

Pak. J. Anal. Environ. Chem. Vol. 15, No. 1 (2014) 66 - 73

# **Application of Polyhydroxybutyrate-b-polyethyleneglycol** (a block co-polymer) for Solid Phase Extraction of Lead and **Copper in Different Food Samples**

Sham K. Wadhwa<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Tasneem Gul Kazi<sup>1</sup>, Mustafa Tuzen<sup>2</sup>, Hassan Imran Afridi<sup>1</sup>, Naeemullah<sup>1,2</sup> and Kapil Dev Brahman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Gaziosmanpasa University, Faculty of Science and Arts, Chemistry Department, 60250 Tokat, Turkey

Received 27 September 2013, Revised 26 June 2014, Accepted 30 June 2014

#### Abstract

In present work, a new adsorbent, polyhydroxybutyrate-b-polyethyleneglycol (block copolymer) was used for the preconcentration and separation of copper (Cu) and lead (Pb) ions without consuming expensive complexing reagent. The influence of various parameters like pH, adsorbent amount, and rates of flow of eluent, sample and sample volumes has been investigated. The polymer does not interact with alkaline-earth metals, transition metals, alkaline, and few anions. The enrichment factor 50 was achieved in this method. The detection limit of method was found to be 0.36  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> and 1.93  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for copper and lead, respectively. The recovery values of both analytes were found >96% and relative standard deviations (RSD) for all experiments were found less than 5%. The present method was validated by the analysis of Cu and Pb contents in various related certified reference materials (CRM) like; NIST SRM 1515 Apple leaves, IAEA-336 Lichen and GBW-07605 Tea. Found results and CRM values were precise and accurate. This developed method was then successfully applied for analysis of Cu and Pb in tap and bottled mineral water and real food samples.

Keywords: Polyhydroxybutyrate-b-Poly ethylene glycol; Solid phase extraction; Copper; Lead; Food: Water.

#### Introduction

Due to environmental fatal and heavy metals (HMs) toxic effects upon human health, heavy metal determination has attracted by many researchers [1-2]. Heavy metals are not metabolized by body and these are stable in environment because their density is five times higher than water [3-4]. The major pollution caused by HMs via water wastage, residue waste, and gases exhaust from different industries, traffic and other sources [5]. The heavy metals in excess amount passed up to the food chain which adversely affect the human health. When heavy metal is smeared into environment via water, air, food, or synthetic chemicals; the body can take the toxicity through ingestion, absorption onto skin, and inhalation [6-8]. Excess level of copper is toxic while it is essential trace element. As industrial use increases, environmental pollution also increases. Long term exposure of the toxic elements causes adverse health effects on human specifically children and infants [9].

Each person has some Pb level in blood and in bones where as it is a non essential element [10]. Lead is an enzyme inhibitor and a general toxic element in metabolism which lead to brain damage and mental retardation particularly in children. Pb adversely bad affects the bone

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author Email: wadhwashamkumar@yahoo.com

formation on long term exposure [11]. When Pb in blood is found less than  $5\mu gdL^{-1}$ , reduced academic performance can be observed with Pb exposure [12-13]. The precision and accuracy for the measurements of trace and heavy metals are among most significant tasks in analyses [14].

The atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) is a useful technique for determination of HMs. The analysis of trace metals especially by using flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FAAS) is quite difficult [15-16]. Separationenrichment procedures are widely used to rectify issues in FAAS, to solve this problem. Different preconcentration techniques like solid phase extraction, liquid-liquid extraction, cloud point extraction, electro-deposition, co-precipitation and membrane filtration are widely used [17-21]. Among all enrichment factors solid phase extraction (SPE) is a good choice because of simplicity, easiness, sensitivity and higher enrichment factors [22]. The SPE consists of the recovery of analyte on solid support by sorption in first step and desorption in second step. SPE prevents the use of aggressive reagents and concentrated acids [23]. Various adsorbents like solvent-impregnated resins, polyurethane foam, Amberlite resins, agar, modified clinoptilolite zeolite etc [24-27] are reported.

The central idea of present work is to preconcentrate and separate the Pb(II) and Cu(II) onto Polyhydroxybutyrate-b-Polyethylene glycol (PHBb-PEG) as a solid phase. This polymer is not used before for separation / preconcentration of elements according to our literature survey. In this work, the analytical performance of PHB-b-PEG as an adsorbent for the separation and preconcentration of Cu, Pb ions were investigated.

