THE STUDY OF THE EFFECTS OF ANTIPLATELET DRUGS ON HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS: PRESCRIPTION ANALYSIS STUDY

SHAGUFTA NESAR¹, MUHAMMAD HARRIS SHOIB², KIRAN RAFIQ³, ARFA AKRAM⁴ AND TAHMINA MAQBOOL¹

Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Hamdard University. Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Karachi. Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Jinnah Sindh Medical University Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Federal Urdu University of Arts Science & Technology.

خلاصهر

دیگر ادویات کے ساتھ interaction دواءوں کا استعمال کرنے والے مریضوں میں تنظیمی کی غلطیوں کو سمجھنااور اس کے نیتے میں فشار خون نے مریضوں میں مکلہ ادویات کا دادویات کے ساتھ ادویات کے ساتھ ادویات کے تسخوں میں غلطیاں نہ مریک ساتھ مالک میں بھی بیاری اور ہیتال میں داخلہ کا عاصلہ بہت و سیتھ ہے اس کے ساتھ ادویات کے نسخوں میں غلطیاں نہ مریک ہیں تعنی بیل میں بھی بیل اور پیتال میں داخلہ کا عاصہ برای کی ہیں۔ مرف لیسماندہ بلکہ ترقی یافتہ ممالک میں بھی بیل یہ بھی بیل اور اسی کا تو یہ کا کو کم کرنے کے لیئے تو جو مرکوز کرنا ہے بلکہ اگر ان کو نظر انداز کیا جائے تو مملہ طور پر بیہ محک بیل میں بھی بیلوں کو کم کرنے کے لیئے توجہ مرکوز کرنا ہے بلکہ اگر ان کو نظر انداز کیا جائے تو مملہ طور پر بیہ معنی من میں غلطیاں نہ من کی منہ میں منظوں کو کم کرنے کے لیئے توجہ مرکوز کرنا ہے بلکہ اگر ان کو نظر انداز کیا جائے تو مملہ طور پر بیہ معاللہ کو منہ کی تعنی کتی ہیں۔ من گل اثرات اور مداخلت کا بعث بن سکتی بیل اور 1990 کو تو تو محلف میں معالیوں اور کلینگ میں معاون پر کیا گیا ہے جن کے نسخوں میں فضار خون کی اودیات کے ساتھ اسیرین اور کا شیخ مطالعہ کو کر اپنی کے علف سیتوالوں اور کلینگ میں العاد میں معاطیوں پر کیا گیا ہے جن کے نسخوں میں فضار خون کی اودیات کے ساتھ اسیرین اور 2001 کو طلبہ کی تعلف سیتوالوں اور کلینگ میں العاد میں میں کی گیا ہے جن کے نسخوں میں فضار خون کی اودیا ہے ساتھ اسیرین موجودوہ مطالعہ میں 112 دواء ذکل تو اور المان تو نہ میں میں کی گیا ہے جن کے نسخوں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں موجودوہ مطالعہ میں 112 دواء ذکل کو تو کار تو تیں میں کی گیا ہے جس سے اندادہ کی لیکا ہے۔ موجودہ مطالعہ میں 2011 دواء ذکل میں اور 100 نسخوں میں کی گیا ہے جس سے اندادہ کی نیک کی تو میں میں میں تعودہ می دواء نیوں کی تعلقہ میں 2011 دواء کی مربھاں میں میں کی گیا ہے جس سے اندادہ کی مربع کی کی کی کی کی میں میں کو دواء تو پر تو دول میں سی سی میں در میں کی رہے گو میں کی تو تو 100 نسوں میں کی گیا ہے جس سے نیادہ غلط میں میں میں میں کی میں میں میں ہوں کو میں میں کی کو دواء تو پر کی تعد دواء کو دواء تو پر کی کی میں تو میں کی میں کی میں کی میں میں میں میں میں دواء کی کی می تو میں میں دیکر میں کی مول ہیں کی میں کی میں کی می

