# To Evaluate Preference of Parents Sending their Children to Public or Private Schools in District Karak

Shafqat Ullah<sup>\*</sup> and Iffat Ara Hussain<sup>\*\*</sup>

#### Abstract

The study focused on evaluating preference of parents sending their children to public or private schools in District Karak. Objective of the study was to find out parental preference for the areas of academic standard, quality of staff, physical facilities, curriculum, cost-effectiveness, and quality of output. All the parents whose children were studying in private or public schools in District Karak were included in the population. There are three tehsils in District Karak. A total of 900 parents (300 from each tehsil) were included in the sample. Data was collected through a selfdeveloped questionnaire. Content validity of the questionnaire was obtained through experts' judgement and reliability through Cronbach Alpha formula which was 0.75. The data was obtained in three categories, Public School, Private School, Don't know and was analyzed through statistical technique of Chi-square. Findings of the study were: parents of public schools' children favored public schools whereas parents of private schools' children favored private schools for areas of academic performance, quality of staff, commitment of staff, standard of curriculum, and confidence level of students; parents of both public and private schools' children favored public schools for teachers qualification, and physical facilities; parents of both public and private schools' children favored private schools for the area of expensiveness of education. Recommendations of the study were: the government may prepare fee and admission policy, and availability of physical facilities in private schools; the government may provide financial assistance to private schools for monthly salaries to teachers; modalities may be prepared to make teachers of public schools more punctual and regular.

Keywords: Public schools, private schools, parents' preference

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Ph.D. Scholar, Qurtuba University of Science and Information Technology, Peshawar. Email: qushafqat@gmail.com

<sup>\*\*</sup>Professor, Qurtuba University of Science and Information Technology, Peshawar.

### Introduction

There is a continuous trend of losing trust in public sector educational institutions by the public whether these institutions are of primary, secondary or higher level. At present education faces multi-dimensional challenges. These challenges range from poor management of allocating finances to declining output in the field of academic. The parents are really worried about the education of their children. They feel that educational institutions, as a whole, have ignored the basic responsibilities of schooling (Ajayi, 2000).

In these circumstances the education system has come to the level of collapse. A very poor infrastructure of education exists everywhere and the overall standard of educational facilities available in different institutions is generally un-satisfactory. The infrastructure of schools is poor, equipment is outdated, vehicles are un-serviceable, classrooms are overcrowded, man-power inadequate, academic calendar unstable and morale of the staff is low. All these factors present bleak picture of the situation (Okafor, Ogbe, Iheagwam, & Betiang, 2003).

The condition of the existing infrastructure of public educational institutions is very poor. Half-hearted efforts are being made for the improvement of this situation. It is obvious that parents are worried about the education of their children. They try to find out educational institution which may provide quality education to their children at whatever cost it may be? People feel that the standard of education generally determines success and failure in their lives. The opportunities in life of individual also depend on the quality of education which he has received. Therefore, parents are really worried and have a dream to provide quality education to their children (Okafor et al., 2003).

The private educational institutions are required to play their role in the above described situation. It may be mentioned that the Government alone cannot provide education facilities to all the people. In other areas e.g. electricity, roads, water and telecommunication, the government has also not been able to fulfill expectations of the public. So there remains trend in the policies of the government to join hands with private sector for provision of basic services to the masses. Although providing basic services is different from the provision of educational services.

Therefore, need was felt to carry out proper study on the preference of parents for private versus public schools in District Karak. A lot of educational institutions have been established in private sector and there is an increasing trend of competition between private and public sector schools in this area. Parents have been observed rushing towards different private schools for admission of their children. Focus of the study was to explore whether parents think that private schools provide quality education as compared to public schools. The study may help in finding out the areas of improvement which have made private schools more attractive for students and their parents.