#### Experimental Instrumentation

A Perkin Elmer A Analyst 700 (Norwalk, CT, USA) atomic absorption spectrometer with deuterium background corrector was utilized for the study. Perkin Elmer single element hollow cathode (HC) lamps were used for flame atomic absorption spectrometric determinations. All readings were taken using air/acetylene flame. Operating conditions were settled as per

manufacturer's recommendations. A slot-burner with 10 cm long head, a HC lamps and an airacetylene flame were used in all measurements.

A pH meter, made by Göttingen, Germany under brand name Sartorius pp-15 Model glasselectrode was used for accurate measurements of pH values in the aqueous media. The pH meter was calibrated after each 10 measurements by using pH 4.00 (PY-Y01), pH 7.00 (PY-Y02) and pH 10.00 (PY-Y04) buffer standards provided by Sartorius. For microwave digestion; Milestone Ethos D (Sorisole-Bg, Italy) closed vessel microwave system (maximum pressure 1450 psi, maximum temperature 300 °C) was used.

#### **Reagents and solutions**

All chemicals used were of Analytical reagent grade; throughout the experimentation deionised water (Milli-O Millipore (Bedford, MA, USA) 18.2 M $\Omega$ cm<sup>-1</sup>) was utilized for initial and successive dilutions. Glassware and plastic were first soaked in dilute HNO<sub>3</sub> and then rinsed with double distilled water before use. The required metal solutions for calibration were prepared from stock solution of 1000 µgmL<sup>-1</sup> purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) and Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Buffers from pH 2-9 were prepared from different reagents (Sodium dihydrogen phosphate, ammonium acetate, acetic acid, HCl, ammonium chloride and NaBO<sub>2</sub>) obtained from Merck. Three certified standard reference materials (NIST SRM 1515 Apple leaves, IAEA-336 Lichen and GBW-07605 Tea) were used.

Poly (3-hydroxy butyrate) (PHB), microbial polyester was supplied from BIOMER (Germany). Poly (ethylene glycol) bis (2aminopropyl ether) with MW 2000 g/mol (PEG-2003) were a gift from Huntsman Corporation (Switzerland). Stannous 2-ethyl hexanoate and the other chemicals used were purchased from Aldrich.

#### Synthesis of PHB-PEG block copolymers

The experimental procedure described in the cited references [28-29] was used. As an example, chloroform solution (300 mL) of PHB Biomer (10 g) and PEG2003 (10g) was refluxed in the presence of 0.1 g tin(II)-ethyl hexanoate. After evaporating the solvent, white solid polymer was dried under vacuum at room temperature for 24 hours. After washed with water in several time to remove unreacted PEG residue, It was dried in air and then under vacuum at room temperature for 24 hour [30].

#### Sampling

The ~1000 ml of tap water was firstly filtered using a 0.45-mm pore size membrane filter (Millipore Corporation, Bedford, MA, USA) then allowed to run for 10 min then collected in a beaker. All water samples were 40 different bottled mineral water (BMW) samples of different brands packed on different dates (ten samples from each label) were collected from market of Tokat Province of Turkey. On arrival to laboratory, the BMW were stored at +4 °C till further analysis.

## Column preparation

The column was filled with polyhydroxybutyrate-b-polyethylene glycol (PHB-b-PEG) a block copolymer.

Approximately 500 mg of PHB-b-PEG1 was loaded into a 10mm×100mm glass column containing porous disc. The polymer thickness was nearly 2 cm long. The column was each time conditioned with buffer solution before use. After every elution, the PHB-b-PEG in column was also washed with a 20 ml of water.

#### Procedure

A 50 mL of model solution was prepared that contains lead  $(3 \mu gmL^{-1})$  and copper  $(1 \mu \text{gmL}^{-1})$  and pH was maintain between 2 to 9 with different buffers. Column firstly preconditioned by using buffer solution (10 mL) through polymer column and then model solution at a flow rate of 5 mL min<sup>-1</sup> was passed. After adsorption of analytes, the column was rinsed with 10 mL of water. Adsorbed ions on PHB-b-PEG were then eluted with 5 mL of 1 mol  $L^{-1}$  HCI, after that eluent is subjected to the analyses of Pb and Cu levels by using FAAS. Finally this method was tested on real samples (tap water, bottled mineral water, coffee, tea and baby foods) after achieving good recoveries with model solutions.

