 58.6 Sandy log 7.22 0.80 0.42 5.97 11.11 9.43 0.77 9.4 32.5 58.1 Sandy log 7.40 1.00 0.48 5.91 13.51 13.81 C.64 9.6 30.4 52.0 Sandy log 7.00 0.80 0.80 5.38 13.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandy log	P-2		0.80	0.54	3,85	15,38		6.17		6.0				
8.20	p-3	5.2)	08.0	0.37	4.02	15,38		7.90		5.8				
8.25 1.25 0.60 6.39 14.63 12.69 1.04 13.6 27.8 58.6 Sandylo 7.25 0.80 0.42 5.97 11.11 9.43 0.77 9.4 32.5 58.1 Sandylo 7.40 1.00 0.48 5.91 13.51 13.81 0.64 9.6 30.4 52.0 Sandylo 7.00 0.80 0.80 5.38 (3.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandylo	P-5	8.80	06.0	0.23	7.60	10.22		10.79		10.2				
7.25 0.80 0.42 5.97 fl.ft 9.43 0.77 9.4 32.5 58.1 Sandylo 7.40 l.00 0.48 5.91 13.51 13.81 C.64 9.6 30.4 52.0 Sandylo 7.00 0.80 0.80 5.38 f3.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandylo	ن ن	8.23	1.23	09.0	6.39	14.63		12.69		13.6		58.6		
7.40 1.00 0.48 5.91 13.51 13.81 C.64 9.6 30.4 52.0 Sandylo 7.00 0.80 0.80 5.38 (3.3 10.62 0.71 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandylo	C·2	7.23	08.0	0.42	5.97	11.11		9.43	0.77	9.4	32.5	58.1	Sandy loam	
7.00 0.80 0.80 5.38 (3.3 10.62 0.7] 9.4 38.5 52.1 Sandylo	3	7.40	1.00	0.48	5.91	13.51		13.81	C.64		30.4			
	C-4	7.00	08.0	0.80	5.38	(3.3		10.62		9.4	38.5		Sandy lo	7

	1	1					200	100	2000	200 00 000 000		
Silt loam	20.5	57.2	22.3	0.98	(3.59	8.44	30.02	9.29	0.40	4.05	8.80	C-21
Silt loam	20.1	\$7.3	22.6	0.43	11.37	8.66	86.98	4.30	0.83	9.24	13.80	C-17
Г.оат	36.5	41.1	22.4	0.43	90.3	5.93	62.29	4.30	0.43	8.97	13.80	<u>ن-19</u>
Silty clay loam	10.3	59.3	30.4	0.47	5.42	10.71	57.22	6.00	0.89	9.50	16.00	C-(S
Silt loam	28.1	20.1	21.8	0.20	10.16	4.23	62.29	3.34	1.55	8.09	13.00	C-1 4
Silt foam	13.9	60.1	25.0	0.27	10.27	4.57	52.24	5.08	0.50	6.11	11.80	C-12
Silty clay foam	7.1	4.19	31.5	:0.27	11.27	8.32	65.75	5.46	0.77	96.11	18.20	5
Sift loam	0.91	59.2	24.8	0.23	4.23 6.51	4.23	49.86	6.55	99.0	7.18	14.40	م- ن
Sandy loam	59.2	22.6	18.3	0.40	6.63 12.48	6.63	84,09	0.81	0.93	9.25	11.00	%-C
Sendy loan	59.5	32.4	18.1	0.30	1.5[12.47	1.51	47.56	5,65	0.48	5.56	11.70	C-7
Sandy clay foem	50.2	24.0	25.8	0.43	6.64 14.42	6.64	58.09	5.58	0.45	8.36	14.40	<u>.</u> و
Sandy clay loem	50.1	18.3	31.6	0.57	8.39	10.02	46.56	12.33	0.65	11.3	24.30	C-5
Slideam	16,9	7.09	23.4	1.08	8.38		26.26	8.66	1.94	3.78	14.40	4
Silty loam	6.5	64.6	28.9	0.81	20.24		28.00	13.89	0.50	5.60	23.00	P. Í
Loam	37.7	43.7	18.7	0.43	9.67 13.63	19.6	80.00	16.9	1.28	8.80	11.00	C.Z
Clay loam	25.3	45.9	30.8	1.25	3.2f [6.0]	3.21	32,44	8.96	1.16	4.86	15.00	Z T
Silty loam	23.6	56.7	22.5	0.50	18.54 16.85	18.54	61.60	1.00	4.00	8.00	13.00	Z-Z
Loam	39.3	42.0	18.7	0.61	8.38	7.53	75.00	1.7.	0.78	7.50	10.00	Z-Z
Loam	25.8	5,44	28.7	0.91	9.36	7.22	74,50	2.74	77.0	10.27	13.80	ż

*Nowshera *Peshawar *Charsadda

Table 2 Ciemical characteristics of some salt affected soils of Peshavar District.