## **Statement of the Problem**

It is the primary responsibility of the government to provide quality education to the masses. Although the public sector endeavors to provide educational facilities to all the citizens but it is not possible. No doubt the education today faces multi-dimensional challenges in different areas. So the situation demanded that private sector may share the responsibility of providing educational facilities to the people. Gradually it was realized that probably private educational institutions have started attracting people more as compared to public sector. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to Evaluate Preference of Parents Sending their Children to Public or Private Schools in District Karak, Khyber Pukhtunkhwa.

## **Objectives of the Study**

Following were the objectives of the study: -

- 1. To find out parental preference for academic standards of public versus private schools.
- 2. To explore parental preference for staff of public versus private schools.
- 3. To discover parental preference for physical facilities of public versus private schools.
- 4. To explore parental preference for curriculum of public versus private schools.
- 5. To find out parental preference for cost-effectiveness of public versus private schools.
- 6. To investigate parental preference for quality of output of public versus private schools.

## Hypotheses

Following were the Null Hypotheses:-

- Ho1: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of their academic performance.
- Ho 2: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of quality of staff.
- Ho3: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of availability of physical facilities.
- Ho4: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of quality of curriculum.
- Ho5: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of cost effectiveness.
- Ho6: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of quality of output.

# **Methods and Procedure**

The accessible population of the study consisted of all the parents whose children were studying in public or private school in District Karak. There are 66 Public and 50 Private High Schools in District Karak. There are three Tehsils in District Karak i.e. Karak, Takhte Nasrati and Banda Daud Shah. Cluster random sampling technique was used for the selection of sample. In cluster sampling intact groups, not individuals are randomly selected. In stage one, three public schools and three private schools were randomly selected from each Tehsil. In stage two, 50 students were randomly selected from private schools and 450 students from private schools were selected. The parents of all these 900 students were included in the sample.

The study was descriptive in nature. The data was collected through survey technique. A questionnaire was developed for getting Parental Preference for Public versus Private Schools (PPPPS) and administered to the sample. The opinion of the parents was obtained in different areas such as academic performance, quality of staff, physical facilities, quality of curriculum, cost effectiveness, and quality of output. These areas were further broken into specific research questions. The questionnaire included 24 closed ended questions and one open ended question.

# **Data Analysis**

The data was obtained and tabulated into three response categories i.e. Public School, Private School, and Don't Know. Since it was categorical data therefore it was analyzed on the basis of Chi- square.

# Findings

On the basis of analysis of data following findings were obtained:-

Ho1: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of their academic performance.

Table 1

Frequency of Parents Favoring Public or Private Schools in Area of Academic Performance

S. Aron		Catagory	Response of Parents			• · <sup>2</sup>	Р
No	Area	Category	Public	Private	UD	$-\chi^2$	r
1	Academic	Parents of Public School	387	61	2	5126	.000
	Performance	Parents of Private School	50	397	3	512.6	.000
2	External Exam	Parents of Public School	232	207	11	169.3	.000
	External Exam	Parents of Private School	56	391	3		.000
3	Preparation for	Parents of Public School	317	121	12	305.5	.000
	Higher Education	Parents of Private School	60	381	9	505.5	
4	Oral & Written	Parents of Public School	282	152	16	2234	.000
	communication	Parents of Private School	69	368	13	223.4	.000
NT	000 - 107						

N = 900, p < .05, df = 2

The table indicates that the parents were asked four questions in the area of academic performance. The table shows that p value (.000) is less than .05, level of significance. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected. It means that parents whose children study in public schools favored public schools and parents whose children study in private schools favored private schools for academic performance, performance of students in external examination, preparation of students for higher Education, and preparation of students for oral and written communication.

Ho 2: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of quality of staff.