#### Application on Tap water and BMW samples

Millipore cellulose membrane filter paper (0.45  $\mu$ m pore size) was employed to filter tap water samples and bottled mineral water. The pH of samples was maintained to 7.0 using proper buffer; then a procedure defined earlier was adapted to the final solutions. Blank samples were also analyzed in the same way. The metal ions levels in the samples were analyzed by using FAAS.

# Preparation and application on certified reference materials (CRM) and food samples

Five replicate CRM's and triplicate samples of each food type were subjected to microwave digestion prior to proposed method. 100 mg of each CRM including NIST SRM 1515 Apple leaves, IAEA-336 Lichen, GBW 07605 Tea and 1.0 g of each food sample including, milk (six different brands), baby fruits (four different flavors), tea bags (two) and coffee (two) brands were digested in 9.0 mL; mixture of HNO<sub>3</sub> (65%) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (30%) in ratio 2:1 in microwave. Digestion conditions were used as; 6 min for 250 W, 6 min for 400 W, 6 min for 550 W, 6 min for 250 W, ventilation: 8 min [31].

After digestion the final volume of each sample was made up to 50 mL with deionized water. The blanks were also prepared in same way without any standard and samples and prepared accordingly.

### **Results and Discussion** *The effect of pH on sorption of Pb and Cu*

The proposed SPE method applied for preconcentration and separation of Cu and Pb ions, pH of the aqueous solution is first and important tool for maximum recoveries of analytes [32]. A wide range of pH from 2 to 9 was tested using different buffer solutions, for checking the pH effect on recovery of analytes using model solutions; whereas the remaining parameters were kept fixed. The % recoveries for both metal analytes (Cu and Pb) are presented in (Fig. 1) using various pH values from 2 to 9. The optimum pH for quantitative recoveries of Cu(II) and Pb(II) were found in the pH range of 6-8. The succeeding experiments were done at pH 7 and pH was maintained using buffer solution.



Figure 1. Effect of pH on recoveries of Cu and Pb (N=5)

#### Eluent type and volume

For desorption of the retained metal analytes from column, different molarities (1M and 2M) of nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) in volume range 2 mL to 10 mL were checked (Fig. 2).



Figure 2. Effect of eluent type on recoveries of Cu and Pb (N=5)

The recoveries above 95% were observed for the Cu and Pb with 5-9 mL of 1 mol  $L^{-1}$ hydrochloric acid and 2 mol  $L^{-1}$  hydrochloric acid. Eluent volume in solid phase extraction study is important to achieve high enrichment factor, so we selected the lowest quantitative volume and lower molarity. The results are presented in (Fig. 3). Thus 5 mL of 1 mol  $L^{-1}$  hydrochloric acid was opted as an eluent in succeeding experiments.



Figure 3. Effect of eluent volume on recoveries of Cu and Pb  $(N{=}5)$ 

# Effect of flow rates of sample and eluent solutions

The flow rates for both eluent and sample is important factor to be studied, because very slow or fast flow can cause less adsorption and retention of analytes onto the resin present in the column. The effect of the eluent and sample flow rates on the sorption and desorption of Cu and Pb ions on PHB-b-PEG1 polymeric column were also checked in the range from 2 mL min<sup>-1</sup> to 10 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The recoveries of Cu and Pb were found quantitative in the range of 3 mL min<sup>-1</sup> to 8 mL min<sup>-1</sup> for the flow of both sample and eluent. In subsequent experiments 5 mL min<sup>-1</sup> was selected as flow rate for both.

#### Effect of sample volume

In SPE procedures; the volume of sample factor getting is also key in higher preconcentration factors. Thus, the effect of sample volume for metal sorption on the PHB-b-PEG polymeric column was examined by passing 25-600mL at a 5 mLmin<sup>-1</sup> flow rate. The recovery percentage values versus sample volume are given in (Fig. 4). The adsorption of the both metal ions was quantitative up to 250 mL of the sample solution. However, above 250 mL the decline in percent recoveries of both analytes was observed. Therefore in this method a preconcentration factor of 50 was achieved by using 250 mL of sample and 5 mL of eluent.