Abstract

The aim of the present study is to assess the prescribing errors inhypertensive outpatients taking Antiplatelet drugswith other drugs and consequently the frequency and pattern of potential drug-drug interactions. A retrospective study was conducted from December 2017- May 2018. The data analysis was carried out by SPSS. Potential drug-drug interactions were determined by Micromedex 2. Severity of errors was evaluated according to NCCMERP (National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention). In current study 1142 medication errors (MEs) were trailed in 300 prescriptions. The utmost ubiquitous ME was the patient's weight not mentioned in (95.3%) prescriptions continued by drug-drug interactions (95%). Diagnosis was missing in (73.3%) prescriptions. The average number of drugs per encounter was 5.64. According to NCCMERP highest number of the prescriptions (n=193, 64.33%) were fall in category D, followed by category C and I. Out of 300 prescriptions, majority of thedrug-druginteractions (88.33%) were moderate, (56%) minor and major drug-drug interactions were present in (11%) prescriptions. The most common drugs responsible for DDIs were Acetyl salicylic acid followed by Atenolol. The most commonly interacting pairs were Acetyl salicylic acid-Clopidogrel168(56%) followed by Acetyl salicylic acid-Captopril68(22.66%) and Acetyl salicylic acid-Nitroglycerine38(12.66). The study indicates the serious deficient of prescription writing skills among the doctors and their outcomes are responsible to promote the irrational use of drugs, high chances of drug-drug interactions and low patient compliance.

Keywords: Prescribing errors, Drug-drug interaction, Micromedex, NCCMERP, Hypertensive, Antiplatelet.

Introduction

Medication errors are the most pervasive type of medical error, and cardiovascular drugs prescribed to hospitalized patients report for maximum number of these errors. A substantial body of evidence confirms the risk resulting from ME(Bates et al., 1995, Bates et al., 1993, Leape, 1995). Prescription is a written order by physician, consultant or dentist for the treatment of a disease. Prescription error is described as "negligence in the prescription writing practice that lead to adverse effects and potential drug-drug interactions". Prescribing errors includes selection of wrong drug, dose and route of administration (Bootmanet al., 2006, Keerset al., 2013). Although the importance of good quality prescriptions has been approved worldwide but even the incidence of prescribing error is very high both in developed and underdeveloped countries (Blatt et al., 1997, Francois et al., 1997). According to researchphysicians, consultant, pharmaceutical companies and health professionals are relatively answerable for the irrational prescribing patterns (Fretheim, 2003).Due to frequent use of drugs possibility of human errors increase the frequency and risk of adverse events. DDIs may be responsible for severe adverse drug events and harmful results leading to patient morbidity and hospitalization. Different studies have reported that approximately 3% of hospital admissions are caused by DDIs (Jankel and Fitterman, 1993, McDonnell and Jacobs, 2002, Peyriereet al., 2002). The most of the drug- drug interactions occur due to irrelevant consideration of prescriber or because of lack of knowledge of prescription writing practices(Askari et al., 2012, Ko et al 2008). Patients with hypertension are particularly vulnerable to DDIs due to their advanced age, gender, polypharmacy, increasing length of hospital stay, and the influence of heart disease on drug metabolism. The DDIs potential for a particular anti-hypertensive drug varies with the individual, the disease being treated, and the extent of exposure to other drugs. The present study was designed to assess the incidence and pattern of clinically significant DDIs in hospitalized hypertensive patients at a superspeciality hospital, with the assessment of reaction characteristics, outcome and management.

Materials and Methods

The present retrospective study was conducted from December 2017 to May 2018 at superspeciality hospitals and clinics of Karachi, Pakistan after taking due permission from Ethical Review Board, Hamdard University, Karachi.

Data Manipulation: The stages of data manipulation were data collection, data analysis and evaluation of prescription errors.