S.	Area	Category	Response of Parents			$\chi^2$	Р
No			Public	Private	UD	-	
1	Quality of Faculty	Parents of Public School	414	30	6	228.0	.000
		Parents of Private School	206	233	11		
2	Qualification of Faculty	Parents of Public School	375	61	14	56.1	.000
		Parents of Private School	272	146	32		
3	Teachers' Commitment	Parents of Public School	401	37	12	224.7	.000
		Parents of Private School	191	227	32		
4	Teachers' Effectiveness	Parents of Public School	373	62	15	238.5	.000
		Parents of Private School	148	272	30		

Table 2

Frequency of Parents Favoring Public or Private Schools in Area of Quality of Staff

N = 900, p < .05, df = 2

The table indicates that the parents were asked four questions in the area of quality of staff. The table shows that in these areas p value (.000) is less than .05, level of significance. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected. It means that parents whose children study in public schools favored public schools and parents whose children study in private schools favored private schools for quality of faculty, teachers' commitments, teachers' effectiveness. Parents of both streams of students favored public schools for qualification of teachers.

Ho3: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of availability of physical facilities.

Table 3

Encaucie on of Danonts Equani	na Dublia an Drivata	Cohools in Area of D	mainal Facilitian
Frequency of Parents Favori	יוס במטווכ טו ברועמופ א	schools in Area of Fr	iysicai Facililles

S.	Area	Category		Response of Parents			Р
No	Alta	Calegory	Public	Private	UD	$\chi^2$	1
1	Physical facilities	Parents of Public School	375	68	7	117.70	.000
		Parents of Private School	221	213	16		
2	Conducive environment	Parents of Public School	324	111	15	133.70	.000
2		Parents of Private School	161	281	8		
3	Library facilities	Parents of Public School	374	60	16	95.81	.000
5	Library facilities	Parents of Private School	244	187	19		.000
4	Laboratory facilities	Parents of Public School	399	37	14	20.56	.000
		Parents of Private School	334	95	21	30.56	.000
N -	$900 \ p < 05 \ df - 2$						

N = 900, p < .05, df = 2

The table indicates that the parents were asked four questions in the area of availability of physical facilities. The table shows that in these areas p value (.000) is less than .05, level of significance. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected. It means that the parents whose children study in public schools favored public schools and parents whose children study in private schools favored private schools for area of conducive environment. Parents of both streams of students viewed that physical, library, laboratory facilities are better in public schools as compared to private schools.

Ho4: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of quality of curriculum.

Table 4

Frequency of Parents Favoring Public or Private Schools in Area of Quality of Curriculum

S.	Area	Category	Response of Parents			w <sup>2</sup>	Р
No			Public	Private	UD	$-\chi^2$	Г
1	Curriculum	Parents of Public School	354	88	8	69.95	.000
		Parents of Private School	238	184	28		
2	Curriculum	Parents of Public School	311	120	19	167.31	.000
2	implementation	Parents of Private School	118	300	32		.000
3	Student Centered	Parents of Public School	311	113	26	155.10	.000
	Curriculum	Parents of Private School	125	278	47	155.10	.000
4	Co-curricular	Parents of Public School	335	90	25	89.90	.000
	activities	Parents of Private School	197	215	38	89.90	.000

N = 900, p< .05, df = 2

The table indicates that the parents were asked four questions in the area of quality of curriculum. The table shows that in these areas p value (.000) is less than .05, level of significance. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected. It means that the parents whose children study in public schools favored public schools and parents whose children study in private schools favored private schools for areas of curriculum implementation, student-centered curriculum and co-curricular activities. Parents of both streams of students viewed that curriculum of public schools is better than private schools.

Ho5: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of cost effectiveness.

S.	Area	ea Category	Response of Parents			~ <sup>2</sup>	Р
No			Public	Private	UD	$\chi^2$	ſ
1	Financial assistance	Parents of Public School	395	44	11	57.90	.000
		Parents of Private School	298	133	19		
2	Use of resources	Parents of Public School	291	140	19	45.70	.000
		Parents of Private School	190	232	28		
2	Drafit Orientation	Parents of Public School	208	223	19	01 55	000
3	Profit Orientation	Parents of Private School	75	344	31	91.55	.000
4	Expensiveness	Parents of Public School	86	360	4	2676	000
		Parents of Private School	39	395	16	26.76	.000

Table 5

Frequency of Parents Favoring Public or Private Schools in Area of Cost Effectiveness