Figure 4. Effect of sample volume on recoveries of Cu and Pb (N=5)

#### Interference studies by foreign ions

The possible coexisting ions in real samples which can directly or indirectly effect recoveries of Cu and Pb ions onto PHB-b-PEG resin was also investigated. The results are presented in (Table 1). The tolerance limit could be interpreted as the ions levels present in the solution causing a relative deviation less than  $\pm$  5 % related to the sorption and desorption of both analytes. It was observed in this study that availability of foreign ions (anions / cations) normally present in water and food samples do not affects the % recoveries of lead and copper by applying recommended conditions. Tolerable levels of foreign ions were optimized and given in Table 1 for the recoveries above 95% of both analyte ions in matrix of real samples.

Table 1. Influences of some foreign ions on the recoveries of Pb and Cu  $(N{=}5).$ 

Ion	Added as	Concentration (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Cu	Pb
Na <sup>+</sup>	NaCI	6000	96±2	97±3
$\mathbf{K}^+$	KCl	1000	96±2	99±3
$Ca^{2+}$	$CaCl_2$	1000	95±3	96±3
$Mg^{2+}$	Mg(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	500	96±2	96±2
$Zn^{2+}$	$ZnSO_4$	100	98±2	99±3
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	50	97±2	96±2
I.	KI	4000	99±3	98±3
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Mg(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	2000	98±3	97±2
Cl	KCl	6000	96±2	96±2
$SO_4^{2-}$	$Na_2SO_4$	2000	98±3	95±2
PO4 <sup>3-</sup>	Na <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	2000	97±3	98±3

#### Adsorption capacity

To examine adsorption capacities of PHBb-PEG resin for two heavy metals, Cu and Pb a batch method was used. 0.1 g of PHB-b-PEG polymer was mixed with 50 mL of metal solution that contains 1.0 mg of metal ion having pH 7. Firstly solution was shaked for 1 h was carried out then filtration. After filtration 10 mL of upper part of solution was further diluted to a final volume of 100 mL and analysis was carried out by FAAS. The experiment was applied for both metal ions individually. Adsorption capacity onto PHB-b-PEG resin for was found Cu(II) 18.5 mg metal/g resin and for Pb(II) 19.4 mg metal/g resin, respectively.

#### Analytical performance

Linear range of the calibration curve, precision and limit of detection of studied analytes were investigated. Limits of detection of both metal ions (Pb and Cu) were studied under optimized experimental conditions using blank solutions. The limits of detection (LOD) for elements under investigation were based on 3 times standard deviations of blank (n = 12). LOD was found to be 0.36  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>for Cu and 1.93  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup>for Pb. The linear ranges were found to be 4-62 and 7-75  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for Cu and Pb, respectively. The linear equations along with regression  $(R^2)$  for are: A=0.0384C+0.0006 calibration curves  $(r^2=0.989)$  and A=0.0065C+0.0032  $(r^2=0.987)$  for Cu and Pb, respectively; where A: absorbance and C: concentration. The calibration curves for both analytes were drawn after setting parameters of Flame AAS; such as: slit width, lamp current, wavelength, and flow rate of oxidant fuel at an optimum level (Table 2). Statistics used for triplicate measurements of standard solution containing Pb and Cu ions. The RSD for AAS measurements for Cu and Pb ions are between 2% and 7% in the model solutions.

Table 2. FAAS instrumental conditions for determination of the analytes.

Element	Wavelength (nm)	Slit (nm)	Lamp current	Flow rate of oxidant and fuel		
			(mA)	Air (L/min)	Acetylene (L/min)	
Pb	283.3	0.7	30	2.0	17.0	
Cu	324.7	0.7	25	2.0	17.0	

For validation and accuracy of PHB-b-PEG resin various amounts of Pb and Cu ions were spiked in natural water samples. Results are shown in (Table 3). Closeness was observed in added and measured result for both analytes. The recoveries were found in range of 97-98%. The quantitative recoveries of analyte can be strongly proved that presented method is applicable for SPE of Pb and Cu ions in real samples.

Table 3. The results for addition/recovery for Pb and Cu determination in tap and BMW samples (Sample volume: 250 mL, final volume: 5 mL) (N=5).