	No.	*	Salts	Soluble Na+	Soluble Cations med	me⁄i Ca++	Mg++ CO.	, íoo	Soluble Anions me/l HCO ₃ / Clf S	Anions II	so.	
×-5.	3.14	7.8	0.09	14,35	0.50	6.03	4.95	Ĩ	16.50	11.00	3.90	6,13
S.	3.65	8.0	0.11	20.11	0.50	6.03	3.27	J	21.05	CZ.11	4.25	9.30
P-6	1.73	8.2	0.05	6.00	0.50	2.08	6.9	1	7.25	2.20	7.84	4,91
0.10	08.1	8.0	0.05	15.00	0.40	2.66	0.83	1	8.00	3.00	7.00	11.36
C+13	4.	8.1	0.05	6.25	0.00	3.28	1.67	Ĩ	6.63	2 33	5.60	4,46
C-18	2.14	œ.	0.05	16.25	0.50	3.12	0.54		9.24	7.40	4.76	12.01
C-19	1.48	6.	0.05	2.00	0.40	6.25	0.90	Ï	7.30	3.40	4.10	1.13
C-33	1.58	7.9	0.04	3.00	0.75	4.16	0.95		6.83	3.70	5.23	2.05
P-2	13.81	7.7	0.30	62.50	4.00	50.10	21.96	1	7.04	1.4,40	16.66	10.41
P-3	27.01	8.0	0.55	95.00	17.00	23.71	134.69	Ĵ	8.80	110.40	150.93	10.67
P-5	10,64	7.8	0.30	43.23	3.80	29.12	38.32		5.73	65.40	35,28	8.06
- <u>-</u> -	39.03	7.7	1.01	125.00	27.75	21.84	95.97	Ţ	14.08	155.40	170.83	14.07
C-2	17.90	7.9	0.43	93.10	6.35	23.80	91.08	1	6.16	\$6.2)	116.64	12,44
C-3	16.36	8.0	0.39	42.50	13.75	22.88	31.57	ľ	11.00	102.40	50.23	8.14
C.4	42.72	8.0	66.0	288.00	23.00	27.04	112.35	ľ	22.00	270.80	134.40	34,49 Contd

56.74	5.6	1.90	518.75	2.25	2.08	0.23	37.50	80.30	(02.80	360.80	476.36
55.31	4.6	1.53	590.00	5.49	2.74	0.78	345.40	132.00	88.60	87.10	445.16
70'66	9.5	3.23	950.00	39,00	2.18	0.53	546.20	320.00	162.00	22.00	822.75
6.85	9.1	0.25	67.00	1.25	2.39	0.58	91.9	25.96	14.40	21.98	54.91
88.00	9.6	2.23	00.006	17.00	1.24	0.14	228.80	(87.00	118.50	345.70	1084.33
23.01	9.3	0.65	221.22	1.50	24.54	16.04	ľ	6.28	138.50	65.32	49.15
4.98	4.6	0.17	36.85	3.50	2.28	3.25	E	10.12	18.40	2f.18	22.10
21.82	8.6	0.57	162.50	0.75	2.28	0.19	91.52	00.99	26.80	33.88	147.74
23.35		0.53	170.00	0.25	2.28	0.08	98.20	72.50	30.00	32.80	156.00
5.38	9.2	0.16	47,75	0.50	3.27	0.14	8.40	33.00	11,00	1.40	35.00
30.68		0.76	237,50	2.00	3.32	1,41	120,30	100.60	84.80	1.08	154.22
5.26		0.12	42.63	0.33	3.29	0.89	c	20.20	29.04	3.36	29.60
27.72	9.6	0.69	206.20	0.75	2.28	69.0	08.96	00.99	112.23	2.23	169.01
6.43	9.6	0.15	55.00	İ	2.08	0.29	Ť	31.83	26. 10	6.37	50.50
13.09	7.6	0.29	122.50	1.25	2.08	69.0	34.32	42.24	42.40	11.94	104.16
28.02		0.78	340.00	0.50	2.08	0.49	(58.84	79.64	39.70	2.03	299.82
16.38	6.8	0.54	134.00	0.30	2.80	0.95	1	22.80	82.40	58.60	18.76
33,13	4.6	67.0	278.00	L.50	2.74	0.33	154.44	129.36	49.40	7.10	224.91
25,41	3.8	0.56	224.00	1.40	19.96	2.84	1	8.80	119.36	76,44	63.37

sulphur or sulphuric acid etc. With the exception of samples P-1, and P-4, all samples of this class would need gypsum, which ranged from 1.51 to 18.54 tons per acre as gypsum requirement. These conclusions resemble to those drawn by Jan (1974), Khartak (1976) and U.S. Salinity Lab. Staff (1954).