N = 900, p < .05, df = 2

The table indicates that the parents were asked four questions in the area of cost effectiveness. The table shows that in these areas p value (.000) is less than .05, level of significance. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected. It means that the parents of both streams of schools viewed that public schools are given more financial assistance. On the other hand, private schools are more profit oriented and more expensive. Yet parents of public schools' children favored public schools and parents of private schools' children favored public schools and parents of private schools' children favored public schools and parents of private schools' children favored public schools and parents of private schools' children favored public schools and parents of private schools' children favored public schools are schools and parents of private schools' children favored public schools and parents of private schools' children favored public schools and parents of private schools' children favored public schools and parents of private schools' children favored private schools for efficient use of resources.

Ho6: There is no significant difference in the frequency of parents favoring public schools or private schools in the area of quality of output.

Table 6Frequency of Parents Favoring Public or Private Schools in Area of Quality of Output

S.	A #00	Catagory	Response of Parents			or <sup>2</sup>	Р
No	Area	a Category		Private	UD	$-\chi^2$	r
1	Higher academic quality	Parents of Public School	324	108	18	314.78	.000
		Parents of Private School	13	371	12		
2	Chance of employment	Parents of Public School	297	114	39	170.68	.000
		Parents of Private School	109	300	41		
2		Parents of Public School	299	120	31	102 10	.000
3	Social skills	Parents of Private School	103	315	32	183.10	.000
4	Confidence	Parents of Public School	317	117	16	298.36	.000
		Parents of Private School	64	368	18		.000

N = 900, p< .05, df = 2

The table indicates that the parents were asked four questions in the area of quality of output. The table shows that in these areas p value (.000) is less than .05, level of significance. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected. It means that parents whose children study in public schools favored public schools and parents whose children study in private schools favored private schools for areas of academic quality, chance of getting employment, social skills, and confidence level.

## Discussion

Analysis of the data revealed that parents of public schools' children favored public schools whereas parents of private schools' favored private schools for the areas of academic performance, preparation for higher education, preparation of students for oral and written communication, quality of staff, commitment of teachers, teachers effectiveness, conducive environment for teaching and learning, quality of curriculum, co-curricular activities, better chance of getting employment, better social skills and confidence level of graduates.

Another finding of the study was that parents of both public and private schools' children favored public schools for the areas of teachers' qualification, availability of physical facilities, libraries facilities, and lab facilities. This finding provides very interesting insight. The parents of private schools' children feel that public schools are better than the private schools in these areas but still they have opted for admitting their children in private schools. This indicates that although public schools have more facilities as compared to private schools but they do not utilize it effectively. Therefore, there is lack of trust in public schools on the parts of parents.

Third finding of the study was that parents of both public and private schools' children admitted that education in private schools is expensive. They admit that private schools are profit oriented and expensive as compared to public schools but still opt for admission in private schools. One possible answer to this trend may be that despite expensiveness of private schools, parents prefer it for the efficient use of resources, preparation of students for oral and written communication and performance of students in external examination.

These findings are quite in line with the findings of the study of Adebayo (2009), the study of Osman, et al (2014), the study of Iqbal (2012), the study of Zia & Awan (2015), and the study of Almani, et al. (2012).

### Conclusions

On the basis of analysis of data and findings of study, following conclusions were drawn:

The parents of public schools' children favored public schools and parents of private schools' children favored private schools for the areas of academic performance, external examination, higher education, oral and written communication, quality of faculty, commitment of faculty, teachers' effectiveness, conducive environment, curriculum implementation, student-centered curriculum, co-curricular activities, use of resources, chance of getting employment, social skills and confidence of students.

The parents of both public and private school's children favored public schools for areas of qualification of faculty, physical, library, and laboratory facilities, standard of curriculum and financial assistance to schools.

The parents of both public and private school's children favored private schools for areas of expensiveness of education and profit orientation.

### Recommendations

On the basis of findings and conclusions, following recommendations were offered.