Inclusion and Exclusion Study Criteria

Hypertensive patients of either sex attending outpatient in hospitals and in clinics receiving Antiplatelet drugs with other drugs. Patients and their caregiver who were not interested to participate in the present study and those who visit in-patient unit were also excluded.

Collection of Data: All data were collected from superspeciality hospitalsand clinics. Out of 365collected prescription order 300 were selected. The prescriptions that were not clearly written and did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded from the study. Demographic details, diagnosis, medication history and other information's were collected from patient's prescription and case record sheath.

Data Analysis: After collection of prescriptions they were analyzed and evaluated for the presence of essential elements of the prescription order (Lofholm and Katzung, 2001, BNF, 2000). A complete prescription encounter should contain patient's age, gender, weight and height. Non-proprietary and brand name of drug, medication strength, type of dosage form, amount to be dispensed in metric units, route of administration, duration of therapy, drug dosage, medication purposes and number of authorized refill if any (Smith and Enright, 2005).

Evaluation of Prescriptions: Prescriptions were evaluated for the presence or absence of medication errors. In current study prescription orders were also observed for drug-drug interactions by using Micromedex electronic database system. Micromedex contains a separate section on DDIs known as the Drug-REAX System. On entering the drugs one by one, the program lists the possible DDIs and categorizes DDIs according to their interaction effect, severity and grouped into minor, moderate and major drug-drug interactions (Micromedex, 2007).

According to NCCMERP Index medication errors were categorized (NCCMERP, 2001). NCC MERP index comprises nine discrete categories ranging from A (circumstances or events that have the capacity to cause error) to I (an error occurred that resulted in the patient's death). Binary logistic regression analysis and Odds ratio were performed to determine the incidence of drug-drug interactions and their correlation with polypharmacy.

Results

In present study 1142 medication errors were indentified in 300 prescriptions. In maximum number of prescriptions (95.3%, n=286) patient's weight was not cited, followed by drug-drug interactions (95%, n=284) and patient's diagnosis (73.3%, n=220) presented in Table 1. In all selected prescriptions average number of drugs per prescription was 5.64. In maximum number of prescriptions 3 drugs were prescribed. Five drugs were prescribed in (24.66%) prescriptions and then four and six drugs were in (23%) and (21.33%) prescriptions, respectively, Fig.1. Pearson correlation and Binary logistic regression were performed to determine the incidence of drug-drug interactions and their correlation with number of drugs. Significant correlation was observed (χ^2 =17.62, p=0.0001) for those prescription orders having more than 5 drugs, Fig.2. This reveals that the errors like drug-drug interactions were significantly grow with increase in number of drugs per prescription.

NCC MERP adopted a Medication Error Index that classifies an error according to the severity of the outcome. In accordance with the NCCMERP Index, out of the three hundred prescriptions, only (11.66%, n=35) prescriptions were placed in most severe category I. Maximum number of prescriptions (64.33%, n=193) were placed in category D, and in category B smallest number of prescriptions (1.6%, n=5) were found, Fig.3.

This study indicates that drug-drug interactions were significantly increased with prescriptions having more than 5 drugs. All drug-drug interactions were grouped according to Micromedex. Micromedex is an online evidence-based database that includes "in-line" referenced information about drugs, toxicology, diseases, acute care, and alternative medicine for healthcare professionals.

In current study according to Micromedex most of drug-drug interactions (88.33%, n=265) were moderate, some (11%, n=33) were major and (56%, n=168) were minor drug-drug interactions, Fig.4.

The most common drugs responsible for potential DDIs were Acetyl salicylic acid followed by Atenolol. The most commonly interacting drug pairs were Acetyl salicylic acid-Clopidogrel 168(56%) followed by Acetyl salicylic acid-Captopril 68(22.66%) and Acetyl salicylic acid-Nitroglycerine 38(12.66) presented in Table 2. This study indicates the serious deficient of prescription writing skills among the doctors and their outcomes are responsible to promote the irrational use of drugs, prescribing errors and high chances of drug-drug interactions (DDIs) due to polypharmacy and low patient compliance.