Table 4. Summary of Properties of salt affected soils of Peshawar, Nowshera and Charsa Ida Tehsils.

Properties	Peshawar	Nowshera	Charsadda
Electrical conductivity (mmhos/cm)	13.53	46.10	16.73
pH _s	7.72	8.98	8.78
Nu ^{tra} ente/I	84,86	437.15	137.10
Cai+ me/l	19.97	3,24	3.76
K+ -me/I	9.32	9.44	7.15
Mg^{++} -me/l	45.66	1.49	0.81
SAR	14.55	414.10	77.26
CO ₃ -me/l		166.29	35.42
HCO3-me/l	7.40	111.90	31.60
Cl''-me/t	74.88	64.07	61,80
SO ₄ =me/l	52.87	118.60	35.52
CEC-me/100gm	10.10	12.20	15.28
ES Na-mc/100gm	1.42	5.91	7.07
Exch Ca+++mg++me/100gm	8.44	4.99	7.74
Exch. Kime/100gm	0.70	1.30	0.70
Gypsum requirements, tons/acre		9.2	5.86
Alkaline-earth carbonate %	11.24	13.89	10.70
Organic matter %	0.96	0.85	0.64
Textural class*	Si, Sil, Siel	L, Sil, Cl	SI, Sil. Seil
Clay %	15.92	21.94	22.14
Normal soils	l ·	2	.5
Saline soils	3		4
Saline-sodic soils	2	5	12

N.B. Each property represents the average values of 6 Peshawar, 7 Nowshera, and 21 Charsadda salt affected soils.

Sl = Sandy loam

Sil = Silty loam

Siel = Silty clay loam

L = Loam

Cl = Clay Joann

Characteristics of salt affected soils of Peshawar, Nowsbern and Charsadda Tehsil

Tehsilwise information is given in Table 4. The variations in the hazards of salinity and alkalinity and other characteristics could be accounted for by the nature of parent material and its physiographic position, climate, and source and quality of irrigation water as also indicated by Soil Survey Staff (1967), Fraser (1953) and Fireman et al. (1950).

LITERATURE CITED

- F.reman, M., C.A. Mogen and G. Orien Baker. 1950. Characteristics of saline and alkali soil in the Emmett valley area. Idaha Agri. Expt. St. of the University of Idaha, Moscow. Res. Bull. 17.
- Friser, I.S. 1958. Land forms, soils and land use of the Indus Plain, West Pakistan. A report of a Colombo Plan Co-operative Project, published for the Govt. of Pakistan by the Government of Canada.
- Humain, M.C. 1970. Salinity classification and Thur Girdawari Statistics in West Pakistan. Directorate of Land Reclamation Res. Pub. Vol. II No. 213-216.
- Jan, N.A. 1974. Salinity appraisal and recommendation of reclamation measures of Mardan District. M.Sc. (Hons) Thesis. University of Peshawar.
- Khattak, R.A. 1976. Appraisal of some representative salt affected soils of Dera Ismail Khan District. M.Sc. (Hons). Thesis, University of Peshawar.
- Moodic, C.D., H.W. Smith and R.A. McCreery. 1954. Laboratory Manual for Soil Fertility, Washington State College; 31-39.

- Nawaz, M. 1975. Studies on the Inter-relationship of Physico-chemical properties of two important soil series of Peshawar valley, M.Sc. (Hons) Thesis, University of Peshawar.
- Piper, C.S. 1950. Soil and Plant Analysis, Intern. Sc. Pub. New York.
- Saced, G., J.K. Khattak and A. Rashid, 1974. Physico-chemical properties of some representative soil series of Peshawar valley. Pakistan J. Sci. 26(1-5): 77-80.
- Staff of Directorate of Soil Survey. 1967. Reconnaissance soil survey Peshawar Vale. Peshawar and Mardan districts. Soil Survey Project of Pakistan.
- U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff. 1954. Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils. Hand Book-60.