The parents of public and private schools opined that education in private schools is expensive. It is therefore recommended that the Government may frame some standard policy regarding the fee and other charges of the private schools.

The parents viewed that public schools have better physical, library and laboratory facilities. It is therefore recommended that private schools may be instructed to make these facilities available while granting them registration.

The parents of both public and private school's children gave their opinion that teaching staff of public schools is more qualified. It is therefore recommended that some policy may be prepared for the selection of teachers for private school. There may be minimum qualification level for the teachers of private schools.

The parents of both public and private school's children opined that more financial assistance is given to public schools. It is therefore recommended that the government may provide financial assistance to private schools for the monthly salary to teaching staff.

The parents of private school's children viewed that teachers of private schools are more committed and more effective. It is therefore recommended that public schools Principals may be given in-service management training.

The parents of private school's children viewed that students of private schools are more confident. It indicates that teachers of public schools display autocratic behavior in classroom which has adverse effect on confidence level of students. It is therefore, recommended that teachers of public schools may be guided to create democratic environment in the classroom.

### References

- Almani, A. S., Soomro, B., & Abro, A. D.(2012). Evaluative study of private schools of Pakistan: A survey of Sindh. *Indus Journal of Management & Social Sciences*, 6(2), 91-98.
- Alsaudi, F. A. (2015). Study of factors affecting parental choice of private and public school in Riyadh City, Saudi Arabia (Published Ph D dissertation). Department of Education Studies, The University of Hull, Riyadh. Saudi Arabia.
- Ajayi, A. (2000). Cost and quality of secondary education in Ado-Ekiti local government area of Ekiti State. *Journal of Education Foundations and Management*, 2, 12-18.
- Adebayo, F. A. (2009). Parents' preference for private secondary schools in Nigeria. *International Journal of Education Science*, 1(1), 1-6.
- Alderman, H., Orazem, P. F., Paterno & Elizabeth. M. (2001). School quality, school cost, and the public/private school choices of low-income households in Pakistan. *Journal of Human Resources*, 36, 304-326.
- Khan, R. E. A., & Ali, K. (2002). Private schooling-a quality puzzle. *The Lahore Journal* of *Economics*, 7(2), 41-68.

- Davis, A. M. (2011). Why do parents choose to send their children to private schools? Georgia Southern University (Published PhD dissertation). Georgia Southern University, Georgia.
- Government of Pakistan. (1972). *The National Education Policy (1972-80)*. Ministry of Education, Islamabad. Pakistan.
- Government of Pakistan. (1979). *National Education Policy and Implementation Programme*. Ministry of Education, Islamabad. Pakistan.
- Government of Pakistan. (1992). *National Education Policy 1992*. Ministry of Education, Islamabad. Pakistan.
- Government of Pakistan. (1998). *National Education Policy (1998-2010)*: Ministry of Education, Islamabad.
- Government of Pakistan. (2002). *Education Sector Reforms: Action Plan 2001-2004*. Ministry of Education. Islamabad. Pakistan.
- Government of Pakistan. (2009). *National Education Policy 2009*. Ministry of Education, Islamabad. Pakistan.
- Iqbal, M. (2012). Comparative study on public vs private secondary schools: Qualitative comparison. Journal of Research and Reflection in Education, Lahore, 6(1), 40-49.
- Osman, M. M., Bachok, S., & Yaacob, N. A. (2014). Factors influencing parents' decision in choosing private schools. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 153, 242-253.
- Okafor, C., Ugbe, L., Iheagwam, A., & Betiang P. (2003). A course book on history of education in Nigeria. Abuja Hil-alex Ventures.
- Shami, P. A., & Hussain, K. S. (2007). *Education in Pakistan: Role of private sector*. *AEPAM*. Ministry of Education, Islamabad.
- Trevino, G. (2015). *The effects of private and public schools on children's academic achievement in basic education in Mexico* (Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation).
- Zia, A., & Awan, A. G. (2015). Comparative analysis of public and private educational institutions: A case study of district Vehari-Pakistan. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(16), 122-130.