Element	Added (µg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Тар	water	Bottled mineral water			
		Found (µg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Recovery (%)	Found (µg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Recovery (%)		
Cu	-	5.3±0.4*	-	BDL	-		
	10	15.2±0.9	99	9.6±0.4	96		
	20	24.9±1.1	98	19.4±0.9	97		
Pb	-	BDL	-	BDL	-		
	10	9.6±0.4	96	9.7±0.6	97		
	20	19.5±0.8	98	19.9±1.2	100		

standard deviation

The precision and accuracy of method was also checked by digested CRM's like: NIST SRM 1515 Apple leaves, IAEA-336 Lichen, GBW 07605 Tea. Obtained results are present in (Table 4), which shows that observed values of studied analytes are precise and accurate on comparison with certified values. This strongly indicates that presented polymer PHB-b-PEG is satisfactorily used for solid phase extraction method.

Table 4. The results for certified reference materials (CRM) for Pb and Cu (N=5).

	NIST SRM 1515 Apple leaves (µg g <sup>-1</sup> )		IAEA Licl (μg	A-336 hen g <sup>-1</sup> )	GBW-07605 Tea (μg g <sup>-1</sup> )	
Elem ent	Certified value	Our value	Certified value	Our value	Certified value	Our value
Cu	5.64	5.52± 0.39* (97.9%)**	3.55	3.49± 0.19 (98.3%)	17.3	$17.0\pm$ 0.95 (98.3%)
Pb	0.47	$0.46 \pm 0.05$ (97.9%)	5	4.89± 0.18 (97.8%)	4.4	4.29± 0.36 (97.5%)

\*Mean expressed as 95 % tolerance limit

\*\*(Percentage Recoveries)

#### Analysis of real samples

The proposed SPE method was used for different real food samples including; baby milk (six), baby fruits (four), Tea bags (two) and coffee (two) brands after digestion. Results are presented in (Table 5). Lead was found below detection limits in all studied water and food samples whereas Cu was present in studied food samples.

Table 5. Concentration of Pb and Cu in food samples after applying presented procedure (N=5).

Samples	Cu (μg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Pb (µg g <sup>-1</sup> )
Baby milk-1	3.65±0.31*	BDL
Baby milk-2	2.44±0.25	BDL
Baby milk-3	3.92±0.22	BDL
Baby milk-4	2.73±0.29	BDL
Baby milk-5	4.13±0.32	BDL
Baby milk-6	3.63±0.27	BDL
Baby fruit-1	6.11±0.35	BDL
Baby fruit-2	2.11±0.19	BDL
Baby fruit-3	4.35±0.15	BDL
Baby fruit-4	3.29±0.26	BDL
Tea bag -1	9.5±0.69	BDL
Tea bag -2	6.25±0.39	BDL
Coffee -1	3.67±0.15	BDL
Coffee -2	2.49±0.13	BDL

\*Mean expressed as 95 % tolerance limit, BDL: Below the detection limit

#### Conclusion

developed SPE The method was successfully applied for the analysis of water and food samples. This method was better than others because it is simple, economic, rapid and have low analysis cost. The PHB-b-PEG polymer was eluted for more than 250 times without any loss in sorption capacity; it can be reused multiple times. There is no matrix effect in this method and recoveries are quantitative for both analytes. The comparison between this method and others is given in (Table 6). The presented method have better selectivity, limit of detection, suitable pH, adsorption capacity, organic solvents free environment and high enrichment factor. The elution was done by using 1.0 mol 1<sup>-1</sup> HCI, and the both analyte ions in 250 mL solution are mL, concentrated to 5.0 representing an enrichment factor of 50 was achieved. The limits

of detection of analyte ions were found lower than those of SPE techniques [33-35]. The developed procedure is rapid in comparison with other

reported methods for preconcentration of traces metal ions.