Discussion

Hypertension is the major chronic health issue in developed and underdeveloped countries leading to high mortality and morbidity rate worldwide (Collins et al., 1990, Hansson, 1996). It is a salient risk factor for cardiovascular diseases e.g. stroke, heart failure, angina, myocardial infarction (Neal et al., 2000). Medication errors are frequently found in the prescribing patterns of hypertensive patients and those results in high damage and sometimes death. At least 1.5 million people are victimized every year due to unprofessional behavior (Institute of Medicine, 2007). In Pakistani population cardiovascular diseases is one of the serious problem due to hypertension but a better management of the risk factors can reduce the mortality rate (http://www. Dailytimes.com.pk). In present study, out of three hundred prescriptions 62.73%, 95.3% and 49.3% prescriptions were not mention the patient's age, weight and gender, respectively. Similar results were reported from other studies (Nesar et al., 2014, Nesar et al., 2015). During the present study it was also noted that error of omission was found in 0.7% prescriptions. Other investigator also reported this type of omission of drug/dose and dosage form errors (Poteet al., 2007). In the present study wrong strength of drug was found in 2% prescriptions and diagnosis of patient was missing in 73.3% prescriptions contributing to the third most frequent ME. Previously other study also reported that 69.58% prescriptions were missing the patient's diagnosis (Ghotoet al., 2013). Average number of drugs per prescription was 5.64. Similar result was also reported from another study (Nesar et al., 2018). Five drugs were prescribed in (24.66%) prescriptions and then four and six drugs were in (23%) and (21.33%) prescriptions, respectively.

Pearson correlation and binary logistic regression was applied to analyze prescription for DDIs and found that prevalence of DDIs is remarkably amplified as there is an increase in number of drugs per prescription $((\chi^2=17.62, p=0.0001^*))$. This indicates that the errors like drug-drug interactions were significantly grow with increase in number of drugs per prescription. Prescriptions containing ≥ 5 drugs increase the odds ratio by 8.514. It means the prescriptions containing more than 5 drugs are 8.5 times more prone to DDI than the prescriptions containing less than 5 drugs.

The medication errors analyzed during the present study were also classified on the basis of NCCMERP category. Most of the prescriptions (n=193, 64.33%) were fall in category D, followed by category "C" in which (50, 16.66%) prescriptions were fall. Minimum number of prescriptions (n=35, 11.66%) were categorized in most severe class I.

For classification of drug-drug interaction all prescriptions were analyzed according to Micromedex.88.33% drug-drug interactions were moderate and 56% minor drug-drug interactions. Major drug-drug interactions were present in (11%, n=33) prescriptions. The observations of present study identified that



Fig.1. Prescriptions having different drugs prescribed with Antiplatelet



Fig.2. Prescription classified according to number of drugs* drug interaction by SPSS



Fig.3. Severity index of 300 prescriptions of Antiplatelet according to NCCMERP



Fig.4. Severity of drug-drug interactions according to Micromedex

acetyl salicylic acid and Clopidogrel are the most commonly prescribed antiplatelet drugs among hypertensive patients. Similar study was carried out in 2011 related to the medication errors in hypertensive and diabetic patient prescriptions (Khalid*et al.*, 2011). Other study also reported potential drug-drug interactions in 80.4% of the prescriptions (Nesar *et al.*, 2015). The current study was planned to evaluate the medication errors and potential DDIs among medication prescribed to hypertensive patients. The studies like the present are extremely significant for analyzing the erroneous prescribing pattern and rational use of drugs.

Table 1:Medication errors in Antiplatelet prescriptions Number of prescriptions (n=300)

Name of Errors	Incidence of error N (%) ^a
Ambiguous medication order	7(2.3)
Patient age not given	188(62.73)
Patient weight not given	286(95.3)
Patient sex not given	148(49.3)
Mis-spelling of medicine	1(0.33)
Error of Omission	2(0.7)
Writing wrong strength or no strength of medicine	6(2)
Drug-Drug interaction	284(95)
Missing diagnosis	220(73.3)
	•

 a^{a} = Number and percentages of prescriptions having medication error.