System	Meth	Technique	Eluent	Ligand	pН	PF	LOD	RSD	Ref.
	ou/ eleme							(70)	
Activated carbon modified	nt SPE, Cu	FAAS	3.0 mol l <sup>-1</sup> HNO <sub>3</sub> in	DTO	5.5	33 0	0.50 μg l <sup>-1</sup>	Less than 2	(Ghaedi et al., 2007)
(rubeanic acid) (DTO),			accione						
MCI GEL CHP 20Y as sorbent	SPE, Cu/Pb	GFAAS	1 mol l <sup>-1</sup> HNO <sub>3</sub>	2-(2- quinolinilazo)- 4-methyl-1,3- dihydroxidobenz ene (QAMDHB)	8	30 0	1.0 ng L-1/1.3 ngl <sup>-1</sup>	Less than 3.2	(Yang et al., 2009)
Ionic imprinted polymer (IIP)	SPE, Cu/Pb	(a) ICP- OES/ (b) ICP-MS	2 mol l <sup>-1</sup> HNO <sub>3</sub>	8- hydroxyquinolin e, 8-HQ	8.5	10 0	(a) $0.15 / 0.18 \ \mu g \ l^{-1}$ (b) $0.0065 / 0.0040$	7/8	(Romaní et al., 2009)
Banana Peel	SPE, Cu/Pb	FAAS	1.0 mol L <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> HNO3		< 3	20	μgı		(Castro et al., 2011)
Multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs)	SPE, Cu/Pb	FAAS	$1.0 \text{ mol } l^{-1}$ HNO <sub>3</sub> in acetone		9	20	6.5μg l <sup>-1</sup> / 8μg l <sup>-1</sup>		(Ozcan et al., 2010)
Amberlite XAD-2010 resin	SPE, Cu/Pb	FAAS	$1.0 \text{ mol } l^{-1}$ HNO <sub>3</sub> in acetone	Sodium diethyldithiocarb amate (Na-DDTC)	6	10 0	0.12µg l <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> /0.26µg l <sup>-1</sup>	2.1/5. 1	(Duran et al., 2007)
Hollow fiber solid phase microextraction combined with differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry	SPE, Cu/Pb	(DPASV)			5	54 83	0.01-100 / 0.05- 500 ng m1 <sup>-1</sup>	less than 5	(Eshaghi et al., 2011)
Gallic acid-modified silica gel (GASG)	SPE, Cu/Pb	FAAS	0.05–5.0 M HCl		6– 10 / 3–7	20 0	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.86 & / \\ 0.58 & \mu g \\ L^{-1} \end{array}$	4.31 / 3.44	(Xie et al., 2008)
1- phenylthiosemicarbazide on Dowex Optipore L- 493 resin	SPE, Cu/Pb	FAAS	2 M HCl	1- phenylthiosemic arbazide	7	62. 5	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.64 & / \\ 0.55 & \mu g \\ L^{-1} \end{array}$	lower than 6	(Yıldız et al., 2011)
Multiwalled carbon nanotubes	SPE, Cu/Pb	FAAS	1.0 mol l <sup>-1</sup> HNO <sub>3</sub> in acetone	Ammonium pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (APDC)	2.0 - 6.0	80	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.30 & / \\ 0.60 & \mu g \\ L^{-1} \end{array}$	less than 5	(Tuzen et al., 2008)
Polychlorotrifluoroethyle ne (PCTFE) as sorbent material	SPE, Cu/Pb	FAAS	Isobutyl methyl ketone(IB MK)	Diethyldithiopho sphate (DDPA)	0.1 -2	25 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \ / \ 2.7 \\ \mu g \ L^{-1} \end{array}$	1.8 / 2.2	(Anthemidis et al., 2006)
surfactant-rich phase of octylphenoxypolyethoxy ethanol (Triton X-114), Cloud point extraction	Cu/Pb	FAAS		1- Phenylthiosemic arbazide (1-PTSC)	9	25	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.67 & / \\ 3.42 & \mu g \\ L^{-1} \end{array}$	1.7- 4.8	(Citak & Tuzen 2010)
Polyhydroxybutyrate-b- Poly (ethylene glycol) Block Copolymers	SPE, Cu/Pb	FAAS	1 M HCl	-	6	50	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.32 & / \\ 1.82 & \mu g \\ L^{-1} \end{array}$	Less than 6	Present work

Table 6. Comparative data from some recent SPE studies on p	preconcentration of Pb and Cu.
---	--------------------------------

LOD: limit of detection, PF: preconcentration factor

# Acknowledgments

Sham Kumar is thankful to TUBITAK-BIDEB, Ankara, Turkey, for sponsorship of this research project under Research Fellowship Program for Foreign Citizens (2216).