Errors, which were 0%, are not mentioned.

Table 2: Five most Common Drug combinations having Potential Drug-Drug Interactions

S.No	Drug combination	N (%)
1	Acetyl salicylic acid+ Clopidogrel	168(56)
2	Acetyl salicylic acid + Nitroglycerine	38(12.66)
3	Acetyl salicylic acid+ Captopril	68(22.66)
4	Atenolol + Metformin	8(2.66)
5	Acetyl salicylic acid+ Furosemide	35(11.66)

Conclusion

The present study report some deficiencies in the quality of prescription writing skills and common prescribing errors in outpatient settings in Karachi, Pakistan. Prevalence of potential drug-drug interaction is high in hypertensivepatients due to polypharmacy. The results showed alarming situation that needs an urgent and firm health-care facility in order to develop system to make prescribers alert about interactions, clinically relevant. Furthermore the implementation of electronic prescribing should be made possible to avoid errors during medication cycle.

References

- Askari, M., Eslami, S., Louws, M., Dongelmans, D., Wierenga, P., Kuiper, R. and Abu-Hanna, A. (2012). Relevance of drug-drug interaction in the ICU - perceptions of intensivists and pharmacists. *Stud Health Technol Inform.* 180:716–720.
- Aspden, P., Wolcott, J., Bootman, J.L. and Cronenwett, L.R. Institute of Medicine, (2007). Committee on Identifying and Preventing Medication Errors, Preventing Medication Errors. *National Academies Press*, 124-25.
- Bates, D.W., Leape, L.L. and Petrycki, S. (1993). Incidence and preventability of adverse drug events in hospitalized adults. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 8(6):289–294.
- Bates, D.W., Cullen, D.J., Laird, N., Petersen, L.A., Small, S.D., Servi, D., Laffel, G., Sweitzer, B.J., Shea, B.F. and Hallisey R et al. (1995). Incidence of adverse drug events and potential adverse drug events: implications for prevention ADE Prevention Study Group. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 274(1):29–34.
- Blatt, A., Chamban, R. and Lemardeley, P. (1997). Format and costs of prescriptions at the Central Hospital in Yaounde, Cameroon. *Medecine tropicale*. 57(1):37–40.
- BNF. (2000). Prescription Writing, British National Formulary. British Medical Association and Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, London.