# References

- M. Soylak, S. Saracoglu, M. Tuzen and D. Mendil, *Food Chem.*, 92 (2005) 649.
- 2. X. Lin, X. Wu and Z. Xie, *Talanta.*, 74 (2008) 836.
- 3. M. Tuzen, S. Silici, D.Mendil and M. Soylak, *Food Chem.*, 103 (2007) 325.
- 4. M. Tuzen, E. Sesli and M. Soylak, Food Control., 18 (2007) 806.
- 5. N. Chu, C. Ding, and S.Fan, *Anal. Lett.*, 43 (2010) 335.
- M. B. Arain, T. G. Kazi, M. K. Jamali, H. I. Afridi, N. Jalbani, and J. A. Baig, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 154 (2008) 998.
- M. K. Jamali, T. G. Kazi, M. B. Arain, H. I. Afridi, N. Jalbani, A. R. Memon, *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, 193 (2007) 218.
- 8. M. Karve and R. V. Rajgor, J. Hazard. Mater., 141 (2007) 607.
- 9. R. K. Mahajan, T. P. S. Walia and K. Sumanjit, *J. Health Allied Sci.*, 4, (2005) 1.
- 10. I. Ascione, Arch Pediatr Urug., 72 (2001) 133.
- B. Volesky, in: B. Volesky (Ed.), Biosorption of Heavy Metals, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. (1990).
- 12. J. C. Merrill, J. J. P. Morton, S. D. Soileau, Principles and Methods of Toxicology, 5th ed., CRC Press. (2007).
- L. J. Casarett, C. D. Klaassen, J. Doull, Casarett and Doull's. Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons, 7th ed., McGraw-Hill. (2007).
- 14. F. Kabadayi and H. Cesur, *Environ. Monit.* Assess., 168 (2010) 241.
- 15. S. Saracoglu, M. Soylak and L. Elci, *Anal. Lett.*, 35 (2002) 1519.
- T. G. Kazi, S. Khan, J. A. Baig N. F. Kolachi, H. I. Afridi G. A. Kandhro, S. Kumar and A. Q. Shah, J. Hazard. Mater., 172 (2009) 780.

- 17. Z. Karim, M. Mumtaz, A. Siddique, S. Anwer, U. Zafar and A. Karim, *J. Saudi Chem. Soc.*, 12 (2008) 463.
- X. Chang, H. Luo, Y. Cui, X. Zhu, H. Zhai, Z. Hu and Q. He, J. Mol. Struc., 89 (2008) 45.
- C. Araneda, C. Fonseca, J. Sapag, C. Basualto, M. Yazdani-Pedram, K, Kondo, E. Kamio and F. Valenzuela, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 63 (2008) 517.
- 20. E. L. Silva and P. S. Roldan, J. Hazard. Mater., 161 (2009) 142.
- 21. Z. Sun and P. Liang, *Microchim. Acta.*, 162 (2008) 121.
- 22. M. Hosseini, N. Dalali, A. Karimi, *Turk. J. Chem.*, 34 (2010) 805.
- 23. M. Ghaedi, F. Ahmadi and M. Soylak, J. *Hazard. Mater.*, 147 (2007) 226.
- 24. N. Burham, S.M.A. Azeem and F. Shahat, Int. J. Environ. An. Ch., 88 (2008) 775.
- 25. M. H. Sorouraddin and M. Saadati, *B. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 81 (2008) 1103.
- 26. N. Pourreza, K. Ghanemi, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 161 (2009) 982.
- 27. D. Afzali and A. Mostafavi, *Can. J. Anal. Sci. Spect.*, 53 (2008) 82.
- 28. E. Taskin, B. Hazer, N. Besirli and G. Cavus, J. Macromol. Sci., Part A: Pure and Appl. Chem., 49 (2012) 164.
- B. Hazer, B.M. Baysal, A.G. Köseoğlu, N. Beşirli and E. Taşkın, *J. Polym. Environ.*, 20 (2012) 477.
- 30. U. Yildiz, O.F. Kemik, B. Hazer, J. Hazard. Mater., 183 (2010) 521.
- M. Tuzen, D. Citak, D. Mendil and M. Soylak, *Talanta.*, 78 (2009) 52.
- 32. G. Y. Yang, Q. F. H, Z. J. Huang and H. Y. Yin, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.*, 16 (2005) 1154.
- U. Divrikli, A. A. Kartal, M. Soylak, L. Elci, J. Hazard. Mater., 145 (2007) 459.
- M. R. Ganjali, L. H. Babaei, A. Badiei, K. Saberian, S. Behbahani, G. M. Ziarani and M. S. Niasari, *Quim. Nova.*, 29 (2006) 440.
- 35. M. Soylak, M. Tuzen, *Diaion, J. Hazard. Mater.*, 137 (2006) 1496.