- Bootman, J.L., Wolcott, J., Aspden, P. and Cronenwett, L.R. (2006). Preventing medication errors: Quality Chasm Series. Danvers, USA: *National Academies Press*.
- Collins, R., Peto, R., MacMahon, S., Hebert, P., Fiebach, N.H., Eberlein, K.A., Godwin, J., Qizilbash, N., Taylor, J.O. and Hennekens, C.H. (1990). Blood pressure, stroke and coronary heart disease. Short-term reductions in blood pressure: overview of randomized drug trial in their epidemiological context. Lancet. 335(8693):827-38.
- Francois, P., Chirpaz, E., Bontemps, H., Labarère, J., Bosson, J.L. and Calop, J. (1997). Evaluation of prescripttion-writing quality in a French university hospital. *Clin Perform Qual Health Care*. 5(3):111-5.
- Fretheim, A. (2003). Back to Thiazide-Diuretics for Hypertension: Reflections after a Decade of Irrational Prescribing. *BMC Fam. Pract.* 23, 4: 19.
- Ghoto, M. A., Dayo, A., Akram, M., Surehyani, I. and Ali, A. (2013). Identification of errors in antibiotics prescriptions and prescription writing trend in areas of Hyderabad, Sind, Pakistan. *AJPP* 7, 1009-1014.
- Hansson, L. (1996). The benefits of lowering elevated blood pressure: a critical review of studies of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in hypertension. J Hypertens. 14:537-44.
- http://www. dailytimes.com.pk.September 17, (2012).
- Jankel, C.A. and Fitterman, L.K. (1993). Epidemiology of drug-drug interactions as a cause of hospital admissions. *Drug Saf.* 9:51–59.
- Keers, R.N., Williams, S.D., Cooke, J. and Ashcroft, D.M. (2013). Prevalence and nature of medication administration errors in health care settings: A systematic review of direct observational evidence. Ann Pharmacother. 47:237-56.
- Khalid, A. J. Al-Khaja., Reginald, P. Sequeira. and H. Damanhori. (2011). Medication prescribing errors pertaining to cardiovascular/antidiabetic medications: a prescription audit in primary care. *Fundam Clin Pharm* 26, 410-7.
- Ko, Y., Malone, D.C., Skrepnek, G. H., Armstrong, E. P., Murphy, J.E., Abarca, J., Rehfeld, R.A., Reel, S.J. and Woosley, R.L. (2008). Prescribers' knowledge of and sources of information for potential drug-drug interactions: a postal survey of US prescribers. *Drug Saf.* 31:525–536.
- Leape, L.L. (1995). Preventing adverse drug events. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*. 52(4):379–382.
- Lofholm, P.W. and Katzung, B.G. (2001). Rational prescribing and prescription writing. In: Katzung BG, editor. Basic and clinical pharmacology. 8th edition. New York: McGraw-Hill. 1104-12.
- McDonnell, P.J. and Jacobs, M.R. (2002). Hospital admissions resulting from preventable adverse drug reactions. *Ann Pharmacother*. 36:1331–1336.
- Micromedex® Healthcare Series. Greenwood Village (CO): Thomson Reuters (Healthcare) Inc., (2007). Available from URL: https://www.thomsonhc.com/hcs/librarian/. Accessed 2011 Jul 5.
- National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Preventing. (2001) http://www.nccmerp.org/types-medication-errorsAccessed 28 Jul 2015.
- Neal, B., MacMahon, S. and Chapman, N. (2000). Effects of ACE inhibitors, calcium antagonists, and other blood-pressure-lowering drugs: results of prospectively designed overviews of randomised trials. Blood Pressure Lowering Treatment Trialists' Collaboration. *Lancet.* Dec 9;356(9246):1955-64.
- Nesar, S., Shoaib, M.H., Yousuf, R.I., Rahim, N. and Muhammad, I.N. (2014). Incidence of medication error associated with the use of beta-blockers in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 27(3):531-6.
- Nesar, S., Shoaib, M.H., Rahim, N., Iffat, W., Shakeel, S. and Bibi, R. (2015). Prescription writing practices and errors in prescriptions containing cardiovascular drug especially ace inhibitors in Karachi, Pakistan. Asian J Pharm Clin Res. 8(4): 53-55.
- Nesar, S., Shoaib, M.H., Rafiq, K., Rahim, N., Muhammad, I.N. and Iffat, W. (2018). Prescribing pattern of angiotensin receptor blocker: A study of errors and drug-drug interactions. *Pak J Pharm Sci.* 31(1):113-117.
- Peyriere, H., Cassan, S., Floutard, E., Riviere, S., Blayac, J.P., Hillaire-Buys D., Le Quellec A. and Hansel, S. (2003). Adverse drug events associated with hospital admission. *Ann Pharmacother*. 37(1):5–11.
- Pote, S., Tiwari, P. and D'Cruz, S. (2007). Medication prescribing errors in a public teaching hospital in India: A prospective study. *Pharmacy Practice*. 5(1): 17-20.
- Smith, K.E. and Enright, S.M. (2005). Providing a frame work for ensuring medicine use safety, In, Remington; the science and practice of pharmacy 21st, Lippincott William Wilkins Philadelphia, pp. 1857.